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## Executive Summary

This Safety Plan for Beltrami County was prepared as part of the County Road Safety Plan update process (CRSP 2). It aligns with the state's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) and supports the state's Towards Zero Deaths (TZD) program. This safety plan was developed in a collaborative effort with county safety stakeholders to reduce severe crashes or those involving fatalities and serious injuries. This plan process utilizes a data-driven approach, documents atrisk locations, identifies effective and proven safety improvement strategies, and recommends safety projects to better position the county to compete for available federal safety funds in the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP).

The first round of the County Roadway Safety Plans (CRSP 1) began in 2009 and was completed in 2014. Increased investments in local safety projects and implementation of these low-cost and high-impact safety strategies have contributed to a 22 percent reduction in the number of fatal crashes on the county system while at the same period the state system showed a 3 percent reduction in fatal crashes.

To date, nearly 85 percent of Minnesota counties have participated in HSIP with more than $\$ 86$ million in safety improvements deployed across the county system. In the 5-year period following completion of Beltrami County's initial safety plan (2013 to 2018), the County secured approximately $\$ 3.5$ million in HSIP funding to support implementation of 21 safety projects such as enhanced edgelines, shoulder rumble strips and shoulder paving, signing, chevrons in curves, street lights, and intersection dynamic warning systems.
This Beltrami County Safety Plan includes:

- Description of Safety Focus Areas (Section 3.1)
- Identification of a short list of high-priority low-cost strategies (Section 3.3)
- Candidate location for highway safety funds, which are considered at-risk location (Appendix D)
- Development of $\$ 13.4$ million recommended safety projects - these projects are actual application for HSIP funds (Appendix F)

This information is provided to Beltrami County to reduce the number of severe crashes on their highway system and it is understood that the final decision to implement any of the recommended projects resides with the Beltrami County Engineer. The County is encouraged to coordinate with MnDOT to pursue a partnership that identifies a path toward implementation for projects that involve State trunk highways and/or right-of-way. This Plan does NOT set requirements or mandates, is NOT a standard and is neither intended to be, NOR does it establish, a legal standard of care.
In an effort to help reduce the potential exposure to claims of negligence associated with motor vehicle crashes on Beltrami County's highway system, three key points should be considered:

1. Federal law (23 U.S.C. Section 409) established that information generated as part of the statewide safety planning process is considered privileged and unavailable to the public. The privileged status includes crash data, where value/detail has been added by analysts
during the safety planning process (for example; computation of crash rates, disaggregation of crashes by type or severity, documentation of contributing factors), the lists of at-risk locations, and information supporting the development and evaluation of potential safety projects. The federal law and the privileged status of the safety information was upheld by the U. S. Supreme Court in the case of Pierce County (Washington) v. Guillen.
2. Minnesota tort law provides for discretionary immunity for decisions made by agency officials when there is documentation of the decision and evidence of consideration of social, economic, and political issues. To help establish immunity for decisions relative to moving forward with development of recommended safety improvement projects, the County Engineer is encouraged to prepare a memorandum/plan of action for the County Board. This document would identify the projects selected for implementation and those they choose to dismiss and why.
3. Minnesota tort law also provides for official immunity for decisions made by agency staff where there is written documentation of the thought process supporting project development and implementation.

As with any transportation plan, the expected shelf life of this document is not infinite. The distribution of crashes can change over time as well as roadway and traffic conditions that can contribute to the occurrence of crashes. This Plan contains $\$ 13$ million of potential safety projects, which could provide Beltrami County with a sufficient backlog of projects for approximately 5 years. As a result, Beltrami County is encouraged to consider periodically updating this Safety Plan to continue to reduce fatalities and serious injuries on Minnesota roadways.
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This CRSP 2 was developed in collaboration with FHWA, MnDOT Office of State Aid and Office of Traffic Engineering.
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### 1.0 Introduction

County safety stakeholders and the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) have collaborated to reduce fatalities and serious injuries on local roadways to achieve Minnesota's vision of zero roadway fatalities. The first major initiative was the development of County Roadway Safety Plans (known as CRSP 1), which began in 2009 and was completed in 2014 (CH2M HILL and SRF Consulting Group, Inc., 2014). Counties began implementing the CRSP 1 recommended safety projects in 2013 and have made significant progress.
MnDOT Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) managers indicated local agency participation in the HSIP program has specifically increased due to:

- CRSP 1 development and resulting safety projects
- Dedicated safety funding for safety strategies
- Technical assistance

Emphasis on local roadways and CRSP as a planning and implementation tool, have become integral to the statewide safety program. In 2016, County engineers and MnDOT initiated an update of the CRSPs (known as CRSP 2) to further reduce fatalities and serious injuries on Minnesota local roadways. CRSP 2 is more collaborative, utilizes the most current safety data, and provides a refreshed list of HSIP eligible safety projects. This CRSP 2 document outlines results of a comprehensive safety analysis that used crash data and roadway characteristics to identify the most crucial County transportation safety planning needs and associated safety treatments to reduce fatal and serious injury related crashes.

As part of this CRSP 2 development, the following tasks were completed.

- Review of all county road segments, curves, and intersections
- Data-driven review of crashes on county roadways
- Summary of safety focus areas and priority crash types
- List of recommended high priority safety strategies
- Prioritized list of locations that are most at-risk for severe crashes
- Prioritized list of recommended safety projects - specific strategies at specific locations


### 1.1 Background

Efforts to reduce statewide traffic fatalities and achieve Minnesota's long-term zero fatality vision requires increasing local agency involvement in the State's safety program. Local agencies are responsible for more than 90 percent of the State's roadway miles and approximately 60 percent of severe crashes (those involving a fatality or serious injury) occur on local Minnesota roads. As a result, the Minnesota's 2007 Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) (MnDOT, 2007) and the current 2014 SHSP identified the need to fully engage local road authorities in statewide highway safety program.

MnDOT, the U.S. Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and Minnesota's county engineers partnered to establish the CRSP 1 initiative that developed CRSPs for all 87 Minnesota counties. This multiagency effort had two key components:

1. MnDOT dedicated approximately 50 percent of HSIP funds to support implementation of safety projects along the county roadway system. Prior to this, virtually all safety funds were used for projects along State trunk highways.
2. MnDOT provided technical assistance to all 87 counties to analyze and document the outcome of a systemwide systemic risk assessment, prioritize each county's roadway facilities, and share a list of recommended, high priority safety projects for at-risk locations.

Counties have implemented safety treatments using a variety of methods and funding sources. To date, nearly 85 percent of Minnesota counties have participated in HSIP with more than $\$ 86$ million in safety improvements deployed across the county system. The most common types of safety projects implemented were relatively low-cost and highly effective in reducing severe crashes. Examples of these countermeasures include:

- Enhanced edgelines and rumble strips along rural segments
- Chevrons in curves and street lighting
- Upgraded traffic signs and intersection markings

A further breakdown of typical safety projects implemented by Minnesota counties is shown in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1. County Implemented Safety Projects

| HSIP Approved 2008-2016 | No. of projects | HSIP Funding |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Segments |  |  |
| Edgeline Improvements | 195 | $\$ 44,718,352.48$ |
| Geometrics $^{\text {a }}$ | 2 | $\$ 370,000.00$ |
| Guardrails | 3 | $\$ 314,820.00$ |
| Shoulder Improvements | 40 | $\$ 8,844,196.90$ |
| Rumble Strips | 27 | $\$ 4,697,091.00$ |
| Signing | 2 | $\$ 204,705.00$ |
| Surface Improvements | 1 | $\$ 288,000.00$ |
| Turn Lanes | 4 | $\$ 874,500.00$ |
| Total Segments | $\underline{274}$ | $\$ 60.31$ million |

## Curves

| Chevrons | 38 | $\$ 7,728,821.80$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Geometrics | 1 | $\$ 157,500.00$ |
| Total Curves | $\underline{39}$ | $\$ 7.89$ million |

## Intersections

| Geometrics | 21 | $\$ 9,993,750.00$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lighting | 33 | $\$ 4,654,055.00$ |
| Miscellaneous Improvements | 5 | $\$ 1,007,068.00$ |
| Signing | 21 | $\$ 2,161,464.00$ |
| Total Intersections | $\underline{80}$ | $\$ 17.82$ million |
| Totals | 393 | $\$ 86.01$ million |

Note:
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Geometrics refers to geometric improvements or changes such as changing a stopcontrolled intersection to a roundabout or change of curve horizontal or vertical curvature.

The impact of the increased investment in local safety projects has been dramatic. While the number of fatal crashes has increased nationally, the fatal crashes in Minnesota continue to steadily decline. Since 2013, there has been an approximate 3 percent reduction of fatal crashes on the State system and a 22 percent reduction in the number of fatal crashes on the county system (Figure 1-1). This time period coincides with the completion of CRSP 1 plans and the implementation of the associated safety projects. This CRSP 2 will be instrumental in achieving continued declines in fatal and serious injury crashes.


Figure 1-1. Fatal Crashes along Minnesota Roads

### 1.2 National Context

The HSIP is a core federal-aid program that began in 2005 with the authorization of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users or SAFETEA-LU. SAFETEA-LU required all States to develop data-driven, multidisciplinary SHSPs focused on reducing fatalities and serious injuries on all public roadways. Subsequent transportation legislation, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) and the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST), signed in 2015 and extends through 2020, continued to focus transportation funding on improving safety for all public roadways. FAST also required data-driven SHSPs, identification of system priorities, strategies and countermeasures, target setting, and evaluation of safety performance measures.

The trendline of fatalities throughout the United States and in Minnesota (Figure 1-2), indicates HSIP investments have resulted in lives saved and injuries prevented since 2005. However, traffic crashes still pose a major public health issue in the United States. In 2017, approximately 37,000 people were killed in traffic crashes; an average of 101 people killed every day (FARS, 2017).


Figure 1-2. Trend in Traffic Fatalities in United States and Minnesota

Achieving greater results and realizing the vision of zero fatalities requires continuous improvements to transportation safety planning and program management. Each state may allocate their transportation and HSIP funding in the manner that addresses their unique needs. The legislative requirement to address safety on all roads is founded on two key facts:

1. Nationally, local governments own and operate almost 76 percent of all public roads (FHWA, 2019) and approximately 35 percent of traffic fatalities occur along these roads (FARS, 2017).
2. Historically, state departments of transportation manage the statewide safety programs, and, in most states, majority of safety funding has been dedicated to improvements along the state highway system.

States can only achieve significant severe crash reductions if safety on local roads is an integral part of each state's safety planning and investment efforts. In response to federal legislation, all states have accepted an oversite role for safety across all roads in the state and a number of states have dedicated a portion of their HSIP funds to local system improvements. However, only a few states have successfully integrated local agencies into statewide safety planning efforts, Minnesota being one of them.

### 1.3 State Context

Starting in 2007, Minnesota's SHSP highlighted the need to improve safety of all public roads, including local roads. The current SHSP (2014) continues to emphasize local roads and the plan identified 20 focus areas based on data analysis and stakeholder outreach. The top four focus areas include:

- Lane Departure (46 percent of severe crashes)
- Intersections (42 percent of severe crashes)
- Unbelted Occupants (35 percent of severe crashes)
- Impaired Roadway Users (26 percent of severe crashes)

Total severe crash percentages will be greater than 100 percent because crashes may have multiple contributing factors. For example, an impaired driver may run off the road resulting in a severe injury. In this situation, the crash would be counted as both Lane Departure and Impaired Roadway User focus areas. The SHSP also identified Minnesota's high priority infrastructure-based safety strategies and countermeasures, including:

- Lane Departure
- Center and edge rumble strips
- Enhanced pavement markings (6-inch edgelines and embedded markings)
- Center buffers
- Wider/paved shoulders
- Intersections
- Enhanced traffic signs and markings
- Street lights
- Dynamic intersection warning systems
- Roundabouts
- Red light running enforcement assistance (confirmation lights)
- Restricted/channelized intersections (along divided roadways)


### 1.4 Beltrami County - Local System Description

There are approximately 139,000 miles of roadways in Minnesota. Counties own and operate almost 45,000 miles ( 32 percent) of those roadways. Approximately 32,000 of these roadway miles are paved ( 70 percent) and the remaining 13,000 miles have a gravel surface. Statewide analysis of County roads indicated a majority of the severe crashes occurred on paved rather than gravel roadways, 90 percent and 10 percent, respectively. As a result, the focus of CRSP 2 is on paved County roads.

Figure 1-3 shows Beltrami County roads and county boundary. The Beltrami County Highway Department in Minnesota is responsible for maintenance and management of a system that includes (full lists of analyzed locations including segments, intersections, and curves can be found in Appendix A):

- 715 total miles of county roads, of which 407 miles have a paved surface and 308 miles have a gravel surface
- 464 miles of county state-aid highways (CSAH) roadways, which are eligible for direct State Trunk Highway funding
- 251 miles of county roads
- 32 miles of unorganized township roads
- 124 bridges in the County and township system
- 1,057 intersections: county highways/roads intersecting with state highways, other county roads, city streets, and township roads
- 596 horizontal curves


Figure 1-3. Beltrami County Map

In the 5-year period following completion of Beltrami County's initial safety plan (2013 to 2017), the County secured approximately $\$ 3.5$ million in HSIP funding to support implementation of 21 safety projects along roadway segments, curves, and intersections (Table 1-2). These safety projects included enhanced edgelines, shoulder rumble strips and shoulder paving, signing, chevrons in curves, street lights, and intersection dynamic warning systems.

Table 1-2. Beltrami County Highway Safety Improvement Program Overview

| Project Description | No. of Projects | Project Cost |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Segments |  |  |
| Enhanced Edgelines | 6 | $\$ 740,000$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strips | 2 | $\$ 958,000$ |
| Shoulder Paving | 7 | $\$ 742,000$ |
| Sign Installation | 1 | $\$ 99,000$ |
| Total Segments | $\underline{16}$ | $\$ 2.54$ million |

## Curves

| Chevron Installation | 1 | $\$ 632,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Total Curves | $\underline{1}$ | $\$ 632,000$ |
| Intersections |  |  |
| Street Lighting | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 202,000$ |
| Dynamic Warning System | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 114,000$ |
| Total Intersections | $\underline{4}$ | $\$ 316,000$ |
| Total Projects | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\$ 3.49$ million |

### 2.0 Approach

CRSP 2 aligns with the Minnesota SHSP and supports the TZD statewide target of fewer than 300 traffic fatalities and 850 serious injuries by the year 2020.
In recognition of the TZD Program, Beltrami County identified the following goals for this update:

- Provide the basis for a shared understanding of the approach used to analyze and address safety on Beltrami County's roadway system
- Provide improved understanding of the effectiveness (at reducing crashes) of safety and maintenance strategies
- Document a prioritized list of HSIP-eligible projects and safety-related maintenance activities
- Document safety issues in Beltrami County's small cities and townships
- Provide information to increase understanding of pedestrian safety issues
- Conduct a data-driven safety analysis of the county's roadway system
- Identify and prioritize candidate locations for safety investment
- Develop safety projects - with specific strategies at specific locations

The CRSP 1 and CRSP 2 approach has been to work closely with county safety stakeholders to establish program goals and develop a collaborative, data-driven plan along with safety treatments at appropriate locations to direct the local safety program. This was accomplished through data analysis, identification of safety emphasis areas, development of a comprehensive list of safety strategies, coordination with safety stakeholders through meetings and workshops, narrow the list of strategies to county specific strategies, identify safety projects and develop the safety plan. Workshop and meeting summaries can be found in Appendix B. This section of the plan discusses the project approach in more detail.

### 2.1 Proactive Systemic Safety Analysis

From the beginning of the Federal highway safety program in the 1970s, the primary method for conducting a safety analysis largely involved a reactive approach by searching along highway systems for high-crash locations. A corridor segment or intersection is generally considered a high-crash location if the severe crash rate exceeds the severe critical crash rate. Using this methodology was a barrier to local systems participating in the statewide safety program because no locations along the local roadway systems met the high-crash definition. As a result, almost all safety investments were made along the state's system of trunk highways.

Minnesota's 2007 SHSP prioritized increasing the level of local highway agency involvement in statewide safety planning efforts (MnDOT, 2007). Following adoption of the SHSP, MnDOT and Minnesota's county engineers developed a new safety analysis process to supplement the highcrash location search. This systemic risk assessment, which uses a data-driven process, looked at crash patterns to determine high-risk locations that would be safety investment candidates. The five key steps in the CRSP systemic process include:

1. Conduct a crash analysis that includes reviewing each of the approximate 2,500 statewide locations along the county roadway system where severe (fatal + serious injury) crashes occurred during a 5-year study period (2011 to 2015).
2. Identify roadway and traffic characteristics common at locations with severe crashes.
3. Adopt a list of risk factors that show locations with a specific risk factor and a higher density (number of severe crashes per mile, curve, or intersection per year) of crashes rather than locations that don't contain this risk factor.
4. Conduct a census of each county system of roadway segments, curves, and intersections and record the number of risk factors at each location.
5. Prioritize the county roadway system for safety investment based on the number of risk factors at each location. The greater the number of risk factors, the greater the risk of a severe crash and, therefore, the higher the priority the candidate location is for safety investment.

This systemic risk analysis was conducted across all 87 counties as part of the CRSP 1 efforts. At the end of that project, a final review concluded that the new process was successful. More than $\$ 300$ million in low-cost safety improvements along the county system were identified and over $\$ 86$ million of HSIP-funded CRSP safety projects were implemented in CRSP programs.

Successful CRSP project implementation led the FHWA to approve and adopt this systemic risk analysis technique as a model for their own, national, data-driven safety analysis initiative. Most significantly, the systemic approach allowed agencies to move from a reactive approach of addressing severe crashes to a proactive approach of deploying safety projects at high priority at-risk locations.

Based on success in the CRSP 1 effort, this CRSP 2 systemic risk analysis follows the same five key steps used in the CRSP 1 effort.

### 2.2 Safety Workshop

In addition to the technical analysis, an integral part of CRSP 2 included holding a safety workshop. Beltrami County's workshop was held on August 8, 2017 at the County Administration Building (refer to Appendix C for details). This workshop was attended by 24 of the county's safety partners representing engineering, enforcement, education, and emergency response.

CRSP Project Team Primary workshop goals included creating a shared understanding of the technical approach to updating the CRSP, having participants identify what they consider important themes to advance road safety in Beltrami County, and providing feedback to help the County prioritize infrastructure safety strategies. Figure 2-1 shows the participants at the Beltrami County Safety Workshop.


Figure 2-1. Beltrami County Safety Workshop

During the workshop, the CRSP 2 Project Team outlined the technical approach and described key parts of the data-driven analytical process, including the proactive systemic risk evaluation, and provided an overview of the county system crash data. Participants in the workshop identified key safety themes, including:

- Educating participants about safety strategies, emphasizing that not all strategies are equally effective at reducing crashes
- Understanding challenges faced by enforcement - specifically the increase in impaired driving associated with drugs (as opposed to alcohol) and Inattentive/Distracted Driving
- Increasing outreach efforts to small cities and townships to share information about Beltrami County's roadway safety priorities
- Enhancing pedestrian safety strategies by adding sidewalks and trails in key locations
- Reinvigorating a Beltrami County safe community coalition

Finally, a voting exercise was conducted that provided workshop participants an opportunity to indicate their support for a variety of infrastructure-based safety strategies. The intended use of the results was to either provide additional context as to why certain strategies may be better than others, proven effective verses tried strategies, or to confirm that the strategies are proactive and proven effective at mitigating severe crashes based on national research. Workshop materials are included in Appendix C. The strategies receiving the most support included:

- Installing roundabouts at both rural and urban intersections (instead of traffic signals)
- Installing street lighting at rural intersections
- Adding chevron warning signs in rural curves
- Improving road edges (enhanced pavement markings, edge rumble strips, and shoulder paving) and roadsides (providing clear areas by removing obstacles)


### 3.0 Crash Analysis

The CRSP 2 is based on a data-driven analytical process to identify optimal safety investment candidates. A data-driven process is necessary, so all crash types and roadway facilities are not mistakenly considered equal candidates for safety projects. However, prior studies show that while crashes involving fatalities and serious injuries are widely scattered across Minnesota's local system of roads (an average of 0.006 severe crashes per mile per year), these crashes are neither uniformly nor randomly scattered. As a result, analysis of crash data and roadway system characteristics are necessary to support prioritization, which is an integral part of the strategic safety planning process.

The level of statewide safety funding is not sufficient to support wide deployment of projects that address all crash types. Therefore, states are encouraged to adopt a short list of safety focus areas among the categories that include the greatest number of severe crashes. Focusing safety investment on the top-ranked focus areas is likely to result in the greatest opportunity for crash reduction derived from a data-driven analytical process. This process involved three steps:

1. Disaggregate crash types into categories (focus areas) defined by FHWA, then rank each category based on the number of crashes that involve fatalities and serious injuries (severe crashes).
2. Identify the types of roadway facilities at which the priority crash types occur in the greatest numbers.
3. Identify high priority safety countermeasures/strategies linked to the specific crash types.

### 3.1 Safety Focus Areas

Consistent with FHWA guidance, Minnesota adopted the number of fatal and serious injury (severe crashes) vehicle related crashes as the safety performance measure underlying development of the CRSP 2. Crash data from the 5-year period 2011 through 2015 were assembled, analyzed, and disaggregated into 20 safety focus areas. In addition to disaggregating by safety focus area, severe crashes were also disaggregated by state highways versus county roadways. This 2011 to 2015 timeframe was selected as the study period since Minnesota's new crash records system was not populated with enough years of more recent data at the onset of this update effort to support a 5 -year study period.

Based on statewide data analysis, the most frequent contributing factors for severe crashes are given priority in Minnesota's SHSP (MnDOT, 2014) as Safety Focus Areas, which are shown in Figure 3-1. The colors of the target also correspond with the colors in Table 3-1, which will be discussed shortly.


Figure 3-1. Focus Area Priorities

The analysis reviewed statewide crash data across all systems. Crashes that occurred along the County jurisdiction was disaggregated by the state, Area Transportation Partnership (ATP) and county levels also including Greater Minnesota Area and Metro areas. Table 3-1 shows crashes at the statewide level and within the Greater Minnesota Area and Metro areas for all systems and county system only. Table 3-2 shows the same crashes but for ATP 2 and for Beltrami County.

Assigning crashes to the safety focus areas often involves double or triple counting because the number of severe crashes documented is greater than the actual number of crashes across the state and county systems. Multiple counting is the result from a crash potentially having many contributing factors. An example could be a single severe crash involving an unbelted, older driver at an intersection. This crash would include driver behavior of unbelted and the older driver safety focus areas. Therefore, the actual number of crashes across the state and county systems may be lower than the total number of crashes when broken down by safety focus areas.

Figure 3-2 shows the various ATPs throughout the state. The analysis relied on statewide and district level crash trends because in most cases, the total number of severe crashes that occur in a 5 -year timeframe within a single county, is too small and would not be considered statistically reliable. To have a statistically reliable dataset at any level, a minimum of 500 crashes is required (Minnesota Local Road Research Board, 1998).


Figure 3-2. Minnesota's Eight Area Transportation Partnerships
Results of the analysis were consistent among Greater Minnesota, ATP 2, and Beltrami County and support adoption of the following infrastructure-based safety focus areas:

- Lane Departure (run-off-road and head-on)
- Intersections
- Non-motorized (pedestrians/bicyclists)
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Table 3-1. Minnesota Crash Focus Areas

| Focus Area ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Statewide <br> All Systems | Statewide <br> All Systems | Statewide <br> County <br> System ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Statewide <br> County <br> System ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Greater Minnesota All Systems | Greater Minnesota All Systems | Greater Minnesota County System | Greater Minnesota County System | Metro <br> All Systems | Metro <br> All Systems | Metro <br> County <br> System | Metro <br> County <br> System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Severe Crashes ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6,512 | 100\% | 2,516 | 100\% | 3,896 | 100\% | 1,486 | 100\% | 2,616 | 100\% | 1,030 | 100\% |
| Lane Departure | 2,931 | 45\% | 1,234 | 49\% | 2,037 | 52\% | 886 | 60\% | 894 | 34\% | 348 | 34\% |
| Run-Off-Road | 1,872 | 29\% | 858 | 34\%. | 1,420 | 36\% | 703 | 47\% | 452 | 17\% | 155 | 15\% |
| Head-On ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1,059 | 16\% | 376 | 15\% | 617 | 16\% | 183 | 12\% | 442 | 17\% | 193 | 19\% |
| Intersection | 2,647 | 41\% | 1,069 | 42\% | 1,364 | 35\% | 475 | 32\% | 1,283 | 49\% | 594 | 58\% |
| Speed | 1,190 | 18\% | 440 | 17\% | 763 | 20\% | 306 | 21\% | 427 | 16\% | 134 | 13\% |
| Inattentive/Distracted Driver | 1,209 | 19\% | 417 | 17\% | 747 | 19\% | 253 | 17\% | 462 | 18\% | 164 | 16\% |
| Unbelted | 2,223 | 34\% | 910 | 36\% | 1,558 | 40\% | 652 | 44\% | 665 | 25\% | 258 | 25\% |
| Impaired | 1,404 | 22\% | 591 | 23\% | 933 | 24\% | 410 | 28\% | 471 | 18\% | 181 | 18\% |
| Motorcycle | 1,156 | 18\% | 514 | 20\% | 642 | 16\% | 309 | 21\% | 514 | 20\% | 205 | 20\% |
| Older | 1,085 | 17\% | 364 | 14\% | 723 | 19\% | 211 | 14\% | 362 | 14\% | 153 | 15\% |
| Younger | 1,086 | 17\% | 425 | 17\% | 689 | 18\% | 259 | 17\% | 397 | 15\% | 166 | 16\% |
| Pedestrian ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 657 | 10\% | 224 | 9\% | 213 | 5\% | 51 | 3\% | 444 | 17\% | 173 | 17\% |
| Bicyclist | 270 | 4\% | 98 | 4\% | 87 | 2\% | 27 | 2\% | 183 | 7\% | 71 | 7\% |
| Unlicensed | 663 | 10\% | 227 | 9\% | 354 | 9\% | 123 | 8\% | 309 | 12\% | 104 | 10\% |
| Work Zone | 98 | 2\% | 26 | 1\% | 46 | 1\% | 13 | 1\% | 52 | 2\% | 13 | 1\% |
| Commercial Vehicles | 638 | 10\% | 168 | 7\% | 440 | 11\% | 103 | 7\% | 198 | 8\% | 65 | 6\% |
| Trains | 31 | <1\% | 11 | <1\% | 29 | 1\% | 11 | 1\% | 2 | <1\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Deer/Animal | 135 | 2\% | 72 | 3\% | 117 | 3\% | 59 | 4\% | 18 | 1\% | 13 | 1\% |
| Winter Weather | 747 | 11\% | 267 | 11\% | 539 | 14\% | 178 | 12\% | 208 | 8\% | 89 | 9\% |

Notes:
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Focus-area definitions are consistent with those from the 2014-2019 Minnesota SHSP unless otherwise noted.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Identified via crash report attribute 'Route System' values 4 and 7.
c Source: MnDOT Crash Database, retrieved November 22, 2016; Fatal + Incapacitating Injury, 2011-2015
${ }^{d}$ Includes sideswipe opposite direction omits deer/animal.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Includes crashes with the 'Accident Type' attribute value 7.
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Table 3-2. Beltrami County Crash Focus Areas

| Focus Area ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | District 2 <br> All Systems | District 2 <br> All Systems | District 2 County System ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | District 2 County System ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Beltrami County All Systems | Beltrami County All Systems | Beltrami County County System | Beltrami County County System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Severe Crashes ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 300 | 100\% | 110 | 100\% | 78 | 100\% | 26 | 100\% |
| Lane Departure | 170 | 57\% | 69 | 63\% | 42 | 54\% | 14 | 54\% |
| Run-Off-Road | 117 | 39\% | 55 | 50\% | 28 | 36\% | 10 | 38\% |
| Head-On ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 53 | 18\% | 14 | 13\% | 14 | 18\% | 4 | 15\% |
| Intersection | 90 | 30\% | 25 | 23\% | 19 | 24\% | 6 | 23\% |
| Speed | 52 | 17\% | 21 | 19\% | 14 | 18\% | 6 | 23\% |
| Inattentive/Distracted Driver | 54 | 18\% | 12 | 11\% | 14 | 18\% | 3 | 12\% |
| Unbelted | 141 | 47\% | 54 | 49\% | 31 | 40\% | 11 | 42\% |
| Impaired | 89 | 30\% | 41 | 37\% | 32 | 41\% | 15 | 58\% |
| Motorcycle | 28 | 9\% | 18 | 16\% | 4 | 5\% | 3 | 12\% |
| Older | 52 | 17\% | 13 | 12\% | 13 | 17\% | 2 | 8\% |
| Younger | 56 | 19\% | 20 | 18\% | 19 | 24\% | 9 | 35\% |
| Pedestrian ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 9 | 3\% | 4 | 4\% | 6 | 8\% | 3 | 12\% |
| Bicyclist | 8 | 3\% | 2 | 2\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 4\% |
| Unlicensed | 34 | 11\% | 9 | 8\% | 10 | 13\% | 2 | 8\% |
| Work Zone | 2 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Commercial Vehicles | 35 | 12\% | 5 | 5\% | 5 | 6\% | 1 | 4\% |
| Trains | 2 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Deer/Animal | 10 | 3\% | 6 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 4\% |
| Winter Weather | 46 | 15\% | 15 | 14\% | 13 | 17\% | 3 | 12\% |

Notes:
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Focus-area definitions are consistent with those from the 2014-2019 Minnesota SHSP unless otherwise noted.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Identified via crash report attribute 'Route System' values 4 and 7.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Source: MnDOT Crash Database, retrieved November 22, 2016; Fatal + Incapacitating Injury, 2011-2015
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Includes sideswipe opposite direction omits deer/animal.
${ }^{e}$ Includes crashes with the 'Accident Type' attribute value 7
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### 3.2 Roadway Facilities

As part of the data-driven prioritization process, crash trees were developed using statewide (Figure 3-3) and Beltrami County (Figure 3-4) data to document a disaggregation by state versus local systems, by rural versus urban areas, and by roadway segment versus intersection related crashes.
A statewide crash tree was developed because the results would not meet the threshold to be considered statistically significant since there were five severe crashes per year on Beltrami County only roadways. The percentages associated with the various disaggregation between statewide and county values varied slightly, the key takeaways were the same and suggest the following priorities for Beltrami County:

- Rural roadways ( 96 percent of severe crashes)
- Lane Departure crashes along segments (65 percent), including both single-vehicle run-offroad (82 percent) and multi-vehicle head-on (18 percent)
- Lane Departure crashes in curves ( 72 percent)
- Right-angle crashes at through/stop controlled rural Intersections

The four bullets above are shown visually in Beltrami County's rural crash tree. Ninety-six percent of the severe crashes in a rural environment is found in the fourth row, first box from the left, titled Rural. Following the tree down to the segment box shows 68 percent of severe crashes and stepping down twice below the Lane Departure box shows that "Run-Off-Road severe crashes comprise 82 percent of Lane Departure and the other 18 percent were identified in the Head-On box. For Lane Departure crashes in curves, the 72 percent is calculated by adding up severe crashes in the Curvature Characteristics boxes for horizontal and/or vertical curvature related divided by the total number of Lane Departure crashes.
Additional analysis of severe crashes was conducted to help focus attention on the portion of county roadway system at higher risk. This analysis concluded that paved county roadways across the state account for approximately 70 percent of roadway miles but around 94 percent of severe crashes. Paved county roadways also have a crash density ( 0.02 severe crashes per mile per year) that is 10 times higher than the crash density on gravel roads. This information supports the focus of the analytical process on paved county roadways. The severe crash overrepresentation along paved county roads also has been documented in North Dakota, South Dakota, and lowa. The proportion of paved versus gravel roads and the distribution of severe crashes varies from state to state, but the trend is the same in each case, with severe crashes overrepresented along paved county roadways.
Detailed analysis of severe crashes was also extended to rural county roadway intersections. Based on a sample of over 11,000 rural intersections (all Phase 1 counties), county roadway intersections with state highways and other county roadways accounted for 36 percent of intersections but 72 percent of severe crashes. County roadway intersections with township roads accounted for 64 percent of intersections but only 28 percent of severe crashes. County roadway intersections with state highways and other county roadways also have a crash density ( 0.03 severe crashes per intersection per year) that is 5 times higher than at county roadway intersections with township roads. This information supports the decision to focus the remainder of the analytical process on county roadway intersections with state highways and other county roadways.
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Figure 3-3. Minnesota Statewide Crash Tree - County Rural System
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Figure 3-4. Beltrami County Crash Tree - County Rural System
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### 3.3 Safety Strategies and Countermeasures

Adoption of the Lane Departure, Intersections, and Non-motorized safety focus areas began the process for determining appropriate safety strategies. Several safety research reports were reviewed, including:

- National Cooperative Highway Research Program's (NCHRP's) Report 500 Series (20032009)
- FHWA's Crash Modification Factor Clearinghouse (2014)
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO's) Highway Safety Manual (2010)

Following the review, priority was given to adopted safety focus areas to reduce the number of potential infrastructure-related safety strategies from more than 100 to around 60. From there, Beltrami County screened the list of strategies based on factors such as proven effectiveness (to reduce severe crashes), implementation cost, consistency with Minnesota's SHSP priorities, probability of being supported by HSIP funding, prior experience and acceptance in Beltrami County, and safety partner input. This process resulted in selection of the 42 priority safety strategies listed below for use in the subsequent safety project development exercise.

- Rural Segments
- Center Rumble Strip (Including New Mumble Design - Figure 3-5)
- Shoulder/Edgeline Rumble Strip
- Buffers Between Opposing Lanes (Figure 3-6)
- Safety Edge
- Enhanced Edgeline ( $6^{\prime \prime} \& 8^{\prime \prime}$ )
- Shoulder Paving ( $2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$, and $6^{\prime}$ )
- Clear Zone Maintenance/Enhancements
- Ditch/Embankment Improvements
- Rural Curves
- Chevrons (Figure 3-7)
- Delineators
- Dynamic Curve Signing
- Lighting
- Clear Zone Maintenance/Enhancements
- Reconstruct TT Intersections to Single-T Intersection
- Rural Intersections
- Upgrade Signs and Pavement Markings
- Street Lights (and approaches - Figure 3-8)
- All-Way Stop/Yield
- Light-emitting Diode (LED) Stop Signs (Figure 3-9)
- Reduced Conflict Intersection (RCI) (Figure 3-13)
- Rural Intersection Conflict Warning System (RICWS)
- Offset T-Intersection (Convert 4-legged intersection to 2 3-legged intersection)
- Roundabout
- Turn Lanes (Offset, Channelized)
- Continuous Green T (Signalized)
- Remove Skew
- Urban Segments
- Access Management
- Bike Lane/Boulevard
- Urbanization (make it feel urban)
- Dynamic Speed Feedback Sign (Figure 3-11)
- Urban Intersections
- Signalized RCIs
- Confirmation Lights (Figure 3-10)
- Traffic Enforcement Cameras
- Pedestrian Countdown Timers
- Leading Pedestrian Intervals
- Curb Extensions
- Center Island Medians (Pedestrian Refuge Island- Figure 3-12)
- Roundabout (including Mini Roundabout - Figure 3-14)
- Urbanization (make it feel urban)
- Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacon (RRFB)
- High-Intensity Activated Crosswalk Beacon (HAWK - Figure 3-15)
- Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA)
- Turn Lanes (Offset, Channelized)

After reducing the number of safety strategies to these shown, data analysis of the roadway network continued to identify the prioritized locations and correlate the appropriate treatments to develop effective recommended projects.


Figure 3-5. Mumble Strip Design - Traditional versus Mumble Strips
Wave-shaped design produced less external noise than MnDOT's current design


Figure 3-6. Buffers Between Opposing Lanes


Figure 3-7. Chevrons


Figure 3-8. Street Light


Figure 3-9. Light-emitting Diode Stop Sign


Figure 3-10. Confirmation Light


Figure 3-11. Dynamic Speed Feedback Sign


Figure 3-12. Center Island Medians (Pedestrian Refuge Island)


Figure 3-13. Reduced Conflict Intersections (RCI)


Figure 3-14. Mini Roundabout


Figure 3-15. High-Intensity Activated Crosswalk Beacon (HAWK) or Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (PHB)

### 4.0 System Evaluation

The analytical approach that underlies CRSP 2 is a proactive systemic safety evaluation that identifies, evaluates, and prioritizes roadway safety deficiencies based on crash risk.

Prior to undertaking Minnesota CRSPs, the traditional method supporting safety project development for HSIP in Minnesota involved searching across the state's highway system for intersections and roadway segments with multiple crashes - considered high-crash locations. Around the time that MnDOT adopted increasing local agency involvement in the HSIP, they also recognized that reliance on the high-crash method of analysis presented two major problems. First, the method was entirely reactive - crashes had to occur before any safety investments could be made. This resulted in the public asking agencies after a severe crash occurred - "How many people have to die before something is done?" Under this high-crash analytical method, crashes had to occur and be counted prior to making safety improvements.

Experience suggested that when using the high-crash methodology there were only a few locations across Minnesota's expansive local system that would qualify as a high-crash location. Relying on this method alone was a barrier to deploying safety improvement projects along local systems.

The solution to these problems was development of a new safety analysis approach - the proactive systemic method that resulted from collaboration between MnDOT and the counties. The underlying premise for this systemic process is that severe crashes along the county roadway system are infrequent and widely scattered -0.01 severe crashes per year per mile across the 45,000 -mile county system. However, the expectation was that these severe crashes were neither uniformly nor randomly scattered and that a set of roadway characteristics could be found at severe crash locations that could help predict where crashes were most likely to occur at future locations.

The systemic process used for CRSP 2 was refined from the CRSP 1 effort. While both analyses consisted of reviewing basic roadway and traffic characteristics along the county system that documented severe crashes, CRSP 2 increased the total number of data elements collected as well as expanded the detail of prior data elements across segments, intersections and curves. For example, the data element "Alignment Skew" in CRSP 1 had a binary option (yes/no) however data analysts for CRSP 2 data collection efforts measured the actual angle of skew to the nearest five degrees. In total, there were 79 unique data elements collected for the CRSP 1 effort for segments, intersections, and curves in rural and urban areas. There was an approximate 50 percent increase (117) in the total number of data elements that were collected for CRSP 2. This additional detail resulted in the generation of more risk factors through a crash frequency analysis leading to a more comprehensive prioritization effort. The following sections describe in more detail how risk factors were identified and the subsequent prioritization process.

### 4.1 Risk Factor Identification

The process of identifying risk factors for CRPS 2 followed a similar process to that of CRSP 1; review the locations with severe crashes, note the roadway and traffic characteristics, and test for over-representation. Examples of the results of the testing for over-representation include:

- Rural Segments: Segments where access density (field entrances + private driveways + public road intersections/mile of roadway) is between 5 and 15 per mile accounted for 71 percent of all severe crashes and 79 percent of severe Lane Departure crashes versus 57 percent of rural roadway miles (Figure 4-1).
- Urban Segments: Segments where access density is between 20 and 40 per mile accounted for 49 percent of all severe crashes and 56 percent of severe rear-end plus sideswipe same direction crashes versus 21 percent of urban roadway miles in Greater Minnesota (Figure 42).
- Rural Intersections: Intersections with total entering traffic volumes exceeding 2,000 vehicles per day accounted for 71 percent of all severe crashes and 81 percent of severe right-angle crashes versus 35 percent of all rural intersections (Figure 4-3).
- Urban Intersections: Intersections with traffic signal control in Greater Minnesota accounted for 56 percent of all severe crashes, 65 percent of severe right-angle crashes, and 50 percent of both severe rear-end and pedestrian/bike crashes versus 28 percent of system intersections (Figure 4-4).


Note: MVMT = million vehicle miles traveled
Figure 4-1. Systemic Risk Factor Rural Segment Access Density


Notes: $R E+S S S D=$ rear end and sideswipe same direction; MVMT = million vehicle miles traveled
Figure 4-2. Systemic Risk Factor Urban Segment Access Density


Notes: $E V=$ entering vehicles; $N V=$ no value; vpd = vehicles per day
Figure 4-3. Systemic Risk Factor Rural Intersection Total Entering Traffic Volume


Note: EV = entering vehicles
Figure 4-4. Systemic Risk Factor Urban Intersection Traffic Control Device

In addition to testing each risk factor for over-representation, tests were also conducted to demonstrate that increasing numbers of risk factors were associated with greater risk, as measured by the density of crashes. Examples of the testing results for increased crash density include:

- Rural Intersections: Intersections with three or more risk factors present had severe crash densities two to five times higher than the average for all rural intersections (Figure 4-5).
- Rural Curves: Curves with five of more risk factors present had severe crash densities and severe Lane Departure crash densities as much as five times higher than the average for all rural curves (Figure 4-6).


Figure 4-5. Rural Intersection Crash Density Distribution Versus Systemic Risk Rating


Figure 4-6. Rural Curve Crash Density Distribution Versus Systemic Risk Rating

The results of over-representation testing and severe crash distribution along with additional data recommended the use of an expanded list of risk factors for Beltrami County. The adopted risk factors for rural segments, curves and intersections plus urban segments and intersections in Beltrami County are documented in Tables 4-1 through 4-6.

Table 4-1. Rural Segment Risk Factors

| Risk Factor | Risk Factor Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit | 55 miles per hour or greater |
| Traffic Volume | 500 to 2,500 vehicles per day (single Vehicle crashes) |
| Traffic Volume | 1,500 vehicles per day and greater (multiple Vehicle crashes) |
| Access Density | More than 7 accesses (driveways, field entrances, and public <br> streets), but less than 18 |
| Curve Density | 1 or more curves per mile |
| Edge Risk | 2 with no shoulder or steep slopes or 3 deficiencies (no shoulder, <br> steep slope, or fixed objects) |

Table 4-2. Rural and Urban Curves Risk Factors

| Risk Factor | Rural Risk Factor Criteria | Urban Risk Factor Criteria |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit | - | 45 mph to 55 mph |
| Radius | 500 feet to 1,400 feet | 200 feet to 800 feet |
| Traffic Volume | 600 to 1,300 vehicles per day | 1,750 to 3,750 vehicles per day |
| Lane Width | Less than 12 feet | Less than 12 feet |
| Shoulder Type | None, gravel, composite | None, gravel |
| Outside Shoulder <br> Width | 0 to 4 feet | None |
| Cross Section Width | 28 to 34 feet | Less than 26 feet |
| Adjacent <br> Intersection | Roadway or railroad crossing | Roadway or railroad crossing |
| Visual Trap | Present | Present |
| Lighting | None | None |
| Outside Edge Risk | 2 or 3 deficiencies (no shoulder, <br> steep slope, or fixed objects) | 3 deficiencies (no shoulder, <br> steep slope, or fixed objects) |

Table 4-3. Rural Intersection Risk Factors

| Risk Factor | Risk Factor Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| Context Zone | Commercial, industrial, mixed use, or residential |
| Total Entering Traffic Volume | Volume $\geq 2,000$ vehicles per day |
| Traffic Volume Cross Product | Greater than 1,000,000 vehicles per day ${ }^{2}$ |
| Number of Entering Legs | 4 |
| Alignment Skew | Greater than 10 degrees |
| Adjacent Railroad Crossing | Present |
| Adjacent Curve | Horizontal, vertical, or both |
| Commercial Development | Present |
| Previous STOP Sign | Greater than 5 miles |
| Major Road Speed Limit | 60 miles per hour or greater |
| Major Road Lane Configuration | Left/through/through/right, and turn/bypass |

Table 4-4. Urban Segment Risk Factors

| Risk Factor | Risk Factor Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| Context Zone | Commercial and mixed use |
| Speed Limit | 35 to 45 miles per hour |
| Lane Width | 10 to 11.5 feet |
| Edgeline Striping | None |
| Parking | Present |
| Traffic Volume | Greater than 7,500 vehicles per day |
| Access Density | Greater than 20 accesses (driveways and public streets) |
| Cross Section | Multi-lane |
| Edge Risk | 3 deficiencies (no shoulder, steep slope, or fixed objects) |
| Shoulder Width | Less than 3 feet |

Table 4-5. Urban Intersection Risk Factors/Vehicle Related Crashes

| Risk Factor | Risk Factor Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| Context Zone | Commercial |
| Traffic Control | Signal |
| Total Entering Traffic Volume | Greater than 12,000 vehicles per day |
| Traffic Volume Cross Product | Greater than 30,000,000 vehicles per day |
| Number of Entering Legs | 4 |
| Major Road Cross Section | Divided |
| Skew | Greater than 10 degrees |
| Commercial Development | Present |
| Major Road Speed Limit | 40 miles per hour and greater |
| Minor Road Speed Limit | 35 miles per hour and greater |
| Major Road Left Turn Phasing | Any type of permitted operation |
| Major Road Lane Configuration. | 2 left turn lanes OR 2 or more through lanes |

Table 4-6. Urban Intersection Risk Factors/Pedestrian/Bike Related Crashes

| Risk Factor | Risk Factor Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| Traffic Signal | Present |
| Total Entering ADT | 12,000 and greater |
| Adjacent Development | Present |
| Number of Lanes Crossed | 4 or more |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Some or none |
| Crossing Type | Markings only |

### 4.2 Prioritization of Candidate Locations

The analytical process applied the adopted risk factors to Beltrami County's roadway segments, curves, and intersections to generate a priority listing - the greater the number of locational risk factors, the higher the candidate priority for safety project development. The overall objective was to use the risk factors to identify a minority of the county system that contained a majority of severe crashes and designate these locations as high priority candidates.

The number of risk factors varies by facility type, from a low of three risk factors for urban intersections related to Pedestrian/Bike crashes to a high of twelve risk factors for urban intersections related to Vehicle crashes. The distribution of severe crashes by risk factors also varies by facility type. As a result, the threshold for designating locations as high priority also varied, from a low of two for urban segments to a high of six for Vehicle Related urban intersections. However, across all counties, the sliding scale of risk factors generally resulted in between 20 percent and 50 percent of the system designated as high priority for safety project development. This was considered a reasonable fraction of the county system based on factors such as the amount of HSIP funding available, the typical cost of safety projects, the extraordinarily low density of severe crashes, and the goal of widely deploying safety projects across the county system.
Results of the prioritization process in Beltrami County include identifying the following high priority candidate locations for safety project development. Tables 4-7 through 4-12 show an example (first 10 projects) of the full project lists included in Appendix D:

- Rural Segments:
- 93 segments ( 375 miles) evaluated
- 57 segments ( 238 miles) designated as high priority (three or more risk factors)
- Rural Curves:
- 354 curves evaluated
- 163 curves designated as high priority (four or more risk factors)
- Rural Intersections:
- 211 intersections evaluated
- 74 intersections designated as high priority (three or more risk factors)
- Urban Segments:
- 26 segments ( 33 miles) evaluated
- 10 segments ( 7.73 miles) designated as high priority (two or more risk factors)
- Urban Intersections (Vehicle Related):
- 14 intersections evaluated
- 2 intersections designated as high priority (six or more risk factors)
- Urban Intersections (Pedestrian/Bike Related):
- 14 intersections evaluated
- 3 intersections designated as high priority (four or more risk factors)
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Table 4-7. Rural Segment Prioritization - Example Table

| List No. | Project <br> Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Length <br> (Miles) | ADT [vpd] | Speed Limit | ADT Rural SingleVehicle | ADT Rural Multi-Vehicle | Access Density | Curve <br> Density | Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | 12.004 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 6.0 | 645 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 8 | 2 | 15.003 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 7.1 | 2,165 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 80 | 3 | 8.003 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 5.0 | 1,925 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 2 | 4 | 12.003 | CSAH | 12 | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE | 4.1 | 1,750 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 6 | 5 | 14.001 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | 5.4 | 1,060 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 14 | 6 | 20.001 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE | Big Bass Rd NE | 2.6 | 2,745 | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 15 | 7 | 20.002 | CSAH | 20 | Big Bass Rd NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE | 4.5 | 970 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 17 | 8 | 21.003 | CSAH | 21 | Glidden Rd NE | Island View Dr NE | 6.1 | 1,540 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 21 | 9 | 22.003 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 7.0 | 555 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 23 | 10 | 22.005 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE | 4.4 | 570 | * | * |  | * |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |

See Appendix D for complete table of prioritized locations
Notes: ADT = average daily traffic
CRSP 2 ID Example: 1.001: 1 = Route Number 1; 001 = First Segment

Table 4-8. Rural Curve Prioritization - Example Table

| List No. | Project <br> Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | ADT <br> [vpd] | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Total Cross Section Width [Feet] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | Outside Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 1 | 15.021 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  | $\star$ | * | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 59 | 2 | 15.015 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 151 | 3 | 24.014 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  | * |  |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 14 | 4 | 12.007 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  | * | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 64 | 5 | 15.020 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 148 | 6 | 24.011 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | * | * |  |  | * | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 247 | 7 | 39.012 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  | * |  | * |  | * | * | * | * |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 258 | 8 | 4.002 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 285 | 9 | 5.023 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 43 | 10 | 14.002 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | $\star$ | * |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | * | * |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |

See Appendix $D$ for complete table of prioritized locations.
Notes: CR = County Road; mph = mile(s) per hour;
CRSP 2 ID Example: 1.001: 1 = Route Number 1; 001 = First Curve

Table 4-9. Rural Intersection Prioritization - Example Table

| List No. | Project <br> Page <br> No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Context Zone | Entering ADT or Cross Product ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Leg Configuration | Alignment Skew [Degrees] | Adjacent Railroad Crossing | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Development | Previous STOP [> 5 Miles] | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major <br> Approach Turn Lane Configuration | Tiebreaker Crash Cost | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 142 | 1 | 5.007 | CSAH | 5 | Hwy 2 E | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | \$170,000 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 34 | 2 | 2.007 | CSAH | 2 | USTH 2 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | \$0 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 152 | 3 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | USTH 71 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | \$11,710,200 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 3 | 4 | 11.030 | CSAH | 11 | USTH 2 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | \$757,000 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 167 | 5 | 9.001 | CSAH | 9 | USTH 2 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | \$406,400 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 45 | 6 | 21.037 | CSAH | 21 | USTH 71 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | \$280,400 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 193 | 7 | 501.002 | CR | 501 | USTH 2 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | * |  | $\star$ | \$181,800 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 137 | 8 | 47.009 | CSAH | 47 | USTH 71 | * | $\star$ | $\star$ | * |  | $\star$ | * |  |  |  | \$174,000 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 87 | 9 | 30.014 | CSAH | 30 | USTH 71 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | \$102,600 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 44 | 10 | 21.035 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ |  | * | $\star$ |  | * | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | \$0 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |

See Appendix D for complete table of prioritized locations.
Notes: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Units of measure differ. Entering ADT is vpd, cross product is $\mathrm{vpd}^{2}$ CRSP 2 ID Example: 1.001: 1 = Route Number 1; 001 = First Intersection

Table 4-10. Urban Segment Prioritization - Example Table

| List No. | Project <br> Page <br> No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Length [Miles] | ADT [vpd] | Context Zone | Speed Limit | Lane Width | Edgeline <br> Striping <br> Parking ADT-U | Access Density | Cross <br> Section and Design | Edge <br> Risk | Shoulder Width | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 1 | 21.001 | CSAH | 21 | Paul Bunyan Dr NW | $24^{\text {th }}$ St NW | 0.07 | 8,240 |  |  |  | ᄎ | ᄎ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 5 | 2 | 12.001 | CSAH | 12 | 1 St E | Power Dam Rd NE | 1.58 | 5,060 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 7 | 3 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | 30 St NW | Anne St NW | 0.50 | 7,200 | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 11 | 4 | 19.001 | CSAH | 19 | Power Dam Rd NE | Elliot Rd NE | 1.06 | 2,050 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 15 | 5 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | Hwy 71 | Bemidji Ave N | 1.49 | 5,375 | ᄎ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 18 | 6 | 7.002 | CSAH | 7 | Adams Ave NW | Jefferson Ave NW | 0.96 | 4,750 | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 19 | 7 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Paul Bunyan Dr SE | Lake Ave SE | 0.83 | 4,750 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 22 | 8 | 402.001 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW | 0.31 | 260 |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 24 | 9 | 404.002 | CR | 404 | 0.38 miles E of Washington Ave S | Paul Bunyan Rd SE | 0.63 | 1,350 | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 25 | 10 | 406.001 | CR | 406 | Lake Ave NE | 0.30 miles E of Lake Ave NE | 0.30 | 810 |  | $\star$ |  |  | * |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |

Note: CRSP 2 ID Example: 1.001: 1 = Route Number 1; 001 = First Segment

Table 4-11. Urban Intersection Prioritization Vehicle Related - Example Table

| List No. | Project <br> Page No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Context Zone | Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | Entering ADT or Cross Product ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Leg Configuration | Major Division Type | Alignment Skew [Degrees] | Adjacent Development | Major/Minor Approach Speed Limit | Major <br> Approach Left Turn Lane Phasing | Major <br> Approach Turn Lane Configuration | Tiebreaker Crash Cost | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 1 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | 678 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | \$686,800 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 11 | 2 | 21.001 | CSAH | 21 | Paul Bunyan Dr NW | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | \$342,800 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 8 | 3 | 15.005 | CSAH | 15 | Anne St NW |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | \$7,800 | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 1 | 4 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Paul Bunyan Dr SE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | \$7,800 | $\star \star \star$ |
| 13 | 5 | 21.007 | CSAH | 21 | Anne St NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,800 | $\star \star \star$ |
| 3 | 6 | 8.007 | CSAH | 8 | Grant Ave SE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$410,400 | $\star$ |
| 10 | 7 | 17.006 | CSAH | 17 | Bemidji Ave N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | \$264,800 | $\star$ |
| 7 | 8 | 15.004 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | \$189,600 | $\star$ |
| 4 | 9 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | \$181,800 | $\star$ |
| 9 | 10 | 17.005 | CSAH | 17 | Shorecrest Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | \$177,800 | $\star$ |

See Appendix D for complete table of prioritized locations.
Notes: a Units of measure differ. Entering ADT is vpd, cross product is vpd². CRSP 2 ID Example: 1.001: 1 = Route Number 1; 001 = First Intersection

Table 4-12. Urban Intersection Prioritization Pedestrian/Bike Related Safety Project Development - Example Table

| List No. | Project Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Traffic Control Device | Entering ADT | Adjacent Development | Max Number of Lanes Crossed | Presence of Sidewalk | Pedestrian Crossing Type | Tiebreaker Crash Cost | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 1 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | 678 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | \$686,800 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 11 | 2 | 21.001 | CSAH | 21 | Paul Bunyan Dr NW | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | \$342,800 | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 8 | 3 | 15.005 | CSAH | 15 | Anne St NW | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | \$7,800 | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 1 | 4 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Paul Bunyan Dr SE |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | \$7,800 | $\star \star$ |
| 3 | 5 | 8.007 | CSAH | 8 | Grant Ave SE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | \$410,400 | $\star$ |
| 10 | 6 | 17.006 | CSAH | 17 | Bemidji Ave N |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | \$264,800 | $\star$ |
| 7 | 7 | 15.004 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | \$189,600 | $\star$ |
| 4 | 8 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | \$181,800 | $\star$ |
| 9 | 9 | 17.005 | CSAH | 17 | Shorecrest Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | \$177,800 | $\star$ |
| 12 | 10 | 21.004 | CSAH | 21 | 29 St NW |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | \$102,600 | $\star$ |

See Appendix D for complete table of prioritized locations.

### 5.0 Beyond Infrastructure - County Highway Collaboration to Improve Local Road Safety

The focus of CRSP is to identify recommended priority safety projects at priority site locations within the County highway department's area of responsibility-namely, roadway infrastructure or engineering. However, the CRSP 2 process and this Plan recognize that severe traffic crashes are often largely due to poor driving behavior such as willful disregard for traffic laws and traffic control devices (e.g., texting while driving, not stopping at stop signs, red-lightrunning, speeding). Consequently, infrastructure safety improvements (e.g., rumble strips, improved intersection signing, etc.) are enhanced when deployed as part of a comprehensive and community-wide traffic safety approach. This section of the Plan looks beyond infrastructure safety improvements to guide county engineering staff to further engage with Regional TZD efforts through interdisciplinary collaboration to improve safety on county roads.

Traffic crashes are complex occurrences that often have multiple crash contributors. Traffic crashes may result from any combination of overlapping crash factors including the roadway or driving environment, the vehicle, and driver behavior. Figure 5-1 illustrates the complex interrelationship among these three crash contributors.

Crash Causation Factors


Figure 5-1. Crash Causation Factors ${ }^{1}$
Source: Human Factors and Highway Safety, FHWA Office of Safety Programs

[^0]These crash causation factors indicate that 93 percent of traffic crashes are due, in part, to the driver. Research supports, and CRSP 2 workshop participants across the state observed, that driver inattention/distractions, driver decision errors/poor judgment, and poor driver performance are primary factors contributing to traffic crashes (NHTSA, 2015a).

Minnesota statewide crash data from 2011 through 2015 was reviewed during CRSP 2 and revealed the following crash factors for the county road system.

- 49 percent Lane Departure while operating a motor vehicle
- 41 percent Intersection Related
- 36 percent Unbelted Motorists
- 22 percent Impaired Driver
- 19 percent Inattentive/Distracted Driver
- 18 percent Speed Related

The risk factors and their percentages, when added together, exceed 100 percent because severe crashes typically involve multiple overlapping factors working in unison to contribute to the crash (e.g., an impaired driver who was driving too fast and departed his lane). In addition to infrastructure safety needs, CRSP 2 workshop participants discussed common themes and expressed concern about the growing number of drivers who:

- Use their smartphone
- Drive under the influence of alcohol and drugs
- Are/have unbelted motorists
- Drive at unsafe speeds
- Fail to stop or yield at stop-through intersections

Minnesota's county highway staff recognizes that engineering and infrastructure investments alone will not eliminate all fatal and severe crashes until motorists also make safer choices. Therefore, county road safety efforts must reach beyond infrastructure or engineering safety strategies and actively support a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary approach to road safety. This approach includes, but is not limited to, effective local traffic law enforcement, public education that touts the risks associated with poor driving choices, and emergency medical responses to effectively treat and transfer crash victims to the appropriate level of hospital care. Leveraging local infrastructure strategies with driver behavior-related safety strategies strengthens the safety impact of county efforts to reduce severe crashes.

### 5.1 County Highway Engineering Coordination with Minnesota Toward Zero Deaths Program

To foster interdisciplinary cooperation and engagement at the state, regional, and local level, the statewide Minnesota TZD Program employs an integrated approach of engineering, enforcement, education, emergency medical and trauma services, and more (e.g., supportive and informed judicial staff and strong traffic safety legislation) to move Minnesota toward its zero fatality vision. In addition to the statewide TZD Program efforts, regional partnerships created in eight Minnesota geographic areas promote local-level TZD efforts. Each Regional TZD partnership has a local steering committee, co-led by MnDOT and State Patrol District personnel, to foster cooperation, establish safety priorities and initiatives, and leverage resources.

Minnesota's 87 counties are encouraged to collaborate with local driver-behavior safety partners and with the county's Regional TZD Program Coordinator to improve safety on local roadways. See Appendix E for Regional TZD Coordinator contact information.

### 5.2 Collaborations to Strengthen Local Road Safety

Following are a few examples of infrastructure-based safety strategies enhanced through interdisciplinary TZD collaboration.

- Cooperatively conduct county road safety presentations with the assistance of local law enforcement and local safety coalition members. Extend invitations to local law enforcement and safety coalition members to cooperatively participate in road safety presentations for county board or other public meetings on crash-causation and trends, effective safety countermeasures, and local support needed. Safety presentations that include behavioral safety partners reinforce awareness that preventing roadway deaths cannot be achieved through infrastructure improvements alone but require a comprehensive, interdisciplinary approach.
- Deploy Lane Departure infrastructure safety strategies coupled with enhanced enforcement and public outreach. To maximize the expected safety benefit of the Lane Departure safety strategies - such as centerline and edgeline rumble or mumble strips, high visibility pavement markings, and adding or widening edgelines - integrate increased enforcement presence at targeted, high-risk locations and timeframes. Coupling infrastructure strategies with additional enforcement, along with public media outreach about the problem/risk, infrastructure deployment and the added enforcement, will improve safety and reduce risky driver behavior by strengthening the public's perceived risk of being stopped.
- Cooperatively deploy roving dynamic speed display signs, with extra enforcement, to reduce speed. Speed is a persistent contributor to traffic deaths on Minnesota roads and reductions in speed related crashes have proven difficult. Roving dynamic speed display signs are changeable message signs activated by radar, or other speed-sensing devices, that display an approaching driver's traveling speed. This driver feedback in conjunction with visible enforcement puts the driver on notice to slow down. Deployment of dynamic speed display signs to reduce speed requires the cooperative effort of highway agencies and law enforcement as well as local media to inform the public.
- Support the expanded use of red light running confirmation lights coupled with enhanced enforcement. To reduce the most common type of serious crash at signalized intersections (right-angle crashes), an innovative, low-cost red light running confirmation enforcement light enables one officer to monitor an intersection from a downstream location to directly observe red light running violations and issue citations more effectively and safely without requiring pursuit through the intersection. Red light running confirmation lights require only one officer and, because the confirmation lights come on the same instant as the red light of the signal, officers spend less time in court. Red light running confirmation lights require strong collaboration between county engineering and local law enforcement. In addition, public education and media outreach about the red light running confirmation lights, with supporting enforcement, deters drivers from high-risk red light running.
- Consider the use of road safety audits and other crash analysis approaches to gain postcrash perspectives of severe crash causation and potential safety improvements. Although a cornerstone of the CRSP 2 process is the systemic analyses of roadway risk factors contributing to severe crashes and to proactively apply a safety treatment to priority locations to prevent a severe crash, if a fatal or serious injury crash occurs, consider engaging a multi-disciplinary safety team to share perspectives. Local safety stakeholders representing engineering, enforcement, education, and education outreach or local TZD Safe Road Coalition members can offer valuable insight to both the roadway and driver behavior components of a severe crash, its causation, and interdisciplinary approaches to improving the roadway safety and maximizing the impact of infrastructure safety strategies.

Although the focus of the CRSPs is to identify priority infrastructure safety investments at highrisk locations, county highway staff recognize the importance of reaching beyond infrastructure and implementing a collaborative, multi-disciplinary approach to improving road safety, an approach that aligns with the statewide Minnesota TZD Program and the Minnesota SHSP.

### 6.0 Safety Project Development and Recommended Projects

This CRSP document is developed with a focus on proven effective strategies that can be widely implemented at low-cost and at several locations with a higher probability of risk of severe crashes. A systemic deployment of strategies is implemented to address risk of potential for severe crashes where the crash densities are too low to warrant a spot analysis. In Minnesota, the crash densities are approximately 0.01 severe crashes per mile per year across the county roadway system, which is not statistically significant when observed individually. In the CRSP 2 approach, the presence of a crash is viewed as complimentary to the risk analysis rather than a sole influencer. Additionally, since HSIP provides limited funding, low-cost strategies allow for wider deployment and treatment of more at-risk locations on the county system.

### 6.1 Safety Project Development Technical Process

The first step in the safety project development process involved documenting existing roadway and traffic volume characteristics of each candidate location and then working through a checklist that considers how these features influence selection of a particular recommended strategy. After the initial check, the second step is developing a decision tree for candidate locations. Multiple iterations and refinement went into the development of the six unique decision trees for CRSP 2 that helped guide safety strategies for:

- Rural Segments (See Figure 6-1)
- Rural Curves (See Figure 6-2)
- Rural Intersections (See Figure 6-3)
- Urban Segments (See Figure 6-4)
- Urban Intersections - Vehicle Related (See Figure 6-5)
- Urban Intersections - Ped/Bike Related (See Figure 6-6)

The final step in the technical process of updating the Beltrami CRSP involves developing a list of recommended safety projects - a specific infrastructure-based safety strategy for each of the identified high priority locations. The updating process for CRSP 2 is more complex and comprehensive than CRSP 1 because Beltrami County has already implemented many of the recommended safety projects identified in CRSP 1. Additionally, CRSP 2 has a large number of strategies that are eligible to compete for HSIP funding.

The process for safety project development utilizes a technical approach to limit subjectivity that could be exhibited when making countermeasure recommendations. Collaboration with County staff was also necessary so that the final lists of recommended projects will be the most impactful and reduce the associated risk and/or address prior crash history at high priority locations. Key points associated with the individual crash trees are described in the following paragraphs and illustrated in the accompanying figures.

### 6.2 Rural Segments

Preventing Lane Departure crashes, both single vehicle run-off the road and cross center headon collisions, is the primary focus of safety project development along rural segments. Crash data indicate that single-vehicle crashes are over-represented where traffic volumes are between 500 and 2,500 vehicles per day and multiple Vehicle crashes are over-represented where traffic volumes are 1,500 vehicles per day and greater. This suggests, for single-vehicle related crashes, implementing road edge improvements such as enhanced edgelines or edge/shoulder rumble strips along lower volume segments would be the most beneficial to address the associated risk. As for multi-vehicle related crashes, a combination of edge and centerline improvements such as center rumble strips or center buffers should be implemented along higher volume segments.

Other factors considered include lane width and the presence of noise sensitive receivers (residences, schools, etc.). Implementation of edge rumble strips result in the perception that the width of the road has been narrowed which can increase complaints about vehicle noise in a more residentially dense area. One experimental countermeasure that can improve road edge safety as well as reduce the noise from vehicles striking rumble strips is a newer technology called sinusoidal rumble strips, or mumble strips. Since this is still an experimental strategy and not widely deployed, further research and performance evaluation should be considered before wide deployment. If lane widths are 12 feet, edge rumble strips are recommended. However, if lane widths are less than 12 feet, then enhanced edgelines are recommended, which can consist of, for example, 6 -inch edgelines or embedded wet-reflective pavement markings.

Project implementation typically focuses lower cost strategies (enhanced edgelines) on roadways with less volume where crash densities are low and the highest cost strategies (center buffers) are reserved for application along only the highest volume roadways.

### 6.3 Rural Curves

Preventing Lane Departure crashes is the primary focus of rural curve safety project development. Safety literature and Minnesota's crash data indicates that the risk of a Lane Departure crash in curves decreases with increasing length of curve radius. However, reconstructing curves to increase their radius typically costs between $\$ 500,000$ and $\$ 1,000,000$ per curve. There are approximately 30,000 curves along Minnesota’s county road system; therefore, reconstruction was not considered a feasible strategy to implement statewide due to limited funding. Instead, a number of lower cost safety strategies for curves were identified and include enhanced warning signs to improve navigation through curves, address slippery surfaces in curves with a history of crashes related to adverse pavement conditions, clear zone maintenance to reduce the severity of crashes when vehicles run off the road, and convert curves with multiple-T intersections to single-T intersections.

When deciding on a package of enhanced warning signs, the primary factor considered is the speed differential between the posted speed limit on the curve approach and either the posted advisory speed in the curve or an inferred advisory speed computed using a formula that accounts for curve radius, super-elevation, and pavement friction. A speed differential of 5 miles per hour typically results in use of an advanced curve warning sign (if not already inplace), 10 miles per hour suggests the use of an advanced sign plus a speed advisory, and a 15 mile per hour differential suggests the use of an advanced sign, a speed advisory, and chevrons.

If the curve has a radius in the critical range and has a visual trap, chevrons would be recommended regardless of the speed differential.
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Figure 6-1. Rural Segment Safety Project Decision Tree

Note: Locations that do not satisfy any case explicitly outlined in the decision trees are not automatically assigned a project and are separately considered for manual project assignment.
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Figure 6-2. Rural Curve Safety Project Decision Tree

Note: locations which do not satisfy any case explicitly outlined in the decision trees are not automatically assigned a project and are separately considered for manual project assignment

* Cross-product is the product of the Entering Major AADT * Entering Minor AADT


### 6.4 Rural Intersections

In Minnesota, a right-angle collision is the most common type of severe crash at rural intersections. County-selected strategies for this collision type have been very effective at mitigating these crashes. Strategies have included enhancing intersection related traffic signs and pavement markings, adding street lights, providing a dynamic warning system, and geometric upgrades (turning lanes, reduced conflict intersections, and roundabouts). Implementing these strategies range from a few thousand dollars for upgraded traffic signs and pavement markings to around \$1 million for reduced conflict intersections and roundabouts. The volume of traffic through the intersection and the roadway geometry were key factors considered when assigning a particular strategy to a specific intersection.
The crash analysis indicated that rural intersections with lower traffic volumes have fewer severe crashes than comparable intersections with higher volumes. Therefore, projects with lower costs were focused on for at-risk intersections with a variety of traffic volumes while projects of medium to higher costs were focused on for at-risk intersections with higher traffic volumes.

The cross section and geometry of the major roadway were also considered during project development. Since reduced conflict intersections are most appropriately applied at intersections where the mainline has a divided cross section, they were only considered at locations where county roadways intersect with four-lane divided state highways. Application of rural roundabouts were only considered at intersections where the volume cross product (multiplication of major approaching volume with minor approaching volume) was equal to or exceeded 40 million. In other words, if an existing STOP controlled intersection met or exceeded the traffic volume that warrants a traffic signal, the project team recommended implementing a roundabout.

The occurrence of a prior severe crash was a prerequisite for suggesting higher cost strategies as a way of limiting the number of candidate locations consistent with the limitations in available safety funding. Additionally, to recommend a feasible number of projects with an appropriate associated cost, higher cost strategies were reserved for unique situations due to the limited amount of transportation safety funding available.

### 6.5 Urban Segments

The most common type of severe crashes along urban roadway segments are two-vehicle, rearend and head-on crashes. The most commonly recommended project involves separating opposing traffic lanes and using this space to accommodate left-turning vehicles by converting wide two-lane or four-lane undivided roadways to either three-lane or five-lane cross sections. Key factors that were developed through the analysis that were considered during project development included roadway cross section, the volume of traffic, and access density.
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Figure 6-3. Rural Intersection Safety Project Decision Tree
Note: locations which do not satisfy any case explicitly outlined in the decision trees are not automatically assigned a project and are separately considered for manual project assignment


Figure 6-4. Urban Segment Safety Project Decision Tree
Note: Locations that do not satisfy any case explicitly outlined in the decision trees are not automatically assigned a project and are separately considered for manual project assignment.
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### 6.6 Urban Intersections - Vehicle Related Crashes

In Minnesota, a right-angle collision between two vehicles is the most common type of severe crash at urban intersections. County-selected safety strategies at urban intersections include: improving intersection geometry at unsignalized locations since installing traffic signals is not a safety strategy, adding confirmation lights to assist law enforcement to more efficiently address red light running, upgrading signal hardware, and converting to signalized reduced conflict intersections at locations already controlled by traffic signals.

Key considerations include the current type of intersection control, the volume of traffic through the intersection, the cross section of the major roadway, and the presence of a prior severe crash.

### 6.7 Urban Intersections - Pedestrian/Bike Related Crashes

In urban areas, majority of severe pedestrian/bike related crashes occur at intersections and the majority of these occur at intersections controlled by traffic signals. This suggests that traffic signals by themselves are not a safety strategy for pedestrians and bicyclists. Primary objectives for this type of project development include:

- Avoiding the addition of traffic signals at unsignalized intersections and instead focusing on reducing the crossing distance that pedestrians and bicyclists must traverse by adding curb extensions or median refuge islands.
- Adding pedestrian activated devices such as rectangular rapid flash beacons and high intensity activated crosswalk beacons.
- Adding proven effective strategies at already signalized intersections, such as countdown timers and a leading pedestrian interval, which provides pedestrians with a 3 to 5 second head start before providing vehicles with a green light.

Key factors considered during the project development process include intersection control, the traffic volume, and the roadway cross section.
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Figure 6-5. Urban Intersections - Vehicle Related Safety Project Decision Tree

Note: locations which do not satisfy any case explicitly outlined in the decision trees are not automatically assigned a project and are separately considered for manual project assignment


Figure 6-6. Urban Intersections - Pedestrian/Bike-Related Safety Project Decision Tree

Note: locations which do not satisfy any case explicitly outlined in the decision trees are not automatically assigned a project and are separately considered for manual project assignment

* Cross-product is the product of the Entering Major AADT * Entering Minor AADT


### 6.8 Recommended Safety Project Overview

The systemic risk assessment process identified at-risk locations that were considered priorities for safety project development and decision trees document the process that considered roadway features, traffic volumes, and the presence of prior crashes. This resulted in identification of a recommended safety project(s). An overview of the recommended projects is provided in the following paragraphs and summarized in Table 6-1. The full list of recommended projects can be found in Appendix F and the corresponding maps with project locations can be found in Appendix $G$.

- Rural Segments: 19 projects/\$2,410,000
- Buffer Between Opposing Lanes (2 projects)
- Clear Zone Maintenance (1)
- 6" Wet Reflective in Groove (4)
- Centerline Rumble Strip (7)
- Shoulder Rumble Strip (1)
- Enhanced Edgeline (4)
- Rural Curves: 12 projects/\$625,800
- Clear Zone Maintenance (6)
- Curve Lighting (1)
- Chevrons/Arrow Board (5)
- Rural Intersections: 53 projects/\$9,613,500
- Upgraded Signs \& Markings (12)
- All-Way STOP Conversion (1)
- Street Lights (9)
- Left \& Right Turn Lanes (15)
- LED STOP (7)
- Reduced Conflict Intersection (RCI) (3)
- All Approach Rural Intersection Conflict Warning System (RICWS) (3)
- Roundabout (3)
- Urban Segments: 7 projects/\$462,000
- Road Diet Convert to 3-Lane (3)
- Sidewalk (4)
- Urban Intersections (Vehicle Related): 2 projects $\$ \mathbf{3 , 0 0 0}$
- Confirmation Lights (2)
- Urban Intersections (pedestrian/bike related): 7 projects/\$284,000
- Curb Extension (1)
- Countdown Timers (2)
- Leading Pedestrian Interval (1)
- Upgrade Signal Head Hardware (1)
- Update Signal to Meet MUTCD Recommendation (2)

Table 6-1. Summary of Beltrami County Recommended Safety Projects

| Project Type Category | Number of <br> Projects | Estimated Cost |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Rural |  |  |
| Segments | 19 | $\$ 2,410,000$ |
| Curves | 12 | $\$ 625,800$ |
| Intersections | 53 | $\$ 9,613,500$ |
| Total Rural | $\underline{84}$ | $\$ 12.65$ million |
| Urban |  |  |
| Segments | 7 | $\$ 462,000$ |
| Intersections (Vehicle) | 2 | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Intersections (Ped/Bike) | 7 | $\$ 284,000$ |
| Total Urban | $\underline{16}$ | $\$ 749,000$ |
| Total | 99 | $\$ 13.40$ million |

One additional task that was completed as part of the overall safety project development process for Beltrami County was compiling project information in a single sheet in order to streamline the process for counties applying for HSIP funding. The HSIP submission form (Figure 6-7) includes; a description of the location, crash history, a summary of the systemic risk factors, a list of alternative strategies considered, identification of the recommended project, and estimated project cost. HSIP Submission forms for every recommended project can be found in Appendix H .


Figure 6-7. Sample Highway Safety Improvement Program Submission Form
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# Appendix A - List of <br> Analyzed Locations 
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| Rural Segment List for Beltrami County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRSP2 ID Example: 1.001: $1=$ Route Number, $001=$ First Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List No. | Segment ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Start Description | End Description | Length <br> [Miles] | Context Zone | Edge Risk | ADT [vpd] | Lane Width [Feet] | Access <br> Density <br> [access per mile] | Total Lane <br> Departure <br> Crashes | Fatal Lane <br> Departure Crashes | Total HO+SSO Crashes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Severe } \\ & \text { HO+SSO } \\ & \text { Crashes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | S.04.4.1.001 | 1.001 | CSAH | 1 | 0.75 miles S of the Beltrami County Line | Beltrami County Line | 5.75 | Natural | 2 S | 400 | 12 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | S.04.4.12.003 | 12.003 | CSAH | 12 | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE | 4.09 | Residential | 1 | 1,750 | 12.5 | 13.4 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3 | S.04.4.12.004 | 12.004 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 6.02 | Natural | 2 S | 645 | 12.5 | 8.1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | S.04.4.12.005 | 12.005 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 5.09 | Natural | 2 S | 490 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | S.04.4.13.001 | 13.001 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 7.74 | Agricultural | 1 | 165 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | S.04.4.14.001 | 14.001 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | 5.38 | Agricultural | 2 S | 1,060 | 11 | 15.3 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | S.04.4.14.002 | 14.002 | CSAH | 14 | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | U.S. Rte 2 | 2.08 | Residential | 1 | 735 | 12 | 22.6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | S.04.4.15.003 | 15.003 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 7.12 | Residential | 1 | 2,165 | 12.5 | 14.5 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 9 | S.04.4.15.004 | 15.004 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 6.61 | Natural | 2 C | 870 | 11 | 5.7 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 10 | S.04.4.15.005 | 15.005 | CSAH | 15 | Red Clover St | S Boundary Rd | 2.29 | Agricultural | 2 C | 760 | 11 | 11.8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | S.04.4.16.001 | 16.001 | CSAH | 16 | Centerline Rd NW | Wilton Hill Rd NW | 5.50 | Agricultural | 1 | 400 | 11 | 11.6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | S.04.4.19.002 | 19.002 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | 4.18 | Residential | 1 | 1,190 | 13 | 10.5 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 13 | S.04.4.2.001 | 2.001 | CSAH | 2 | 0.09 miles E of Monroe Ave SW | U.S. Rte 2 | 3.57 | Agricultural | 1 | 725 | 12 | 18.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | S.04.4.20.001 | 20.001 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE | Big Bass Rd NE | 2.64 | Residential | 2 S | 2,745 | 12.5 | 24.6 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 15 | S.04.4.20.002 | 20.002 | CSAH | 20 | Big Bass Rd NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE | 4.51 | Natural | 25 | 970 | 11.5 | 10.9 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | S.04.4.20.003 | 20.003 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 11.43 | Natural | 2 C | 440 | 12 | 6.7 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 17 | S.04.4.21.003 | 21.003 | CSAH | 21 | Glidden Rd NE | Island View Dr NE | 6.08 | Residential | 1 | 1,540 | 12.5 | 15.6 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 18 | S.04.4.21.004 | 21.004 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr NE | Hwy 71 | 0.74 | Residential | 1 | 1,050 | 12.5 | 21.8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | S.04.4.22.001 | 22.001 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 8.41 | Natural | 1 | 445 | 12 | 6.8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 20 | S.04.4.22.002 | 22.002 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 6.00 | Agricultural | 1 | 1,150 | 12 | 15.8 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 21 | S.04.4.22.003 | 22.003 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 7.05 | Residential | 2 C | 555 | 11.5 | 17.7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | S.04.4.22.004 | 22.004 | CSAH | 22 | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | US-71 Old | 0.10 | Residential | 1 | 570 | 12 | 28.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | S.04.4.22.005 | 22.005 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE | 4.45 | Residential | 2 S | 570 | 12 | 10.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | S.04.4.22.006 | 22.006 | CSAH | 22 | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | 2.40 miles W of Co Rd 39 | 6.84 | Natural | 1 | 125 | 12.5 | 2.6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | S.04.4.23.001 | 23.001 | CSAH | 23 | Hwy 71 | Newcomb Ln NE | 4.89 | Residential | 1 | 845 | 12 | 11.3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | S.04.4.23.002 | 23.002 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE | 6.95 | Agricultural | 1 | 395 | 12.5 | 10.4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 27 | S.04.4.23.003 | 23.003 | CSAH | 23 | Nebish Rd NE | Hwy 1 | 7.75 | Agricultural | 1 | 245 | 12.5 | 7.2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 28 | S.04.4.23.005 | 23.005 | CSAH | 23 | Cormant Rd NE | Battle River Rd NE | 3.48 | Agricultural | 1 | 100 | 12 | 7.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | S.04.4.23.007 | 23.007 | CSAH | 23 | Bushy Lane Rd NE | Hwy 72 | 8.41 | Agricultural | 1 | 90 | 11 | 4.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | S.04.4.24.001 | 24.001 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW | 2.91 | Agricultural | 1 | 250 | 12 | 7.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | S.04.4.24.002 | 24.002 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 5.52 | Natural | 1 | 500 | 12 | 8.2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 32 | S.04.4.25.001 | 25.001 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | 3.31 | Natural | 2 S | 320 | 12 | 14.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | S.04.4.26.001 | 26.001 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 5.99 | Agricultural | 1 | 255 | 12.5 | 9.3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 34 | S.04.4.27.001 | 27.001 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 2.00 | Agricultural | 1 | 690 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | S.04.4.27.002 | 27.002 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | 4.07 | Natural | 1 | 595 | 12 | 7.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | S.04.4.27.003 | 27.003 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 3.93 | Residential | 1 | 290 | 12 | 13.5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | S.04.4.29.001 | 29.001 | CSAH | 29 | 3rd Ave N | Hwy 71 | 0.15 | Recreational | 1 | 505 | 12 | 54.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | S.04.4.29.002 | 29.002 | CSAH | 29 | Hwy 71 | Swinburne Ct NW | 1.26 | Natural | 1 | 255 | 12 | 14.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | S.04.4.29.003 | 29.003 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE | 2.22 | Residential | 2 S | 255 | 12 | 14.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | S.04.4.30.001 | 30.001 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 5.55 | Residential | 1 | 710 | 12 | 18.7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | S.04.4.30.002 | 30.002 | CSAH | 30 | Carl Ave | Hwy 71 | 0.17 | Commercial | 1 | 2,175 | 12 | 46.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | S.04.4.30.003 | 30.003 | CSAH | 30 | Hwy 71 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | 1.10 | Residential | 1 | 1,305 | 12 | 30.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 43 | S.04.4.30.004 | 30.004 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | 5.24 | Agricultural | 2 S | 1,050 | 11 | 9.5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | S.04.4.31.001 | 31.001 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Hwy 71 | 2.01 | Agricultural | 2 S | 95 | 11 | 13.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Rural Segment List for Beltrami County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRSP2 ID Example: 1.001: 1= Route Number, $001=$ First Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List No. | Segment ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Start Description | End Description | Length [Miles] | Context Zone | Edge Risk | ADT [vpd] | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Access Density [access per mile] | Total Lane Departure Crashes | Fatal Lane Departure Crashes | Total <br> HO+SSO <br> Crashes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Severe } \\ \text { HO }+ \text { SSO } \\ \text { Crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 45 | S.04.4.31.002 | 31.002 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Nebish Rd NE | 5.62 | Agricultural | 25 | 285 | 12 | 11.9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | S.04.4.32.001 | 32.001 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 10.57 | Agricultural | 2 S | 235 | 11 | 7.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | S.04.4.32.002 | 32.002 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE | 8.76 | Agricultural | 2 C | 415 | 11 | 11.0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 48 | S.04.4.32.003 | 32.003 | CSAH | 32 | Irvine Ave NE | Everts Rd NE | 5.86 | Agricultural | 1 | 385 | 11 | 9.7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | S.04.4.32.004 | 32.004 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE | Hwy 72 | 8.07 | Agricultural | 1 | 385 | 11 | 10.0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 50 | S.04.4.33.001 | 33.001 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 5.80 | Natural | 1 | 1,055 | 11 | 10.0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 51 | S.04.4.34.001 | 34.001 | CSAH | 34 | Pioneer Rd NE | Corral Rd NE | 6.02 | Agricultural | 1 | 195 | 12.5 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | S.04.4.35.001 | 35.001 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE | 1.93 | Agricultural | 1 | 270 | 12.5 | 20.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | S.04.4.36.001 | 36.001 | CSAH | 36 | hwy 1 | Shevlin Ave SW | 8.56 | Agricultural | 2 S | 445 | 12 | 10.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | S.04.4.36.002 | 36.002 | CSAH | 36 | Shevlin Ave SW | Clark Ave S | 0.44 | Residential | 1 | 500 | 12 | 42.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | S.04.4.36.003 | 36.003 | CSAH | 36 | Clark Ave S | 0.97 miles E of Clark Ave S | 0.97 | Commercial | 2 S | 280 | 12 | 11.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56 | S.04.4.36.004 | 36.004 | CSAH | 36 | 0.97 miles E of Clark Ave S | 0 | 0.49 | Agricultural | 2 S | 260 | 12 | 12.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 57 | S.04.4.39.001 | 39.001 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE | 5.08 | Natural | 2 C | 420 | 12 | 7.9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 58 | S.04.4.39.002 | 39.002 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 9.28 | Natural | 2 C | 485 | 12.5 | 8.1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 | S.04.4.39.003 | 39.003 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 8.24 | Natural | 2 C | 515 | 11 | 9.2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 60 | S.04.4.39.004 | 39.004 | CSAH | 39 | Beighley Rd NE | Co Rd 47 | 0.77 | Agricultural | 1 | 740 | 11 | 16.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | S.04.4.4.001 | 4.001 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | 3.31 | Residential | 2 S | 270 | 12 | 17.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | S.04.4.43.001 | 43.001 | CSAH | 43 | Hwy 71 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St | 0.90 | Agricultural | 1 | 125 | 11.5 | 7.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | S.04.4.43.002 | 43.002 | CSAH | 43 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St | Hwy 71 | 1.00 | Agricultural | 1 | 125 | 11.5 | 19.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64 | S.04.4.46.001 | 46.001 | CSAH | 46 | Jackson Ave SW | Hwy 71 | 1.50 | Agricultural | 1 | 610 | 12 | 20.1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 65 | S.04.4.47.001 | 47.001 | CSAH | 47 | Hwy 71 | Hwy 71 | 0.76 | Residential | 1 | 1,010 | 12 | 43.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 66 | S.04.4.47.002 | 47.002 | CSAH | 47 | Hwy 71 | 0.32 miles N of Hwy 71 | 0.32 | Residential | 1 | 570 | 12 | 74.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 67 | S.04.4.47.003 | 47.003 | CSAH | 47 | 0.32 miles N of Hwy 71 | Hwy 72 | 0.41 | Agricultural | 1 | 570 | 12 | 17.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 68 | S.04.4.48.001 | 48.001 | CSAH | 48 | 0.51 miles W of Sportsmen Rd SW | Fern Lake Rd SW | 1.51 | Agricultural | 1 | 185 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 69 | S.04.4.5.001 | 5.001 | CSAH | 5 | Beltrami County Line | Russell Dr NW | 6.90 | Agricultural | 1 | 275 | 12.5 | 8.5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 70 | S.04.4.5.002 | 5.002 | CSAH | 5 | Russell Dr NW | Hwy 2 | 0.38 | Agricultural | 25 | 460 | 12 | 15.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 71 | S.04.4.5.003 | 5.003 | CSAH | 5 | Hwy 2 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | 0.64 | Agricultural | 2 S | 690 | 11 | 24.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 72 | S.04.4.5.004 | 5.004 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 10.48 | Agricultural | 25 | 390 | 11 | 10.0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 73 | S.04.4.5.005 | 5.005 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 6.09 | Agricultural | 1 | 255 | 11 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 74 | S.04.4.50.002 | 50.002 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | 2.69 | Commercial | 1 | 3,800 | 13 | 3.3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 75 | S.04.4.54.001 | 54.001 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line | 1.85 | Natural | 1 | 100 | 12.5 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | S.04.4.57.002 | 57.002 | CSAH | 57 | 0.05 miles S of Main Ave W | Bemidji Rd NE | 0.72 | Residential | 2 S | 395 | 12 | 16.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 77 | S.04.4.59.001 | 59.001 | CSAH | 59 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 | 0.82 | Natural | 1 | 1,450 | 12 | 15.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 78 | S.04.4.7.001 | 7.001 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 7.10 | Agricultural | 1 | 1,350 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 79 | S.04.4.8.002 | 8.002 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE | 6.62 | Agricultural | 1 | 2,130 | 12.5 | 15.0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 80 | S.04.4.8.003 | 8.003 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 4.97 | Residential | 1 | 1,925 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 81 | S.04.4.9.001 | 9.001 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | 5.65 | Agricultural | 1 | 2,150 | 12 | 15.2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 82 | S.04.4.9.002 | 9.002 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 6.93 | Agricultural | 2 S | 370 | 12 | 8.2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 83 | S.04.4.90.001 | 90.001 | CSAH | 90 | U.S. Rte 2 | Stevens Ave | 0.16 | Residential | 2 S | 725 | 10 | 43.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 84 | S.04.4.92.001 | 92.001 | CSAH | 92 | Summit Ave | Brandl Dr NW | 0.31 | Campus | 1 | 400 | 12 | 44.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 85 | S.04.4.93.001 | 93.001 | CSAH | 93 | Main St W | Clark Ave N | 0.22 | Residential | 1 | 85 | 12 | 73.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 86 | S.04.4.94.001 | 94.001 | CSAH | 94 | Kelliher Rd SW | Clark Ave N | 0.40 | Residential | 1 | 160 | 12 | 64.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 87 | S.04.7.305.002 | 305.002 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 2.97 | Residential | 1 | 255 | 11 | 11.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 88 | S.04.7.401.001 | 401.001 | CR | 401 | Beltrami Line Rd | Woodward Dr SW | 1.81 | Agricultural | 1 | 535 | 11 | 13.8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2/202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 / |

## Rural Segment List for Beltrami County

CRSP2 ID Example: 1.001: $1=$ Route Number, $001=$ First Segment


## This page intentionally left blank

Rural Curve List for Beltrami County

| List <br> No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | Area Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane Width [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Outside <br> Shoulder <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Total <br> Cross <br> Section <br> Width [ft] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | Outside <br> Edge <br> Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.001 | CSAH | 1 | 0.75 miles S of the Beltrami County Line | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 4,216 | Rural | 400 | 12.0 | Paved | 1 | 26 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 2 | 11.001 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | 55 | 1,102 | Suburban | 1,050 | 12.5 | Paved | 8 | 41 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 3 | 11.002 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | 55 | 1,155 | Suburban | 3,400 | 12.5 | Paved | 8 | 41 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 4 | 11.003 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | 55 | 1,726 | Suburban | 3,400 | 12.5 | Paved | 8 | 41 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 5 | 11.004 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | 55 | 1,298 | Suburban | 3,400 | 12.5 | Paved | 8 | 41 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 6 | 11.005 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | 55 | 1,605 | Suburban | 3,400 | 12.5 | Paved | 8 | 41 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 7 | 11.006 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | 55 | 1,148 | Suburban | 3,400 | 12.5 | Paved | 8 | 41 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 8 | 11.007 | CSAH | 11 | 0.20 miles N of Florence Ct NW | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 828 | Suburban | 3,300 | 12.5 | Composite | 9 | 43 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 9 | 11.008 | CSAH | 11 | 0.20 miles N of Florence Ct NW | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 728 | Suburban | 3,300 | 12.5 | Composite | 9 | 43 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 10 | 11.009 | CSAH | 11 | 0.20 miles N of Florence Ct NW | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 138 | Suburban | 3,300 | 12.5 | Composite | 9 | 43 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 11 | 12.004 | CSAH | 12 | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE | 55 | 3,120 | Rural | 1,750 | 12.5 | Curb \& Gutter | 8 | 41 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 12 | 12.005 | CSAH | 12 | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE | 55 | 3,633 | Rural | 1,750 | 12.5 | Paved | 6 | 37 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 13 | 12.006 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 1,103 | Rural | 720 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 14 | 12.007 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 1,241 | Rural | 720 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 15 | 12.008 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 4,903 | Rural | 720 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 16 | 12.009 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 7,124 | Rural | 720 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 17 | 12.010 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 5,541 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 18 | 12.011 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 2,885 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 19 | 12.012 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 4,413 | Rural | 490 | 13.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 20 | 12.013 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 3,003 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 21 | 12.014 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 1,426 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 22 | 12.015 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 55 | 3,183 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 23 | 12.016 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 3,048 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 24 | 12.017 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,542 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 25 | 12.018 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 2,873 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 26 | 12.019 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 2,224 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 27 | 12.020 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 4,711 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 28 | 12.021 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,925 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 29 | 12.022 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,500 | Rural | 490 | 12.5 | Composite | 3.5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 30 | 13.001 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,102 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 31 | 13.002 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,150 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 32 | 13.003 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,192 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 33 | 13.004 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,106 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 34 | 13.005 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,091 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 35 | 13.006 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,078 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 6.5 | 38 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 36 | 13.007 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,077 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 37 | 13.008 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,276 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 3 | 31 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 38 | 13.009 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 3,363 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 39 | 13.010 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,784 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 5 | 35 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 40 | 13.011 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,734 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4.5 | 34 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 41 | 13.012 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 1,925 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 42 | 13.013 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 55 | 288 | Rural | 165 | 12.5 | Gravel | 5 | 35 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |

Rural Curve List for Beltrami County

| List <br> No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | Area <br> Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane Width [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Outside <br> Shoulder <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Total Cross Section Width [ft] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | Outsid <br> Edge <br> Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 14.002 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | 55 | 710 | Suburban | 580 | 11.5 | Gravel | 2 | 27 | Intersection | PRESENT | None | 2 C |
| 44 | 14.003 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | 55 | 1,403 | Suburban | 580 | 12.0 | Paved | 7 | 38 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 45 | 14.004 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | 55 | 1,586 | Suburban | 580 | 12.0 | Paved | 6.5 | 37 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 46 | 14.005 | CSAH | 14 | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 1,299 | Suburban | 580 | 12.5 | Paved | 8 | 41 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 47 | 14.006 | CSAH | 14 | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 988 | Suburban | 580 | 12.5 | Paved | 8 | 41 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 48 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 1,235 | Rural | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | 12 | 50 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 49 | 15.002 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 2,997 | Suburban | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | 12 | 50 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 50 | 15.003 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 1,759 | Suburban | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | 12 | 50 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 51 | 15.004 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 1,280 | Suburban | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | 11 | 48 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 52 | 15.005 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 1,542 | Suburban | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | NV | 26 | NV | None | None | 1 |
| 53 | 15.006 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 2,049 | Suburban | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | NV | 26 | NV | None | None | 1 |
| 54 | 15.010 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 906 | Suburban | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | 10.5 | 47 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 55 | 15.011 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 1,608 | Suburban | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | 12 | 50 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 56 | 15.012 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 856 | Suburban | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | 10 | 46 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 57 | 15.013 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 897 | Suburban | 2,000 | 13.0 | Composite | 11 | 48 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 58 | 15.014 | CSAH | 15 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 55 | 3,000 | Suburban | 540 | 13.0 | Composite | 11 | 48 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 59 | 15.015 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 55 | 755 | Rural | 860 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 2 C |
| 60 | 15.016 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 55 | 671 | Rural | 860 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 61 | 15.017 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 55 | 2,363 | Rural | 860 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 62 | 15.018 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 55 | 1,186 | Rural | 860 | 11.5 | Gravel | 3 | 29 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 63 | 15.019 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 55 | 1,783 | Rural | 940 | 11.0 | Paved | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 64 | 15.020 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 55 | 1,250 | Rural | 940 | 11.0 | Paved | 3 | 28 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 65 | 15.021 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 55 | 613 | Rural | 940 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 66 | 16.001 | CSAH | 16 | Centerline Rd NW | Wilton Hill Rd NW | 55 | 902 | Rural | 400 | 11.5 | Gravel | 5 | 33 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 67 | 16.002 | CSAH | 16 | Centerline Rd NW | Wilton Hill Rd NW | 55 | 922 | Rural | 400 | 11.5 | Gravel | 4 | 31 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 68 | 19.001 | CSAH | 19 | Power Dam Rd NE | Elliot Rd NE | 40 | 1,159 | Suburban | 1,900 | 13.0 | Paved | 8 | 42 | Intersection | None | Present | 1 |
| 69 | 19.002 | CSAH | 19 | Power Dam Rd NE | Elliot Rd NE | 50 | 882 | Suburban | 1,900 | 13.0 | Paved | 8 | 42 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 70 | 19.004 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | 55 | 1,094 | Rural | 1,200 | 13.0 | Composite | 10 | 46 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 71 | 19.005 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | 55 | 2,314 | Rural | 1,200 | 12.5 | Paved | 6 | 37 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 72 | 19.006 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | 55 | 683 | Rural | 1,200 | 12.5 | Curb \& Gutter | 2 | 29 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 73 | 19.007 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | 55 | 1,192 | Rural | 1,200 | 12.5 | Curb \& Gutter | 2 | 29 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 74 | 19.008 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | 55 | 862 | Rural | 1,200 | 12.5 | Curb \& Gutter | 2 | 29 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 75 | 19.009 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | 55 | 474 | Rural | 1,200 | 12.5 | Curb \& Gutter | 2 | 29 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 76 | 2.001 | CSAH | 2 | 0.09 miles E of Monroe Ave SW | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 771 | Rural | 450 | 11.5 | Gravel | 5 | 33 | Railroad | None | None | 1 |
| 77 | 20.001 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE | Big Bass Rd NE | 55 | 571 | Suburban | 3,450 | 12.5 | Paved | 9 | 43 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 78 | 20.002 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE | Big Bass Rd NE | 55 | 753 | Suburban | 3,450 | 12.0 | Paved | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 79 | 20.004 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 2,603 | Rural | 600 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 80 | 20.005 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,872 | Rural | 600 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 81 | 20.006 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 5,236 | Rural | 600 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 82 | 20.007 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 2,110 | Rural | 600 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 83 | 20.008 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,310 | Rural | 265 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 84 | 20.009 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,895 | Rural | 265 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |

Rural Curve List for Beltrami County

| List No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | Area Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane Width [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Outside <br> Shoulder <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Total Cross Section Width [ft] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | Outside Edge Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 20.010 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,421 | Rural | 265 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 86 | 20.011 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 2,447 | Rural | 265 | 12.0 | Composite | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 87 | 20.012 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,108 | Rural | 340 | 12.0 | Composite | 7 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 88 | 20.013 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,126 | Rural | 340 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 89 | 20.014 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 2,249 | Rural | 340 | 12.0 | Composite | 6 | 36 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 90 | 20.015 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,096 | Rural | 340 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 91 | 20.016 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,066 | Rural | 340 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 92 | 20.017 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 55 | 1,057 | Rural | 340 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 93 | 21.002 | CSAH | 21 | 29th St NE | Glidden Rd NE | 55 | 2,521 | Suburban | 2,800 | 12.5 | Paved | 10 | 45 | INTERSECTION | PRESENT | NONE | 1 |
| 94 | 21.003 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr NE | Hwy 71 | 55 | 645 | Rural | 1,050 | 14.0 | Composite | 11 | 50 | INTERSECTION | NONE | NONE | 1 |
| 95 | 22.001 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,308 | Rural | 240 | 12.5 | Composite | 8 | 41 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 96 | 22.002 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 55 | 891 | Rural | 550 | 13.0 | Composite |  | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 97 | 22.003 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,091 | Rural | 550 | 12.5 | Composite | 7 | 39 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 98 | 22.004 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 55 | 3,904 | Rural | 550 | 12.5 | Composite | 9 | 43 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 99 | 22.005 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 55 | 10,662 | Rural | 550 | 12.5 | Composite | 6 | 37 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 100 | 22.006 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,107 | Rural | 550 | 12.5 | Composite | 7 | 39 | Intersection | PRESENT | None | 1 |
| 101 | 22.007 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,102 | Rural | 550 | 12.5 | Composite | 8.5 | 42 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 102 | 22.008 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 1,156 | Suburban | 1,100 | 11.0 | Composite | 9 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 103 | 22.009 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 1,010 | Suburban | 1,100 | 11.0 | Composite | 7 | 36 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 104 | 22.010 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 727 | Suburban | 720 | 11.5 | Composite | 8 | 39 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 105 | 22.011 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 1,964 | Suburban | 720 | 11.5 | Composite | 6 | 35 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 106 | 22.012 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 787 | Suburban | 720 | 11.5 | Composite | 10 | 43 | Intersection | None | None | 2 C |
| 107 | 22.013 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 752 | Suburban | 720 | 11.5 | Composite | 9 | 41 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 108 | 22.014 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 788 | Suburban | 720 | 11.5 | Composite | 5 | 33 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 109 | 22.015 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 2,191 | Suburban | 720 | 11.5 | Composite | 6 | 35 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 110 | 22.016 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 657 | Suburban | 370 | 11.0 | Composite | 8 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 2 C |
| 111 | 22.017 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 744 | Suburban | 370 | 11.0 | Composite | 7 | 36 | Intersection | None | None |  |
| 112 | 22.018 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 1,818 | Suburban | 370 | 11.5 | Composite | 5 | 33 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 113 | 22.019 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 1,629 | Suburban | 370 | 11.5 | Composite | 5 | 33 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 114 | 22.020 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 2,010 | Suburban | 370 | 12.0 | Composite | 8 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 115 | 22.021 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 788 | Suburban | 370 | 12.0 | Composite | 7 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 116 | 22.022 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 1,037 | Suburban | 370 | 12.0 | Composite | 7 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 117 | 22.023 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 1,036 | Suburban | 370 | 12.0 | Composite | 7 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 118 | 22.024 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 1,253 | Suburban | 370 | 12.0 | Composite | 7 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 119 | 22.025 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 986 | Suburban | 615 | 12.0 | Composite | 10 | 44 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 120 | 22.026 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 55 | 1,054 | Suburban | 495 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 121 | 22.027 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE | 55 | 1,418 | Suburban | 570 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 122 | 22.028 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE | 55 | 1,908 | Suburban | 570 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 123 | 22.029 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE | 55 | 959 | Suburban | 570 | 12.0 | Composite | 8 | 40 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 124 | 22.040 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | 55 | 1,097 | Suburban | 125 | 12.0 | Paved | 2 | 28 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 125 | 22.042 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | 55 | 425 | Suburban | 125 | 12.0 | Paved | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 126 | 22.043 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | 55 | 745 | Suburban | 125 | 12.0 | Paved | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |

Rural Curve List for Beltrami County

| List <br> No. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | Area Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane Width [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Outside <br> Shoulder <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Total <br> Cross <br> Section Width [ft] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | Outsid Edge Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127 | 22.044 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | 55 | 328 | Suburban | 125 | 12.0 | Paved | , | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 2 C |
| 128 | 22.045 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | 55 | 522 | Suburban | 125 | 12.0 | Paved | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 129 | 22.046 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | 55 | 319 | Suburban | 125 | 12.0 | Paved | 3 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 130 | 23.001 | CSAH | 23 | Hwy 71 | Newcomb Ln NE | 55 | 961 | Rural | 640 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 131 | 23.002 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE | 55 | 1,805 | Rural | 640 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 132 | 23.003 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE | 55 | 1,451 | Rural | 640 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 133 | 23.004 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE | 55 | 1,891 | Rural | 640 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 134 | 23.005 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE | 55 | 736 | Rural | 640 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 135 | 23.006 | CSAH | 23 | Nebish Rd NE | Hwy 1 | 55 | 274 | Rural | 150 | 13.0 | Gravel | 6 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 136 | 23.015 | CSAH | 23 | Shotley Rd NE | Bushy Lane Rd NE | 55 | 1,628 | Rural | 120 | 11.5 | Gravel | 5 | 33 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 137 | 23.016 | CSAH | 23 | Shotley Rd NE | Bushy Lane Rd NE | 55 | 1,556 | Rural | 120 | 11.3 | Gravel | 5 | 32.5 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 138 | 24.001 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW | 55 | 1,581 | Rural | 250 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 139 | 24.002 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW | 55 | 1,542 | Rural | 250 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 140 | 24.003 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW | 55 | 3,319 | Rural | 250 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 141 | 24.004 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW | 55 | 1,458 | Rural | 250 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 142 | 24.005 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW | 55 | 1,635 | Rural | 250 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 143 | 24.006 | CSAH | 24 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 55 | 417 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 144 | 24.007 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 55 | 6,569 | Rural | 500 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 145 | 24.008 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,209 | Rural | 500 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 3 |
| 146 | 24.009 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 55 | 2,236 | Rural | 500 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3.5 | 31 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 147 | 24.010 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 55 | 2,119 | Rural | 500 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 148 | 24.011 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,142 | Rural | 500 | 11.0 | Composite | 4.5 | 31 | None | None | None | 3 |
| 149 | 24.012 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 55 | 2,152 | Rural | 500 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 150 | 24.013 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 55 | 814 | Rural | 500 | 12.0 | Composite | 3.5 | 31 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 151 | 24.014 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 55 | 812 | Rural | 500 | 12.0 | Composite | 3.5 | 31 | Intersection | Present | None | 1 |
| 152 | 25.001 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | 55 | 1,148 | Rural | 320 | 11.5 | Composite | 7.5 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 153 | 25.002 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | 55 | 1,504 | Rural | 320 | 11.5 | Composite | 6.5 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 154 | 25.003 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | 55 | 1,456 | Rural | 320 | 11.5 | Composite | 7 | 37 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 155 | 25.004 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | 55 | 6,930 | Rural | 320 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 156 | 26.001 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 55 | 1,407 | Rural | 230 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 157 | 26.002 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 55 | 2,740 | Rural | 230 | 12.5 | Gravel | 4 | 33 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 158 | 26.003 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 55 | 2,179 | Rural | 230 | 12.5 | Gravel | 3 | 31 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 159 | 26.004 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 55 | 1,552 | Rural | 540 | 12.5 | Gravel | 3.5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 160 | 27.001 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 1,248 | Rural | 690 | 12.5 | Paved | 10 | 45 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 161 | 27.002 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 1,413 | Rural | 690 | 12.5 | Paved | 6 | 37 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 162 | 27.003 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 2,524 | Rural | 690 | 12.5 | Paved | 6 | 37 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 163 | 27.004 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 1,597 | Rural | 690 | 12.5 | Paved | 6 | 37 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 164 | 27.005 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 996 | Rural | 690 | 12.5 | Paved | 6 | 37 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 165 | 27.006 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 844 | Rural | 690 | 12.5 | Composite | 6 | 37 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 166 | 27.007 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | 55 | 3,061 | Rural | 630 | 12.0 | Composite | 6 | 36 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 167 | 27.008 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | 55 | 1,377 | Rural | 630 | 12.0 | Composite | 8 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 168 | 27.009 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | 55 | 4,679 | Rural | 540 | 12.0 | Composite | 9 | 42 | None | None | None | 1 |

Rural Curve List for Beltrami County
CRSP2 ID Example: 1.001: $1=$ Route Number, $001=$ First Curve

| List <br> No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | Area Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Outside Shoulder Width [Feet] | Total Cross Section Width [ft] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outside } \\ \text { Edge } \\ \text { Risk } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169 | 27.010 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 1,167 | Rural | 290 | 12.0 | Composite | 10 | 44 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 170 | 27.011 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 2,827 | Rural | 290 | 12.0 | Composite | 11 | 46 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 171 | 27.012 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 985 | Rural | 290 | 12.0 | Composite | 9.5 | 43 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 172 | 27.013 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 1,291 | Rural | 290 | 12.0 | Composite | 8.5 | 41 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 173 | 27.014 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 970 | Rural | 290 | 12.0 | Composite | 8.5 | 41 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 174 | 29.003 | CSAH | 29 | Hwy 71 | Swinburne Ct NW | 55 | 1,512 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Gravel | 2 | 28 | None | None | None | 25 |
| 175 | 29.004 | CSAH | 29 | Hwy 71 | Swinburne Ct NW | 55 | 1,219 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 176 | 29.005 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE | 55 | 829 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Composite | 6 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 177 | 29.006 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE | 55 | 1,139 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Composite | 8 | 40 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 178 | 29.007 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE | 55 | 1,525 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Composite | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 179 | 29.008 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE | 55 | 960 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Composite | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 180 | 30.001 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 1,229 | Suburban | 325 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 181 | 30.002 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 1,621 | Suburban | 325 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 182 | 30.003 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 1,884 | Suburban | 325 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 183 | 30.004 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 1,145 | Suburban | 325 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 184 | 30.005 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 3,366 | Suburban | 325 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 185 | 30.006 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 1,819 | Suburban | 325 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 186 | 30.007 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 2,818 | Suburban | 325 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 187 | 30.008 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 1,166 | suburban | 325 | 11.0 | Gravel | 5.5 | 33 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 188 | 30.009 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 1,658 | Suburban | 670 | 11.0 | Gravel | 5.5 | 33 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 189 | 30.010 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 55 | 1,404 | Suburban | 670 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 190 | 30.011 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | 55 | 1,018 | Rural | 1,050 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 191 | 30.012 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | 55 | 979 | Rural | 1,050 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 192 | 30.013 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | 55 | 984 | Rural | 1,050 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 193 | 30.014 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | 55 | 841 | Rural | 1,050 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 194 | 31.004 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Nebish Rd NE | 55 | 816 | Rural | 310 | 12.0 | Composite | 5.5 | 35 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 195 | 31.005 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Nebish Rd NE | 55 | 1,595 | Rural | 310 | 12.0 | Composite | 5.5 | 35 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 196 | 31.006 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Nebish Rd NE | 55 | 954 | Rural | 310 | 12.0 | Composite | 6 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 197 | 32.001 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,494 | Rural | 145 | 12.0 | Composite | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 198 | 32.002 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 999 | Rural | 145 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 199 | 32.003 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,337 | Rural | 145 | 12.0 | Gravel | 1.5 | 27 | Intersection | None | None | 3 |
| 200 | 32.004 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 3,395 | Rural | 145 | 12.0 | Composite | 5 | 34 | Intersection | None | None | 2 C |
| 201 | 32.005 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 4,835 | Rural | 145 | 12.0 | Gravel | 2.5 | 29 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 202 | 32.006 | CSAH | 32 | Aure Rd NW | Lumerjack Rd NW | 55 | 956 | Rural | 40 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 203 | 32.007 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 729 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 204 | 32.008 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,308 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 205 | 32.009 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 759 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 206 | 32.010 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,191 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 2C |
| 207 | 32.011 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 780 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 208 | 32.012 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 735 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 209 | 32.013 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,915 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3.5 | 31 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 210 | 32.014 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 958 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3.5 | 31 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |

Rural Curve List for Beltrami County

| List <br> No. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | Area Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane Width [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Outside <br> Shoulder <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Total <br> Cross <br> Section Width [ft] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | Outsid Edge Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 211 | 32.015 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 1,973 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 212 | 32.016 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 789 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 213 | 32.017 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 55 | 810 | Rural | 270 | 12.0 | Composite | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 214 | 32.018 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE | 55 | 813 | Rural | 415 | 12.0 | Composite | 6 | 36 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 215 | 32.019 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE | 55 | 798 | Rural | 415 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | Intersection | Present | None | 1 |
| 216 | 32.020 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE | 55 | 986 | Rural | 415 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 217 | 32.021 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE | 55 | 969 | Rural | 415 | 12.0 | Gravel | 7 | 38 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 218 | 32.022 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE | 55 | 1,320 | Rural | 415 | 12.0 | Composite | 6 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 219 | 32.023 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE | Hwy 72 | 55 | 857 | Rural | 385 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 220 | 32.024 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE | Hwy 72 | 55 | 828 | Rural | 385 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 221 | 32.025 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE | Hwy 72 | 55 | 1,051 | Rural | 385 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 222 | 33.001 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 1,771 | Rural | 1,600 | 11.0 | Gravel | 2 | 26 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 223 | 33.002 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 1,151 | Rural | 1,600 | 11.0 | Gravel | 2 | 26 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 224 | 33.003 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 575 | Rural | 1,600 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 225 | 33.004 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 825 | Rural | 1,600 | 11.0 | Gravel | 2 | 26 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 226 | 33.005 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 691 | Rural | 1,600 | 11.0 | Gravel | 2 | 26 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 227 | 33.006 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 1,105 | Rural | 375 | 12.0 | Composite | 11 | 46 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 228 | 33.007 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 714 | Rural | 375 | 12.0 | Composite | 12 | 48 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 229 | 33.008 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 699 | Rural | 375 | 12.0 | Composite | 12 | 48 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 230 | 33.009 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 1,130 | Rural | 375 | 12.0 | Composite | 12 | 48 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 231 | 35.001 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE | 55 | 364 | Rural | 270 | 13.0 | Composite | 6 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 232 | 35.002 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE | 55 | 729 | Rural | 270 | 13.0 | Composite | 6 | 38 | Intersection | Present | None | 1 |
| 233 | 35.003 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE | 55 | 695 | Rural | 270 | 13.0 | Composite | 7 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 234 | 35.004 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE | 55 | 685 | Rural | 270 | 13.0 | Composite | 6.5 | 39 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 235 | 36.001 | CSAH | 36 | hwy 1 | Shevlin Ave SW | 55 | 1,148 | Rural | 425 | 12.0 | Composite | 9 | 42 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 236 | 39.001 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 2,838 | Rural | 420 | 12.5 | Composite | 6.5 | 38 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 237 | 39.002 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 1,515 | Rural | 420 | 12.5 | Composite | 10 | 45 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 238 | 39.003 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 3,030 | Rural | 420 | 12.5 | Composite | 10 | 45 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 239 | 39.004 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE | 55 | 1,493 | Rural | 420 | 12.5 | Composite | 10 | 45 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 240 | 39.005 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 6,982 | Rural | 630 | 12.5 | Composite | 6.5 | 38 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 241 | 39.006 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 1,892 | Rural | 630 | 12.5 | Composite | 7.5 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 242 | 39.007 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 1,963 | Rural | 440 | 12.5 | Composite | 7.5 | 40 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 243 | 39.008 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 960 | Rural | 440 | 12.0 | Composite | 12 | 48 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 244 | 39.009 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 3,712 | Rural | 440 | 12.0 | Composite | 1 | 38 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 245 | 39.010 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 1,553 | Rural | 440 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 246 | 39.011 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 55 | 1,069 | Rural | 440 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 247 | 39.012 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 55 | 990 | Rural | 440 | 11.0 | Gravel | 6 | 34 | Intersection | Present | None | 2 C |
| 248 | 39.013 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 55 | 2,506 | Rural | 440 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 249 | 39.014 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 55 | 1,152 | Rural | 440 | 11.0 | Gravel | 5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 250 | 39.015 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 55 | 1,448 | Rural | 440 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 2 C |
| 251 | 39.016 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 55 | 4,808 | Rural | 440 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 252 | 39.017 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 55 | 2,773 | Rural | 440 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |

Rural Curve List for Beltrami County

| List No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | Area Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Outside Shoulder Width [Feet] | Total Cross Section Width [ft] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | Outside <br> Edge <br> Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 253 | 39.018 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 55 | 1,996 | Rural | 440 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 254 | 39.019 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 55 | 3,745 | Rural | 440 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 255 | 39.020 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 55 | 2,313 | Rural | 440 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 256 | 39.021 | CSAH | 39 | Beighley Rd NE | Co Rd 47 | 55 | 1,500 | Rural | 740 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 257 | 4.001 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | 55 | 812 | Suburban | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 258 | 4.002 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | 55 | 790 | Suburban | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | Intersection | Present | None | 1 |
| 259 | 4.003 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | 55 | 4,771 | Suburban | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 2 | 28 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 260 | 4.004 | CSAH | 4 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | 55 | 843 | Suburban | 270 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 261 | 43.001 | CSAH | 43 | Hwy 71 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St | 55 | 234 | Rural | 125 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 262 | 43.002 | CSAH | 43 | Hwy 71 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St | 55 | 645 | Rural | 125 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 263 | 43.003 | CSAH | 43 | Hwy 71 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St | 55 | 777 | Rural | 125 | 12.0 | Composite | 8 | 40 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 264 | 48.001 | CSAH | 48 | 0.51 miles W of Sportsmen Rd SW | Fern Lake Rd SW | 55 | 238 | Rural | 390 | 12.5 | Gravel | 7.5 | 40 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 265 | 5.001 | CSAH | 5 | Beltrami County Line | Russell Dr NW | 55 | 9,826 | Rural | 290 | 12.5 | Composite | 6 | 37 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 266 | 5.002 | CSAH | 5 | Beltrami County Line | Russell Dr NW | 55 | 29,067 | Rural | 290 | 12.0 | Composite | 8 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 267 | 5.003 | CSAH | 5 | Russell Dr NW | Hwy 2 | 55 | 595 | Rural | 445 | 11.0 | Gravel | 2 | 26 | None | None | None | 2 S |
| 268 | 5.004 | CSAH | 5 | Russell Dr NW | Hwy 2 | 55 | 538 | Rural | 445 | 11.0 | Gravel | 2 | 26 | Railroad | None | None | 25 |
| 269 | 5.005 | CSAH | 5 | Hwy 2 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | 55 | 1,453 | Rural | 690 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 270 | 5.006 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 8,815 | Rural | 690 | 11.0 | Gravel | 2 | 26 | None | None | None | 25 |
| 271 | 5.007 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 1,132 | Rural | 690 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 272 | 5.008 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 1,778 | Rural | 400 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 273 | 5.009 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 3,234 | Rural | 400 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 274 | 5.010 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 1,945 | Rural | 400 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 275 | 5.011 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 825 | Rural | 400 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 276 | 5.012 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 626 | Rural | 400 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 277 | 5.013 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 485 | Rural | 400 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 278 | 5.014 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 903 | Rural | 400 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3.5 | 31 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 279 | 5.017 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 1,438 | Rural | 400 | 12.0 | Gravel | 7 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 280 | 5.018 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 2,005 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5.5 | 35 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 281 | 5.019 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 878 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 282 | 5.020 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 55 | 2,145 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 283 | 5.021 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 55 | 823 | Rural | 255 | 12.0 | Composite | 7 | 38 | Intersection | Present | None | 1 |
| 284 | 5.022 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 55 | 3,486 | Rural | 255 | 11.0 | Composite | 5.5 | 33 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 285 | 5.023 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 55 | 736 | Rural | 255 | 11.0 | Composite | 6 | 34 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 286 | 5.024 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 55 | 933 | Rural | 255 | 11.0 | Composite | 5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 287 | 5.025 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 55 | 1,623 | Rural | 255 | 11.0 | Composite | 5 | 32 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 288 | 5.026 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 55 | 716 | Rural | 255 | 11.0 | Composite | 5 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 289 | 5.027 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 55 | 728 | Rural | 255 | 11.0 | Composite | 4 | 30 | None | None | None | NV |
| 290 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 6,530 | Suburban | 3,800 | 19.0 | Paved | 3 | 44 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 291 | 50.002 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 5,166 | Suburban | 3,800 | 12.5 | Paved | 3 | 31 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 292 | 50.003 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 2,216 | Suburban | 5,700 | 12.5 | Paved | 10 | 45 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 293 | 50.004 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | 55 | 3,619 | Suburban | 3,800 | 12.5 | Paved | 10 | 45 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 294 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | Hwy 71 | Bemidji Ave N | 30 | 566 | Suburban | 3,600 | 12.5 | Paved | 9 | 43 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |

Rural Curve List for Beltrami County

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | Area Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Outside Shoulder Width [Feet] | Total <br> Cross <br> Section <br> Width [ft] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outside } \\ \text { Edge } \\ \text { Risk } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 295 | 52.002 | CSAH | 52 | Hwy 71 | Bemidji Ave N | 30 | 560 | Suburban | 3,600 | 12.5 | Composite | 12.5 | 50 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 296 | 54.001 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 1,402 | Rural | 100 | 13.0 | Paved | 5.5 | 37 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 297 | 54.002 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 2,908 | Rural | 100 | 13.0 | Paved | 5 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 298 | 54.003 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 2,329 | Rural | 100 | 13.0 | Paved | 4 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 299 | 54.004 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 2,129 | Rural | 100 | 13.0 | Paved | 4 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 300 | 54.005 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 786 | Rural | 100 | 13.0 | Paved | 5 | 36 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 301 | 57.001 | CSAH | 57 | Bemidji Rd NE | 0.07 miles E of Raspberry Ct NE | 55 | 255 | Suburban | 510 | 12.0 | Gravel | 3 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 302 | 58.001 | CSAH | 58 | Resv Hwy 18 | Pioneer Rd NE | 55 | 657 | Rural | 100 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 303 | 58.002 | CSAH | 58 | Resv Hwy 18 | Pioneer Rd NE | 55 | 2,678 | Rural | 100 | 12.0 | Gravel | 2 | 28 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 304 | 59.001 | CSAH | 59 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 | 55 | 3,829 | Rural | 1,450 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 305 | 59.002 | CSAH | 59 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 | 55 | 443 | Rural | 1,450 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 306 | 59.003 | CSAH | 59 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 | 55 | 492 | Rural | 1,450 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 307 | 7.001 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 818 | Rural | 970 | 13.0 | Composite | 8.5 | 43 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 308 | 7.002 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 2,674 | Rural | 970 | 13.0 | Composite | 7 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 309 | 7.003 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 1,050 | Rural | 970 | 13.0 | Composite | 7 | 40 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 310 | 7.004 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 820 | Rural | 970 | 13.0 | Composite | 8 | 42 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 311 | 7.005 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 834 | Rural | 970 | 13.0 | Composite | 7 | 40 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 312 | 7.006 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 867 | Rural | 970 | 13.0 | Composite | 8 | 42 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 313 | 7.007 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 1,375 | Rural | 970 | 13.0 | Composite | 7 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 314 | 7.008 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 949 | Rural | 970 | 13.0 | Composite | 8 | 42 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 315 | 7.009 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 815 | Rural | 1,750 | 13.0 | Composite | 7 | 40 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 316 | 7.010 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 1,279 | Rural | 1,750 | 13.0 | Composite | 7 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 317 | 7.011 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 55 | 254 | Rural | 1,750 | 17.0 | Gravel | 2 | 38 | Intersection | PRESENT | None | 1 |
| 318 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE | 55 | 1,703 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.5 | Composite | 10 | 45 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 319 | 8.002 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE | 55 | 1,146 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.5 | Composite | 9.5 | 44 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 320 | 8.003 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE | 55 | 1,723 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.5 | Composite | 10.5 | 46 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 321 | 8.004 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 12,897 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.0 | Composite | 10 | 44 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 322 | 8.005 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 1,445 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.0 | Composite | 10 | 44 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 323 | 8.006 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 1,964 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.0 | Composite | 10 | 44 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 324 | 8.007 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 934 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.0 | Composite | 10 | 44 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 325 | 8.008 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 872 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.0 | Composite | 11 | 46 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 326 | 8.009 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 840 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.0 | Composite | 10.5 | 45 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 327 | 8.010 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 2,704 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.0 | Composite | 10 | 44 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 328 | 8.011 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 55 | 834 | Rural | 1,600 | 12.0 | Composite | 11 | 46 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 329 | 9.001 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | 55 | 1,083 | Suburban | 2,150 | 12.5 | Composite | 6 | 37 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 330 | 9.002 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | 55 | 2,030 | Suburban | 2,150 | 12.5 | Paved | 5 | 35 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 331 | 9.003 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | 55 | 1,992 | Suburban | 2,150 | 12.5 | Paved | 6 | 37 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 332 | 9.004 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | 55 | 1,616 | Suburban | 2,150 | 12.5 | Composite | 7 | 39 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 333 | 9.005 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 2,400 | Rural | 370 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 334 | 9.006 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 1,612 | Rural | 370 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 335 | 9.007 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 6,140 | Rural | 370 | 12.0 | Gravel | 7 | 38 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 336 | 9.008 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 1,101 | Rural | 370 | 12.0 | Composite | 8 | 40 | None | None | None | 1 |

Rural Curve List for Beltrami County

| CRSP2 ID Example: 1.001: $1=$ Route Number, $001=$ First Curve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| List <br> No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed Limit [mph] | Radius [Feet] | Area Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Outside Shoulder Width [Feet] | Total Cross Section Width [ft] | Adjacent Intersection | Visual Trap | Lighting | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outside } \\ & \text { Edge } \\ & \text { Risk } \end{aligned}$ |
| 337 | 9.009 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 55 | 1,106 | Rural | 370 | 12.0 | Composite | 8 | 40 | Intersection | PRESENT | None | 1 |
| 338 | 305.001 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 397 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Composite | 6.5 | 37 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 339 | 305.002 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 458 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Composite | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 340 | 305.003 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 486 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Composite | 8 | 40 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 341 | 305.004 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 623 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 342 | 305.005 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 259 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4.5 | 33 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 343 | 305.006 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 713 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 344 | 305.007 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 255 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4.5 | 33 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 345 | 305.008 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 241 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Gravel | 4 | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 346 | 305.009 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 639 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 347 | 305.011 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 830 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Gravel | 5 | 34 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 348 | 305.012 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 55 | 497 | Suburban | 130 | 12.0 | Gravel |  | 32 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 349 | 307.004 | CR | 307 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 0.51 miles S of Main St E | 55 | 1,128 | Rural | 35 | 13.0 | Paved | 6 | 38 | None | None | None | 1 |
| 350 | 402.001 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW | 30 | 1,936 | Suburban | 260 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3.5 | 29 | None | None | None | 2 C |
| 351 | 402.002 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW | 30 | 375 | Suburban | 260 | 11.0 | Gravel | 4 | 30 | Intersection | None | None | 2 C |
| 352 | 402.003 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW | 30 | 478 | Suburban | 260 | 11.0 | Gravel | 3.5 | 29 | Intersection | None | None | 2 C |
| 353 | 509.001 | CR | 509 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 55 | 177 | Rural | 550 | 12.0 | Gravel | 6 | 36 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
| 354 | 515.001 | CR | 515 | U.S. Rte 2 | Hwy 89 | 55 | 142 | Rural | 610 | 12.5 | Composite | 5 | 35 | Intersection | None | None | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Rural Intersection List for Beltrami County

| List | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Area Type | Context Zone | Total Entering ADT [vpd] | Volume Cross Product [ $\mathrm{vpd}^{2}$ ] | Leg Configuratio $n$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alignmen } \\ \text { t } \\ \text { Skew } \\ \text { [Degrees] } \end{gathered}$ | Adjacen t RR Crossing | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Developmen t | Previous STOP (>5mi) | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major <br> Approach Turn Lane Configuration | K | A | B | C | PDO | Crash Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11.001 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave SW | Small Town | Residential | 7,200 | 7,200,000 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 50 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | \$363,400 |
| 2 | 11.005 | CSAH | 11 | Monroe Ave SW | Small Town | Residential | 2,465 | 534,000 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 3 | 11.030 | CSAH | 11 | USTH 2 | Small Town | Industrial | 19,342 | 38,338,200 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 65 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 20 | \$757,000 |
| 4 | 12.001 | CSAH | 12 | 1st St E | Small Town | Residential | 9,320 | 18,688,000 | X | 0 | None | None | Present | <5 | 40 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | \$31,200 |
| 5 | 12.004 | CSAH | 12 | 5th St NE | Small Town | Residential | 7,720 | 13,362,000 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 40 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | \$15,600 |
| 6 | 12.005 | CSAH | 12 | Mill St NE | Small Town | Residential | 5,570 | 2,397,000 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 40 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | \$23,400 |
| 7 | 12.009 | CSAH | 12 | Lake Ave NE | Small Town | Residential | 4,625 | 3,281,250 | T | 20 | None | None | None | >5 | 45 | LR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$94,800 |
| 8 | 12.017 | CSAH | 12 | Sunnyside Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 1,840 | 157,500 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 45 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 9 | 12.025 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 1,550 | 389,025 | T | 5 | None | None | None | <5 | 45 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 10 | 12.026 | CSAH | 12 | Swenson Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 1,065 | 248,400 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 45 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 11 | 12.028 | CSAH | 12 | Big Lake Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 765 | 32,400 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 45 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 12 | 12.036 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd SE | Rural | Agriculture | 678 | 91,875 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 45 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 13 | 12.050 | CSAH | 12 | Scenic Hwy NE | Rural | Agriculture | 1,085 | 286,650 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 14 | 13.001 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 468 | 31,763 | T | 20 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 15 | 13.002 | CSAH | 13 | Polaris Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 220 | 9,075 | T | 20 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 16 | 13.008 | CSAH | 13 | Lumberjack Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 498 | 34,238 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 17 | 14.011 | CSAH | 14 | Trengove Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 780 | 116,000 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 18 | 14.019 | CSAH | 14 | USTH 2 | Small Town | Residential | 1,155 | 194,750 | T | 10 | None | None | Present | >5 | 65 | TTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |
| 19 | 14.021 | CSAH | 14 | USTH 2 | Rural | Agriculture | 4,960 | 974,775 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 65 | TTR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \$280,400 |
| 20 | 15.017 | CSAH | 15 | 3316 | Rural | Natural | 4,050 | 0 | T | 25 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 45 | TR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$170,000 |
| 21 | 15.018 | CSAH | 15 | 4642 | Rural | Commercial | 6,375 | 8,808,750 | T | 20 | None | Horizontal | Present | <5 | 45 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | \$15,600 |
| 22 | 15.041 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 4,475 | 2,642,500 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$94,800 |
| 23 | 15.043 | CSAH | 15 | Island View Dr NW | Rural | Commercial | 3,150 | 1,430,000 | T | 0 | None | None | Present | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 24 | 15.044 | CSAH | 15 | Silver Lake Rd NW | Rural | Residential | 2,148 | 295,000 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 25 | 15.055 | CSAH | 15 | Lindgren Lake Rd NW | Rural | Residential | 2,020 | 40,000 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 26 | 15.056 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Rural | Residential | 1,700 | 386,100 | T | 15 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |
| 27 | 15.058 | CSAH | 15 | Artic Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 880 | 17,200 | T | 25 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 28 | 15.060 | CSAH | 15 | Nebish Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 1,093 | 173,250 | T | 15 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | \$15,600 |
| 29 | 15.063 | CSAH | 15 | LumberJack Rd | Rural | Agriculture | 1,058 | 176,375 | T | 45 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$94,800 |
| 30 | 19.018 | CSAH | 19 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Rural | Natural | 1,685 | 582,000 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$94,800 |
| 31 | 2.001 | CSAH | 2 | N Plantagenet Rd SW | Rural | Agriculture | 1,745 | 709,500 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 32 | 2.003 | CSAH | 2 | Washington Ave SW | Rural | Agriculture | 7,910 | 6,063,000 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 65 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | \$23,400 |
| 33 | 2.004 | CSAH | 2 | Polk Ave SW | Rural | Agriculture | 678 | 61,275 | T | 0 | None | None | Present | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |
| 34 | 2.007 | CSAH | 2 | USTH 2 | Rural | Residential | 9,950 | 4,275,000 | X | 0 | Present | Horizontal | None | >5 | 65 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 35 | 20.001 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 4,850 | 4,830,000 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 45 | LT | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$11,177,800 |
| 36 | 20.007 | CSAH | 20 | Hazelwood Rd NE | Rural | Residential | 1,043 | 70,325 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 37 | 20.014 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Rural | Residential | 1,200 | 325,775 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 38 | 20.040 | CSAH | 20 | Scenic Hwy NE | Rural | Residential | 765 | 123,050 | X | 45 | None | Vertical | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 39 | 21.014 | CSAH | 21 | Selma Dr NE | Rural | Residential | 5,845 | 1,372,000 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 40 | 303.003 | CSAH | 21 | Selma Dr NE | Rural | Residential | 735 | 120,050 | T | 10 | None | None | None | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 41 | 21.017 | CSAH | 21 | Glidden Rd NE | Rural | Residential | 3,525 | 2,030,000 | T | 20 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Rural Intersection List for Beltrami County

| List No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Area Type | Context Zone | Total Entering ADT [vpd] | Volume <br> Cross <br> Product <br> $\left[\mathrm{vpd}^{2}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leg } \\ \text { Configuratio } \\ \mathrm{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alignmen } \\ & \text { t } \\ & \text { Skew } \\ & \text { [Degrees] } \end{aligned}$ | Adjacen t RR Crossing | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Developmen t | Previous STOP <br> ( $>5 \mathrm{mi}$ ) | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major <br> Approach Turn Lane Configuration | K | A | B | C | PDO | Crash Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 21.024 | CSAH | 21 | CSAH 57 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,805 | 395,250 | T | 50 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 43 | 21.028 | CSAH | 21 | Wildwood Rd NE | Rural | Residential | 1,745 | 302,250 | X | 50 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 44 | 21.035 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr NE | Rural | Commercial | 1,495 | 467,250 | X | 50 | None | Horizontal | Present | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 45 | 21.037 | CSAH | 21 | USTH 71 | Rural | Residential | 3,725 | 2,767,500 | X | 35 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | LTR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \$280,400 |
| 46 | 22.007 | CSAH | 22 | Buzzle Rd NW | Rural | Natural | 603 | 28,875 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 47 | 22.014 | CSAH | 22 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,675 | 1,413,750 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 48 | 22.030 | CSAH | 22 | Wildwood Rd NE | Rural | Residential | 610 | 35,425 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 49 | 22.044 | CSAH | 22 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 3,505 | 1,637,250 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 60 | LTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | \$189,600 |
| 50 | 22.045 | CSAH | 22 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,985 | 769,500 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 60 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 51 | 22.055 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | Rural | Natural | 493 | 26,875 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 52 | 22.056 | CSAH | 22 | Three Culverts Rd NE | Rural | Natural | 143 | 2,188 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 53 | 22.063 | CSAH | 22 | Scenic Hwy NE | Rural | Agriculture | 503 | 27,500 | T | 10 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 54 | 23.005 | CSAH | 23 | CR-203 | Rural | Natural | 693 | 33,600 | X | 40 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 55 | 23.010 | CSAH | 23 | Everts Rd NE | Rural | Natural | 905 | 169,600 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 56 | 23.018 | CSAH | 23 | Nebish Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 523 | 52,938 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 57 | 23.023 | CSAH | 23 | MNTH 1 | Rural | Agriculture | 305 | 0 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 58 | 23.024 | CSAH | 23 | MNTH 1 | Rural | Agriculture | 250 | 5,119 | T | 60 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 59 | 23.025 | CSAH | 23 | MNTH 1 | Rural | Agriculture | 353 | 14,488 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 60 | 23.026 | CSAH | 23 | Quiring Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 105 | 1,856 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 61 | 23.029 | CSAH | 23 | Pioneer Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 83 | 875 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 62 | 23.030 | CSAH | 23 | Cormant Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 183 | 5,163 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 63 | 23.031 | CSAH | 23 | Battle Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 150 | 5,000 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 64 | 23.035 | CSAH | 23 | Battle River Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 185 | 8,500 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 65 | 23.038 | CSAH | 23 | Shotley Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 80 | 1,500 | X | 0 | None | None | None | Unknown | Unknown | NV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 66 | 23.048 | CSAH | 23 | Waldo Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 135 | 1,800 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 67 | 23.049 | CSAH | 23 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Natural | 890 | 49,800 | T | 25 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$170,000 |
| 68 | 24.001 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Rural | Agriculture | 270 | 5,000 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 69 | 24.006 | CSAH | 24 | Boreal Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 535 | 17,500 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 70 | 24.007 | CSAH | 24 | Buzzle Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 528 | 13,750 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 71 | 24.008 | CSAH | 24 | Red Maple Rd NW | Rural | Natural | 513 | 6,250 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 72 | 24.014 | CSAH | 24 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,975 | 1,998,750 | X | 25 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |
| 73 | 25.006 | CSAH | 25 | Wolf Lake Dr SE | Rural | Natural | 333 | 4,000 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 74 | 26.001 | CSAH | 26 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Natural | 1,665 | 178,250 | T | 10 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 75 | 26.002 | CSAH | 26 | Silver Maple Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 245 | 3,450 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 76 | 26.003 | CSAH | 26 | Puposky Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 248 | 4,025 | X | 25 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$170,000 |
| 77 | 27.004 | CSAH | 27 | Lamon Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 935 | 192,150 | X | 10 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 78 | 29.001 | CSAH | 29 | 3rd Ave N | Small Town | Commercial | 408 | 29,138 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 35 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | \$15,600 |
| 79 | 29.003 | CSAH | 29 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,985 | 769,500 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | LTR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | \$257,000 |
| 80 | 29.012 | CSAH | 29 | Sharp Rock Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 313 | 14,663 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 81 | 3.001 | CSAH | 3 | Pinewood Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 318 | 19,388 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 82 | 3.002 | CSAH | 3 | CR 87 | Rural | Agriculture | 203 | 6,188 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Rural Intersection List for Beltrami County

| List <br> No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Area Type | Context Zone | Total <br> Entering <br> ADT <br> [vpd] | Volume <br> Cross <br> Product <br> $\left[\mathrm{vpd}^{2}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leg } \\ \text { Configuratio } \\ \mathrm{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alignmen } \\ \text { t } \\ \text { Skew } \\ \text { [Degrees] } \end{gathered}$ | Adjacen t RR Crossing | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Developmen t | Previous STOP (>5mi) | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major <br> Approach Turn Lane Configuration | K | A | B | C | PDO | Crash Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | 3.003 | CSAH | 3 | CR 86 | Rural | Agriculture | 287 | 20,130 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 84 | 3.007 | CSAH | 3 | CR 80 | Rural | Agriculture | 287 | 20,130 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 85 | 30.001 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 410 | 29,369 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 86 | 30.009 | CSAH | 30 | Pass Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 1,520 | 186,975 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 87 | 30.014 | CSAH | 30 | USTH 71 | Small Town | Commercial | 5,000 | 5,947,500 | X | 10 | None | Horizontal | Present | <5 | 45 | LTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | \$102,600 |
| 88 | 30.018 | CSAH | 30 | Main St S | Small Town | Commercial | 2,820 | 1,815,875 | X | 45 | None | None | Present | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 89 | 30.019 | CSAH | 30 | 1st St NE | Small Town | Commercial | 2,658 | 1,493,875 | X | 0 | None | None | Present | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 90 | 30.025 | CSAH | 30 | Summit Hall Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 1,105 | 57,750 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 91 | 31.001 | CSAH | 31 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,738 | 101,250 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | \$15,600 |
| 92 | 31.004 | CSAH | 31 | Hines Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 433 | 37,975 | 5-Leg | 45 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 93 | 31.005 | CSAH | 31 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,855 | 418,500 | X | 25 | None | HORIZONTAL | None | <5 | 55 | LTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 94 | 31.011 | CSAH | 31 | Birchwood Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 335 | 7,750 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 95 | 31.013 | CSAH | 31 | Nebish Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 478 | 35,613 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 96 | 32.006 | CSAH | 32 | Boreal Rd NW | Rural | Natural | 290 | 5,400 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 97 | 32.015 | CSAH | 32 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,893 | 530,875 | X | 20 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$760,000 |
| 98 | 32.020 | CSAH | 32 | Polaris Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 470 | 22,825 | T | 15 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 99 | 32.023 | CSAH | 32 | CR-203 | Rural | Agriculture | 415 | 11,550 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 100 | 32.025 | CSAH | 32 | Obrien Creek Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 403 | 6,738 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 101 | 32.028 | CSAH | 32 | Corlan Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 415 | 11,550 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 102 | 32.030 | CSAH | 32 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,493 | 1,428,000 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | \$23,400 |
| 103 | 34.001 | CSAH | 34 | Shiloh Dr NE | Rural | Agriculture | 208 | 2,438 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 104 | 34.003 | CSAH | 34 | Corral Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 523 | 41,438 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 105 | 35.001 | CSAH | 35 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,835 | 364,500 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | \$15,600 |
| 106 | 36.001 | CSAH | 36 | MNTH 1 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,463 | 265,625 | TT | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | \$15,600 |
| 107 | 36.003 | CSAH | 36 | Battle River Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 463 | 15,938 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 108 | 36.005 | CSAH | 36 | Willow Creek Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 738 | 118,750 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 109 | 36.008 | CSAH | 36 | Lakin Ave | Small Town | Commercial | 595 | 47,500 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 110 | 36.009 | CSAH | 36 | MNTH 72 | Small Town | Commercial | 1,625 | 343,750 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 111 | 36.010 | CSAH | 36 | Clark Ave | Small Town | Commercial | 2,335 | 1,252,500 | X | 0 | None | None | Present | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 112 | 36.011 | CSAH | 36 | Gould Ave | Small Town | Commercial | 693 | 89,700 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 113 | 36.014 | CSAH | 36 | Flowing Well Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 363 | 26,650 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 114 | 37.003 | CSAH | 37 | Stenson Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 113 | 3,150 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 115 | 37.008 | CSAH | 37 | Buckeye Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 78 | 1,238 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 116 | 37.009 | CSAH | 37 | MNTH 1 | Rural | Agriculture | 333 | 8,388 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 117 | 38.003 | CSAH | 38 | Sunflower Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 93 | 1,313 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |
| 118 | 39.029 | CSAH | 39 | Hines Rd NE | Rural | Natural | 495 | 24,200 | T | 40 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 119 | 39.037 | CSAH | 39 | Lookout Tower Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 648 | 33,925 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 120 | 39.041 | CSAH | 39 | Main St S | Rural | Agriculture | 1,465 | 405,150 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 121 | 4.001 | CSAH | 4 | Sunnyside Rd SE | Rural | Agriculture | 393 | 33,075 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 122 | 4.007 | CSAH | 4 | Wolf Lake Dr SE | Rural | Natural | 455 | 43,200 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 123 | 41.001 | CSAH | 41 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,353 | 68,250 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Rural Intersection List for Beltrami County

| List No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Area Type | Context Zone | Total Entering ADT [vpd] | Volume <br> Cross <br> Product <br> [ $\mathrm{vpd}^{2}$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leg } \\ \text { Configuratio } \\ n \end{gathered}$ | Alignmen t Skew [Degrees] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adjacen } \\ & \text { t RR } \\ & \text { Crossing } \end{aligned}$ | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Developmen t | Previous STOP ( $>5 \mathrm{mi}$ ) | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major <br> Approach Turn Lane Configuration | K | A | B | C | PDO | Crash Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 124 | 41.002 | CSAH | 41 | Three Mile Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 168 | 6,563 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 125 | 41.007 | CSAH | 41 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,443 | 59,500 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 126 | 43.001 | CSAH | 43 | USTH 71 | Rural | Natural | 2,763 | 168,750 | X | 15 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 127 | 43.003 | CSAH | 43 | Main St W | Small Town | Commercial | 155 | 3,750 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 128 | 43.004 | CSAH | 43 | USTH 71 | Rural | Industrial | 2,763 | 168,750 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 129 | 44.001 | CSAH | 44 | Dylan Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 1,428 | 288,896 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 130 | 44.002 | CSAH | 44 | Flintlock Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 75 | 900 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 131 | 44.008 | CSAH | 44 | Ose Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 95 | 1,950 | TT | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |
| 132 | 44.010 | CSAH | 44 | Dicks Parkway Rd | Rural | Agriculture | 88 | 1,650 | TT | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 133 | 44.012 | CSAH | 44 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 350 | 9,600 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 134 | 46.002 | CSAH | 46 | N Plantagenet Rd SW | Rural | Agriculture | 1,135 | 320,650 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$264,800 |
| 135 | 46.005 | CSAH | 46 | Washington Ave SW | Rural | Agriculture | 4,625 | 948,150 | X | 0 | None | Vertical | None | <5 | 55 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \$450,400 |
| 136 | 47.001 | CSAH | 47 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 3,500 | 1,796,875 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 137 | 47.009 | CSAH | 47 | USTH 71 | Small Town | Commercial | 2,360 | 1,255,500 | X | 10 | None | Horizontal | Present | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | \$174,000 |
| 138 | 47.014 | CSAH | 47 | One Mile Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 603 | 18,525 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 139 | 47.015 | CSAH | 47 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,885 | 456,000 | X | 20 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 140 | 5.003 | CSAH | 5 | Fredenburg Rd SW | Rural | Agriculture | 265 | 10,750 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 141 | 5.005 | CSAH | 5 | Trengove Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 610 | 79,219 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 142 | 5.007 | CSAH | 5 | Hwy 2 E | Small Town | Commercial | 7,890 | 4,968,000 | X | 0 | Present | Horizontal | Present | >5 | 55 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$170,000 |
| 143 | 5.008 | CSAH | 5 | 2nd St NW | Small Town | Commercial | 725 | 24,150 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | Present | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 144 | 5.012 | CSAH | 5 | Thoren Dr NW | Rural | Agriculture | 643 | 53,138 | X | 10 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 145 | 5.014 | CSAH | 5 | Grange Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 795 | 152,000 | X | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 146 | 5.023 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 628 | 63,750 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 147 | 5.024 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd | Rural | Agriculture | 380 | 31,875 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 148 | 5.028 | CSAH | 5 | Teddy Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 288 | 8,288 | X | 15 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 149 | 5.031 | CSAH | 5 | LumberJack Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 398 | 35,438 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 150 | 50.006 | CSAH | 50 | Grant Ave SE | Small Town | Residential | 4,145 | 1,224,000 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 35 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 151 | 50.008 | CSAH | 50 | 23rd St SE | Small Town | Residential | 5,000 | 4,560,000 | X | 40 | Present | None | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | \$185,600 |
| 152 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | USTH 71 | Small Town | Commercial | 12,642 | 33,303,800 | X | 10 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | LTTR | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 14 | \$11,710,200 |
| 153 | 56.002 | CSAH | 56 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,758 | 155,250 | T | 5 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 154 | 59.003 | CSAH | 59 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 4,517 | 4,214,400 | X | 20 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | LTTR | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | \$961,400 |
| 155 | 6.001 | CSAH | 6 | Adams Ave NW | Rural | Residential | 5,000 | 5,527,500 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | \$102,600 |
| 156 | 6.003 | CSAH | 6 | Norton Ave | Small Town | Residential | 8,525 | 17,467,500 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | \$141,600 |
| 157 | 7.011 | CSAH | 7 | CSAH 14 | Rural | Residential | 3,034 | 2,190,400 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | LT | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$177,800 |
| 158 | 7.013 | CSAH | 7 | Adams Ave NW | Rural | Agriculture | 5,700 | 6,682,500 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | \$612,800 |
| 159 | 7.016 | CSAH | 7 | 1059 | Rural | Residential | 4,050 | 0 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | LT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | \$172,800 |
| 160 | 7.017 | CSAH | 7 | 1058 | Rural | Commercial | 8,025 | 12,150,000 | T | 0 | None | None | Present | <5 | 55 | LT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 161 | 7.019 | CSAH | 7 | Jefferson Ave SW | Small Town | Commercial | 11,700 | 32,900,000 | X | 0 | None | None | Present | <5 | 55 | LTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | \$315,600 |
| 162 | 8.020 | CSAH | 8 | Van Buren Ave SE | Rural | Agriculture | 2,680 | 144,375 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 163 | 8.021 | CSAH | 8 | Sunnyside Rd SE | Rural | Agriculture | 2,113 | 403,750 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$170,000 |
| 164 | 8.025 | CSAH | 8 | Wolf Lake Dr SE | Rural | Agriculture | 1,760 | 256,000 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |

Rural Intersection List for Beltrami County

| List No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route <br> No. | Intersection Description | Area Type | Context Zone | Total Entering ADT [vpd] | Volume <br> Cross <br> Product <br> [ $\mathrm{vpd}^{2}$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leg } \\ \text { Configuratio } \\ n \end{gathered}$ | Alignmen t Skew [Degrees] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adjacen } \\ & \text { t RR } \\ & \text { Crossing } \end{aligned}$ | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Developmen t | Previous STOP (>5mi) | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major <br> Approach <br> Turn Lane Configuration | K | A | B | C | PDO | Crash Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 165 | 8.026 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Rural | Agriculture | 2,745 | 1,832,000 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 166 | 8.039 | CSAH | 8 | Mission Rd SE | Rural | Agriculture | 3,000 | 1,760,000 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$264,800 |
| 167 | 9.001 | CSAH | 9 | USTH 2 | Rural | Residential | 17,100 | 32,142,500 | X | 20 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | \$406,400 |
| 168 | 9.018 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 2,410 | 1,127,125 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 169 | 9.024 | CSAH | 9 | Spencer Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 420 | 18,500 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 170 | 9.027 | CSAH | 9 | Great Divide Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 485 | 42,550 | T | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 171 | 90.001 | CSAH | 90 | 1st St | Small Town | Residential | 3,380 | 133,600 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | LTT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$684,800 |
| 172 | 93.003 | CSAH | 93 | Clark Ave | Small Town | Residential | 1,718 | 652,625 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 173 | 94.004 | CSAH | 94 | Clark Ave | Small Town | Residential | 1,068 | 18,375 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 30 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 174 | 100.001 | CR | 100 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,423 | 31,500 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 175 | 103.001 | CR | 103 | MNTH 1 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,138 | 41,250 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 176 | 105.001 | CR | 105 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 838 | 6,225 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |
| 177 | 110.001 | CR | 110 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 848 | 14,525 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 178 | 111.001 | CR | 111 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 963 | 52,038 | T | 20 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 179 | 112.001 | CR | 112 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 703 | 8,625 | X | 30 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 180 | 201.001 | CR | 201 | Artic Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 130 | 2,200 | T | 5 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 181 | 301.006 | CR | 301 | Great Divide Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 1,219 | 41,440 | X | 25 | None | Horizontal | None | >5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 182 | 302.001 | CR | 302 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,233 | 39,000 | T | 20 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 183 | 304.001 | CR | 304 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,420 | 28,000 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |
| 184 | 304.004 | CR | 304 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,320 | 26,000 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 185 | 305.004 | CR | 305 | USTH 71 | Rural | Agriculture | 3,395 | 624,000 | X | 30 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | LTR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | \$185,600 |
| 186 | 306.002 | CR | 306 | MNTH 72 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,425 | 35,000 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 187 | 311.001 | CR | 311 | Lookout Tower Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 80 | 844 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 188 | 403.003 | CR | 403 | 30th St SE | Rural | Agriculture | 443 | 30,388 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$590,000 |
| 189 | 404.003 | CR | 404 | Industrial Park Dr SE | Small Town | Industrial | 1,635 | 384,750 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 190 | 404.010 | CR | 404 | Sunnyside Rd SE | Rural | Agriculture | 288 | 10,413 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$597,800 |
| 191 | 406.005 | CR | 406 | Sunnyside Rd NE | Rural | Agriculture | 235 | 9,900 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 192 | 501.001 | CR | 501 | 350th St | Rural | Agriculture | 252 | 15,860 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 193 | 501.002 | CR | 501 | USTH 2 | Rural | Agriculture | 3,565 | 446,550 | X | 15 | Present | None | None | >5 | 55 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | \$181,800 |
| 194 | 503.005 | CR | 503 | USTH 2 | Rural | Agriculture | 3,438 | 284,963 | X | 15 | Present | None | None | <5 | 55 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$170,000 |
| 195 | 507.001 | CR | 507 | USTH 2 | Rural | Natural | 8,470 | 5,226,000 | X | 20 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | \$379,000 |
| 196 | 509.002 | CR | 509 | Preservation Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 215 | 10,800 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 197 | 515.001 | CR | 515 | USTH 2 | Rural | Agriculture | 9,060 | 5,154,500 | X | 5 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | LTTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | \$15,600 |
| 198 | 515.006 | CR | 515 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,480 | 663,375 | T | 0 | None | Horizontal | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$170,000 |
| 199 | 600.002 | CR | 600 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 2,068 | 229,125 | X | 0 | None | None | None | >5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 200 | 602.004 | CR | 602 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 1,578 | 42,625 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | TR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 201 | 700.001 | CR | 700 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 223 | 1,088 | TT | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 202 | 700.008 | CR | 700 | Carmel Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 28 | 113 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 203 | 700.010 | CR | 700 | Jelle Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 25 | 100 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 204 | 702.002 | CR | 702 | Flintlock Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 80 | 975 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 205 | 702.006 | CR | 702 | Carmel Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 85 | 1,300 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |


| Rural Intersection List for Beltrami County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { List } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Area Type | Context Zone | Total <br> Entering <br> ADT <br> [vpd] | Volume Cross Product $\left[\mathrm{vpd}^{2}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leg } \\ \text { Configuratio } \\ n \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alignmen } \\ \text { t } \\ \text { Skew } \\ \text { [Degrees] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adjacen } \\ & \text { t RR } \\ & \text { Crossing } \end{aligned}$ | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Developmen t | Previous STOP <br> (>5mi) | Major <br> Approach Speed Limit | Major <br> Approach Turn Lane Configuration | K | A | B | C | PDO | Crash Cost |
| 206 | 703.001 | CR | 703 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 440 | 6,375 | TT | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 207 | 705.001 | CR | 705 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 458 | 13,813 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 208 | 707.001 | CR | 707 | Thorhult Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 23 | 88 | T | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 209 | 707.003 | CR | 707 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 430 | 2,125 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 210 | 709.001 | CR | 709 | Thorhult Rd NW | Rural | Agriculture | 18 | 38 | X | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 211 | 710.010 | CR | 710 | MNTH 89 | Rural | Agriculture | 223 | 1,613 | TT | 0 | None | None | None | <5 | 55 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| Crash Summary $\underline{\underline{2}} \mathbf{\underline { 5 }} \underline{\underline{29}} \underline{\underline{50}} \underline{\underline{164}} \mathbf{\$ 3 5 , 5 0 9 , 2 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Urban Segment List for Beltrami County

| List No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Length [Miles] | Context Zone | Cross Section | Design | Speed Limit [mph] | Sidewalk | Access Density [access per mile] | ADT <br> [vpd] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Crashes } \end{gathered}$ | Severe Crashes | Total HO+SSO Crashes | Severe HO+SSO Crashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11.001 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | 3.05 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 55 | None | 17.38 | 2,555 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 11.002 | CSAH | 11 | 15th St SW | Division St W | 1.01 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 55 | None | 23.67 | 3,400 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 11.003 | CSAH | 11 | Divisino St W | 0.20 miles N of florence Ct NW | 2.01 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 55 | None | 34.76 | 3,300 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 11.004 | CSAH | 11 | 0.20 miles N of Florence Ct NW | U.S. Rte 2 | 0.54 | Commercial | 2-Lane | Undivided | 55 | None | 9.27 | 3,300 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 12.001 | CSAH | 12 | 1st St E | Power Dam Rd NE | 1.58 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 40 | None | 40.61 | 5,060 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 12.002 | CSAH | 12 | Lake Ave NE | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | 1.67 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 55 | None | 22.10 | 1,750 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | 30 St NW | Anne St NW | 0.50 | Commercial | 2-Lane | Undivided | 45 | None | 33.71 | 7,200 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 15.002 | CSAH | 15 | Anne St NW | Grange Rd NW | 5.51 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 45 | None | 23.59 | 4,235 | 57 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 9 | 17.001 | CSAH | 17 | 0.09 miles N of 29th St NE | Bemidji Ave N | 0.89 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 30 | None | 58.62 | 630 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 17.002 | CSAH | 17 | Annebelle St NE | Bemidji Ave N | 1.35 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 30 | None | 52.43 | 605 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 19.001 | CSAH | 19 | Power Dam Rd NE | Elliot Rd NE | 1.06 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 40 | None | 26.46 | 2,050 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 21.001 | CSAH | 21 | Paul Bunyan Dr NW | 24th St NW | 0.07 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 30 | Both Sides | 128.09 | 8,240 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 21.002 | CSAH | 21 | 29th St NE | Glidden Rd NE | 3.13 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 55 | None | 37.12 | 5,515 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 14 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | Grant Ave S | Miles Ave SE | 0.13 | Commercial | 2-Lane | Undivided | 55 | None | 15.15 | 3,615 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 15 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | Hwy 71 | Bemidji Ave N | 1.49 | Commercial | 2-Lane | Undivided | 30 | None | 30.11 | 5,375 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 6.001 | CSAH | 6 | Adams Ave NW | Middle School Ave NW | 0.73 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 45 | None | 17.80 | 3,350 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 17 | 6.002 | CSAH | 6 | Middle School Ave NW | Norton Ave NW | 0.87 | Agricultural | 2-Lane | Undivided | 45 | None | 27.67 | 3,550 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 7.002 | CSAH | 7 | Adams Ave NW | Jefferson Ave NW | 0.96 | Commercial | 2-Lane | Undivided | 45 | None | 35.57 | 4,750 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Paul Bunyan Dr SE | Lake Ave SE | 0.83 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 35 | Both Sides | 59.11 | 4,750 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 303.001 | CR | 303 | Shorecrest Rd NE | Bemidji Ave N | 0.36 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 30 | None | 41.99 | 740 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 305.001 | CR | 305 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 | 0.70 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 45 | None | 25.74 | 390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 402.001 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW | 0.31 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 45 | None | 38.96 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 404.001 | CR | 404 | Washington Ave S | 0.38 miles E of Washington Ave S | 0.38 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 55 | None | 37.33 | 1,570 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 404.002 | CR | 404 | 0.38 miles E of Washingtong Ave S | Paul Bunyan Rd SE | 0.63 | Commercial | 2-Lane | Undivided | 55 | None | 22.29 | 1,350 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 406.001 | CR | 406 | Lake Ave NE | 0.30 miles E of Lake Ave NE | 0.30 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 40 | None | 37.07 | 810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 406.002 | CR | 406 | 0.30 miles E of Lake Ave NE | Tyler Ave NE | 2.92 | Residential | 2-Lane | Undivided | 40 | None | 8.21 | 165 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 32.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | 3 | 12 | 0 |

## This page intentionally left blank

Urban Intersection List for Beltrami County

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { List } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { CRSP } \\ \hline 2 \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Area Type | Context Zone | Traffic Control Device | Total Enterin <br> g <br> ADT <br> [vpd] | Volume Cross Product [ $\mathrm{vpd}^{2}$ ] | Leg Configuration | Major Division Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alignment } \\ & \text { Skew } \\ & \text { [Degrees] } \end{aligned}$ | Adjacent Development | Major Approach Speed Limit [mph] | Minor <br> Approach <br> Speed <br> Limit <br> [mph] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Major Approach } \\ \text { Left } \\ \text { Turn Lane Phasing } \end{gathered}$ | Major Approach Turn Lane Configuratio n | Max <br> Number <br> of <br> Lanes <br> Crossed | Presence <br> of <br> Sidewalk | Pedestrian Crossing Type | K | A | B | C | PDO | Crash Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Paul Bunyan Dr SE | Urban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 8,875 | 17,171,250 | X | Undivided | 40 | None | 55 | 30 | N/A | TR | 4 | Some | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 2 | 8.003 | CSAH | 8 | Scott Ave SE | Urban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 4,843 | 439,375 | T | Undivided | 0 | None | 35 | 30 | N/A | T | 2 | Some | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | \$102,600 |
| 3 | 8.007 | CSAH | 8 | Grant Ave SE | Urban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 5,335 | 2,778,750 | x | Undivided | 0 | None | 35 | 30 | N/A | T | 2 | Some | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | \$410,400 |
| 4 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW | Suburban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 10,025 | 13,986,250 | T | Undivided | 0 | None | 45 | 30 | N/A | T | 2 | Some | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | \$181,800 |
| 5 | 15.002 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW | Suburban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 7,500 | 0 | T | Undivided | 0 | None | 45 | 30 | N/A | T | 2 | None | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 6 | 15.003 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW | Suburban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 10,950 | 25,875,000 | T | Undivided | 0 | None | 45 | 30 | N/A | T | 2 | None | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$87,000 |
| 7 | 15.004 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW | Suburban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 6,900 | 0 | T | Undivided | 0 | None | 45 | 30 | N/A | T | 2 | None | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | \$189,600 |
| 8 | 15.005 | CSAH | 15 | Anne St NW | Suburban | Residential | Signal | 10,500 | 25,740,000 | x | Undivided | 0 | None | 45 | 30 | Permitted | LTR | 4 | None | Markings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 9 | 17.005 | CSAH | 17 | Shorecrest Rd NE | Suburban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 680 | 67,200 | T | Undivided | 10 | None | 30 | 30 | N/A | T | 2 | None | None | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$177,800 |
| 10 | 17.006 | CSAH | 17 | Bemidji Ave N | Suburban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 5,720 | 672,000 | T | Undivided | 0 | None | 55 | 30 | N/A | TR | 3 | None | None | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$264,800 |
| 11 | 21.001 | CSAH | 21 | Paul Bunyan Dr NW | Urban | Commercial | Signal | 16,825 | 61,237,500 | x | Mixed | 0 | Present | 35 | 35 | Protected | LLT | 4 | All | Markings | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | \$342,800 |
| 12 | 21.004 | CSAH | 21 | 29th St NW | Urban | Residential | Thru-Stop | 8,100 | , | x | Undivided | 5 | None | 35 | 30 | N/A | T | 2 | Some | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | \$102,600 |
| 13 | 21.007 | CSAH | 21 | Anne St NW | Suburban | Commercial | Thru-Stop | 11,900 | 34,080,000 | X | Undivided | 5 | None | 35 | 30 | N/A | TR | 3 | None | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$7,800 |
| 14 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | 678 | Urban | Commercial | S Signal | 12,592 | 35,420,700 | x | Curb | 0 | Present | 35 | 30 | Permitted/Protected | LTT | 5 | Some | Markings | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 | \$686,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Milestone Meeting \#1

| PREPARED by: | Cheri Marti/CH2M |
| :---: | :---: |
| countr: | Beltrami County |
| MEETING DATE: | February 22, 2017 |
| meeting time: | 9:00 am - 12:00 pm CST |
| LOCATION: | Beltrami County Office 2491 Adams Avenue NW Bemidji, MN 56601 |
| CONSULTANT TEAM: | Howard Preston/CH2M, Cheri Marti/CH2M, Renae Kuehl/SRF |

## Objectives

The primary objectives of this meeting are to: a) provide an update on project progress, b) review initial assessment of county safety project implementation impact, c) identify county goals/outcomes of CRSP Update process, and d) review alternative crash analyses approaches.

## Agenda Items

1. Welcome, Introductions and Project Progress [9:00a-9:20a]
a. Process schedule - review county milestone and working group meetings
b. County data collection update
2. Review: County Project Implementation [9:20a-9:50a]
a. Review of safety projects implemented from previous CRSP (what, where, when, funding)
b. Crash analysis of completed projects
3. Discussion: County-Specific Desires of CRSP Update Process [9:50a-10:50a]
a. Review draft outline of county's Roadway Safety Plan
b. County goals and intended outcomes of CRSP Update (what and how)
a. Preferred system components for detailed analysis and project recommendations
4. Break [10:50a-11:05a]
5. Review: County Crash History [11:05a-11:30a]
a. Focus Areas
b. Crash Trees
c. Map of Severe Crashes
6. Preview of Upcoming Tasks [11:30a-11:55a]
a. Safety Countermeasures/Strategies
b. Safety Workshop Format Options
7. Wrap-Up [11:55a-12:00p]
a. What's Next
b. Action Items
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## County Road Safety Plan Updates

## Milestone Meeting \#1

```
PREPARED BY: Renae Kuehl/SRF
COUNTY: Beltrami County
MEETING DATE: February 22, 2017
MEETING TIME: 9:00pm - Noon
LOCATION: Beltrami County Office; 2491 Adams Ave NW, Bemidji, MN 56601
ATTENDEES: Sulmaan Khan/MnDOT State Aid
    John Noehring/Beltrami County
    Bruce Hasbargen/Beltrami County
    Howard Preston/CH2M
    Cheri Marti/CH2M
    Renae Kuehl/SRF
```


## Objectives

The primary objectives of this meeting are to: a) provide an update on project progress, b) review initial assessment of county safety project implementation impact, c) identify county goals/outcomes of CRSP Update process, and d) review alternative crash analyses approaches.

## Action Items

## Beltrami County

- Beltrami County will identify which curves have changed and provide the new radius to Ann Johnson (Ann.Johnson@peservicesmn.com).
- Beltrami County will review their roadway network segmentation and confirm if any changes are needed. Bruce will send a map with changes to Ann Johnson (Ann.Johnson@peservicesmn.com)
- Beltrami County will follow up with Veronica Richfield (Veronica.Richfield@ch2m.com) and Ann Johnson (Ann.Johnson@peservicesmn.com) on any outstanding data items as soon as possible.
- Beltrami County staff will review and update their implemented projects spreadsheet and will send to Veronica Richfield (Veronica.Richfield@ch2m.com) and Ann Johnson (Ann.Johnson@peservicesmn.com) by as soon as possible.
- Beltrami County will notify Veronica Richfield (Veronica.Richfield@ch2m.com) of what analysis options they are interested in pursuing by mid-March.
- Beltrami County will review the Big Book of Ideas and confirm what strategies they want considered for their agency and will notify Veronica Richfield (Veronica.Richfield@ch2m.com) by early May.
- Beltrami County will sit down with county staff to discuss the format of the workshop they would like to host and decide by May


## CH2M/SRF Team

- After all county meetings are complete, CH2M/SRF team will develop a summary of all report/process and workshop format preferences from all counties and will share with all counties.
- Sulmaan Khan will follow-up with MnDOT staff on the status of Beltrami County paying MnDOT for their share of the safety plan.


## Discussion Items

1. Welcome, Introductions and Project Progress
a. Process schedule - review county milestone and working group meetings

No Comments
b. County data collection update

- Beltrami County staff provided the following input on their desires for the CRSP at the data meeting held with Ann Johnson in the Fall of 2016:
- Include urban segments in analysis
- Striping: Where and how often should the county be adding striping?
- Stop bars?
- Shoulders?
- Right of way clearing?
- Where and how to maintain striping?
- How does clearing right of way impact safety?
- Is there a way to prioritize crash reduction factors vs. cost?
- Pavement condition index for whole system
- No need to analyze state aid streets
- Beltrami County has rebuilt some roads and softened some curves so the radius has changed. ACTION ITEM: Beltrami County will identify which curves have changed and provide the new radius to Ann Johnson (Ann.Johnson@peservicesmn.com).
- ACTION ITEM: Beltrami County will review their roadway network segmentation and confirm if any changes are needed. Bruce will send a map with changes to Ann Johnson (Ann.Johnson@peservicesmn.com).
- ACTION ITEM: Beltrami County will follow up with Veronica Richfield (Veronica.Richfield@ch2m.com) and Ann Johnson (Ann.Johnson@peservicesmn.com) on any outstanding data items as soon as possible.

2. Review: County Project Implementation
a. Review of safety projects implemented from previous CRSP (what, where, when, funding)

- ACTION ITEM: Beltrami County staff will review and update their implemented projects spreadsheet and will send to Veronica Richfield (Veronica.Richfield@ch2m.com) and Ann Johnson (Ann.Johnson@peservicesmn.com) by as soon as possible.
b. Crash analysis of completed projects No Comments


## 3. Discussion: County-Specific Desires of CRSP Update Process

## a. Review draft outline of county's Roadway Safety Plan

- There is a research project being conducted by the LRRB right now on striping that Beltrami is interested in seeing the results of. Bruce is the chair of this project.
- Two Central questions Beltrami is seeking assistance through the CRSP update process:
- How does the County decide what strategies are best to implement first? Is there a way to prioritize how to identify where to put money? For example:
- Is it more important to implement edgeline striping or chevrons?
- Is there more value in putting money in a striping program or clear zone clearing?
- Once a safety strategy is determined to be a priority, how do counties prioritize the placement of the safety strategy (i.e. if 100 miles of rumbles are suggested, how to decide where is the best placement or location of the rumbles)?
- Locations that have been identified as part of safety plan? Locations with a certain ADT?
- Is there a way to prioritize where to place striping (6-inch edgeline) based on other variables?
- Beltrami County is doing maintenance every year, is there information about what maintenance activities are a high-benefit, low-cost improvement that should be done first? l.e. should the county first focus on painting stop bars or clearing clear zone?
- Some issues Beltrami is dealing with are - objects in the clear zone, signs in the right of way (resort signs), illegal mailboxes, etc. It has been challenging to work with business owners to get signs moved. Beltrami County is working to develop a policy on business sign placement but is still struggling with how to deal with the signs that are already in place. Beltrami County would like some information about the safety importance of removing hazards from the clear zone in the report.
- Beltrami County is working to develop a Right of Way ordinance that is expected to be completed by the Safety workshop; may be a key message opportunity for the workshop.
- Beltrami County plans to take this safety plan to the county board to show the importance of safety. Having some information about the other E's in the report is still important to tell the whole story of collaboration between the 4 E's, to present this as a message that engineering can't fix everything. Beltrami County would like the plan to help build the awareness of safety to hopefully help reestablish a safety coalition in the county again.
- Beltrami County would like some information about how to justify the importance of installing safety strategies in locations where there has not been a crash (e.g., how to prioritize where to implement 6-inch stripe instead of everywhere).
- Would be helpful to have discussion with the Board on proactive/systemic safety approach vs. reactive/crash location approach.
- Beltrami County would appreciate a CRSP one-pager to help educate board members on what the CRSP is. Especially to help board members understand why they should install a safety strategy at a location where there has not been a crash. They have at least three to four new board members since the last safety plan was developed.
- Beltrami County would appreciate something to help them track the projects that they have implemented on an annual basis. Rather than trying to do it all at one time for the past 7 years which is challenging and time consuming. Maybe the CRSP could include a form to use to track implemented projects and MnDOT State Aid can send out an annual reminder for counties to fill out the form.
- ACTION ITEM: After all county meetings are complete, CH2M/SRF team will develop a summary of all report/process and workshop format preferences from all counties and will share with all counties.
b. County goals and intended outcomes of CRSP Update (what and how)
- Preferred system components for detailed analysis and project recommendations
- ACTION ITEM: Beltrami County will notify Veronica Richfield (Veronica.Richfield@ch2m.com) of what analysis options they are interested in pursuing by mid-March.


## 4. Review: County Crash History

a. Focus Areas

Impaired and lane departure crashes are high.
b. Crash Trees

No Comment
c. Map of Severe Crashes

No Comment

## 5. Preview of Upcoming Tasks

a. Safety Countermeasures/Strategies

- In the past, board members and the public were not happy about chevrons being installed on curves, they felt it impacted the view of the landscape and they were not justified since no one had run off the road at that curve yet.
- ACTION ITEM: Beltrami County will review the Big Book of Ideas and confirm what strategies they want considered for their agency and will notify Veronica Richfield (Veronica.Richfield@ch2m.com) by early May.
b. Safety Workshop Format Options
- When Beltrami was interested in implementing a local option sales tax, they held a variety of meetings with county board members and the public to educate them on the need. The benefit of these meetings was to gain support for the tax so that when it came to a vote, it was an easy decision. Beltrami County may be interested in a similar approach for the safety workshops.
- ACTION ITEM: Beltrami County will sit down with county staff to discuss the format of the workshop they would like to host and decide by May.


## 6. Wrap-Up

- What's Next - Working Group Meeting \#2 in St. Cloud on April 6th, 2017 (with Webinar option) to discuss research/literature review findings of priority safety strategies selected by the Phase 1 counties.
- Action Items - See full list on the first page of this summary
- ACTION ITEM: Sulmaan Khan will follow-up with MnDOT staff on the status of Beltrami County paying MnDOT for their share of the safety plan.


## County Road Safety Plan Updates

## Project Review Meeting

```
PREPARED bY: Nicole Buehne/SRF
COUNTY: Beltrami County
MEETING DATE:July 17, }201
meeting time: 9:00-11:00am CST
lOCATION: Beltrami County Office; 2491 Adams Ave NW, Bemidji, MN 56601
ATTENDEES: Bruce Hasbargen (via Phone)/Beltrami County
    Howard Preston/Jacobs
    Nicole Buehne/SRF (via Phone)
```


## Meeting Goal

Review the project prioritization and strategy suggestions for Hennepin County.

## Input from the County

- When asked about HFST, County uses Chip Seal
- County is comfortable with confirmation lights
- They have a project on Intersection - CSAH 5


## Meeting Action Items

- Changing High Friction Surface Treatment (HFST) on Curve Project List to Surface Treatment (ST)
- Send Beltrami County KMZ maps of all project locations for ease of review - Robert (Jacobs)
- Check in with Beltrami County staff on the status of their project list review by July 25 - Howard (Jacobs)
- Tentative deadline for reviewing all lists is 3 weeks from now (August 3) - Beltrami County Staff
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# Appendix C - Workshop Material 
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# County Roadway Safety Plan Updates 

## Welcome and Introductions

- County staff
- MnDOT staff
- Consultant team
- Workshop attendees


## Beltrami County Workshop Goals

1. Create a shared understanding of:

- County Road Safety Plans (CRSP)
- Beltrami County's infrastructure safety approach
- Effective, infrastructure safety strategies to reduce severe crashes

2. Develop prioritized lists of safety strategies for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) eligible projects and safety-related maintenance activities.

## Agenda Review



## Handouts Review

## Left Pocket:

- Agenda
- PPT Slides
- Implemented Projects Table
- State Crash Trees
- County Crash trees
- Evaluation Form - Part A
- Strategies Handout
- Big book of ideas
- Evaluation Form - Part B


## Right Pocket:

- CRSP one-pager
- Research/Strategy one-pagers
- TZD One-pager
- Data Driven Safety Analysis (DDSA) One-pager (FHWA)


## County Roadway Safety Plan Updates

## County Roadway Safety Plan (CRSP) Update

- Overview of CRSP
- Minnesota Toward Zero Deaths (TZD) Goals
- Discussion: What is important to advance road safety in the county?
- Statewide Performance Measures
- Data-Driven Safety Analysis (DDSA)


## Crash Contributing Factors



## What is a County Roadway Safety Plan or "CRSP"?

- CRSP is a plan identifying priority safety concerns and suggested infrastructure improvements.
- Location-specific safety concerns
- Prioritized list of suggested safety improvements
- In 2014, initial plan completed for each of the 87 Minnesota counties in partnership with MnDOT and the Federal Highway Administration.
- The "CRSP Update" is an effort to review and update the initial CRSPs to advance safety on county roadways.


Safety Plan

## Why the need for County Roadway Safety Plans?

- $60 \%$ of severe crashes (fatality or serious injury) occur on local roadways; most severe are on county roads.
- Local agencies are responsible for more than $90 \%$ of the state's roadway miles.
- The majority of roadway safety investments have been made on the state system.
"It will be impossible to achieve Minnesota's long-term goal of zero fatalities if minimal investment is made to address safety on local roadways" Mitch Rasmussen, Assistant Commissioner State Aid Division



## What is the goal of County Road Safety Plans?

To support the statewide initiative of moving Minnesota Toward Zero Deaths or Minnesota TZD through continued reduction of fatal and serious injury crashes on county roadways.

- Aligns with the Minnesota Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP)
- Supports the statewide goal of fewer than 300 fatalities and fewer than 850 serious injuries by 2020 .



## What are the initial results of county road safety improvements?

The implementation of nearly $\$ 60$ million of road safety improvements from 2012 to 2014. During this time, Minnesota's county system *fatality rate decreased 25\%


## What will the CRSP updated plans include?

- Review of all county road segments, curves and intersections
- Data-driven review of crashes on county roads over the last five years
- Summary of safety focus areas and crash types (e.g., lane departure)
- List of recommended high priority safety strategies
- Prioritized list of locations that are most at risk for severe crashes
- Prioritized list of location-specific safety strategies to consider for county implementation


## What is next?

```
Data Collection
    (Roadway
    Geometrics)
```



## CRSP Update - Phase 1 (15 Counties)



## CRSP Project Team

Project Oversight:

- MnDOT State Aid - Mark Vizecky
- MnDOT Traffic, Safety and Technology - Derek Leuer

Project Analysis \& CRSP Plan Development

- CH2M Team including SRF Consulting Group and P.E. Services

Minnesota Toward Zero Deaths

## County Roadway Safety Plan Updates



## What is Toward Zero Deaths (TZD)?

- Based on premise that even one traffic-related death on our roads is unacceptable
- Adopted in Minnesota in 2003
- TZD uses a data-driven, interdisciplinary approach using the "4Es" of traffic safety:

1. Education
2. Enforcement
3. Engineering
4. Emergency medical and trauma services
http://www.minnesotatzd.org

## What is Toward Zero Deaths (TZD)?

- TZD program teams work in partnership with community and corridor groups across the state to improve the traffic safety.
- Minnesota TZD Program provides technical assistance, materials, and guidance to local safety groups committed to reducing fatalities and severe injuries.
- Need to be open to a new safety approach, embracing:
- New safety partners (including locals)
- New strategies
- Commitment to change how MN safety program is delivered


## Minnesota Roadway Fatalities



Safety Plan

## Now:

National Towards Zero Deaths

## $\overline{\mathrm{TZZ}}$ Toward Zero Deaths <br> National Strategy on Highway Safety

http://www.towardzerodeaths.org/

## Discussion

## What is important to advance road safety in the county?

## Statewide Performance Measures

Countu Roadway Safety Plan Updates

## Statewide Performance Measures

- Every Year, Minnesota receives ~\$30 Million Federal Highway safety funding
- Highway Safety Improvements Program (HSIP)
- Minnesota shares HSIP funding with the local governing agencies (about 50\%)


## Statewide Performance Measures

- The Federal Highway Administration requires states to set goals to quantify the total number of fatal and serious injury crashes
- There are five main targets for how we will be evaluated:
- Fatalities (total people killed)
- Serious Injuries (total people with serious injuries)
- Fatality Rate
- Serious Injury Rate
- Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injuries
- If we don't make these targets, we will start to lose flexibility


## Statewide Performance Measures

Target is 375 Fatalities (2018)

- 3\% annualized reduction from 2015
- Trend has been flat over last 6 years
- Takes into consideration $\sim 5 \%$ decrease in 2016
- 12 fatality reduction per year (2016-2018)

Fatalities


Safety Plan

## Why this is important!

- Fatal and Serious Injuries are widely distributed across the system.
- We need every county, city, and MnDOT District to contribute.
- If targets are not getting met, we will start to loose flexibility with what can and cannot be funded.
- These crashes effect real people and their families.
- It's the right thing to do!


# MN 2018 Performance Measures/Goals 

Fatalities -375
Fatality Rate - 0.62 (lowest in state history)
Serious Injuries - 1935
Serious Injury Rate - 3.19
Non-Motorized Performance Measure - 348
(250 pedestrian, 98 bike)

## Data-Driven Safety Analysis (DDSA)

Integrating Safety Performance into ALL Transportation Investment Decisions

County Roadway Safety Plan Updates

## Where can DDSA be applied in the Project

 Development Process?Use Data. Target Investments. Save Lives.


## DDSA Minnesota Case Study Video



## Sofety Plan

## Overview of Proactive Systemic Safety Approach

## Countu Roadway Safety Plan Updates

## Safety Plan Objectives

The primary objectives of the County Roadway Safety Plan:

- Conducting a data-driven safety analysis of the county roadway system
- Identifying and prioritizing candidate locations for safety investment
- Developing safety projects - specific strategies at specific locations


## Systemic Risk Assessment

- Traditional method for conducting a safety analysis: "high crash" locations
- This method was a barrier to local system participation in statewide safety programs because there are few to no locations on local systems that meet the state criteria for designation as "high crash"

The solution for local system safety analyses =

## Systemic Risk Analysis

## What is a Systemic Risk Assessment?

- Analytical approach identifies and prioritizes safety deficiencies on roads based on risk of crash (vs. density of crashes).
- Identifies risk factors based on roadway and traffic characteristics common to locations with fatal and injury crash histories.
- Prioritizes the road system for safety investment by documenting the number of risk factors present at each location. The greater the number of risk factors present at any location, the greater the risk and the higher the priority as a candidate for safety investment.


## What is the benefit of a systemic process?

- It works - it is approved by FHWA as a data-driven process to identify safety improvement projects, including those considered eligible for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funding.
- It leads to implementation - the process has identified more than $\$ 300 \mathrm{M}$ of low-cost safety improvement projects along local systems in Minnesota.
- MnDOT has directed more than \$60M of HSIP funds to support implementation along local systems.
- It allows agencies to proactively deploy safety projects on atrisk locations.

With the systemic process, the answer to "How many people have to die before you do something?" - is Zero!

# Overview of the Local Safety Planning \& Systemic Process 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Data Collection } \\
& \text { (Roadway } \\
& \text { Geometrics) }
\end{aligned}
$$



> Prioritization of
> Roadways at Risk for Crash



## Risk Factor Identification

## Segments:

- Density of Road Departure
- Traffic Volume
- Critical Curve Radius
- Access Density
- Edge Risk Assessment


Safety Plan

## Risk Factor Identification

## Curves:

- ADT Range
- Radius Range
- Severe Crash on Curve
- Intersection on Curve
- Visual Trap on Curve



## Risk Factor Identification

## Intersections

- Skewed Approach
- On/near curve
- Volume
- Proximity to railroad crossing
- Proximity to last STOP sign
- Intersection related crashes
- Commercial Development in Quadrant



## Safety Plan

## Systemic Safety Approach Works!

Higher priority segments have higher crash densities


## Project Form \& Impact of Having a Safety Plan

CSAH 34 from CSAH 35 to PERHAM CORP LMTS Project
Agoncy: Otter Tail County

implementation cost

Rank 1
Segment io 3 and
Over a 4-year period:

- Over 85\% of Minnesota counties secured HSIP funding for at least one project.
- More than \$60M of HSIP funds were directed to supporting the implementation of safety projects on the county system.


## Implemented Safety Projects and <br> County Implementation Approach

## Countu Roadway Safety Plan Updates

## County Implemented Projects (Phase 1)


${ }^{3}$ Range of Implementation 2007-2016; Counties: Beltrami, Cariton, Chisago, Crow Wing, Freeborn, Goodhue, Mcleod, Meeker, Moorison, Olmsted, Otter Tail, Saint Louis, Stearns,
Wright
Applicable 2011-2015 Severe Crashes were queried by - County: Route Sys
Crash Reduction Factors identified from www.cmfclearinghouse.org

Beltrami County
Crash Data Overview and Safety Focus Areas

## County Roadway Safety Plan Updates

## Statewide Crash Tree

Minnesota Statewide Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Statewide Crash Tree

Minnesota Statewide Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Statewide Crash Tree

Minnesota Statewide Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Statewide Crash Tree

Minnesota Statewide Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Statewide Crash Tree

Minnesota Statewide Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Statewide Crash Tree

Minnesota Statewide Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Statewide Crash Tree - Key Takeways

- A focus on supporting safety investment on local systems - especially county roadways
- A Primary focus on rural county roadways
- A secondary focus on urban county roadways and municipal streets
- A primary focus on lane departure crashes along rural road segments (including curves)
- A secondary focus on thru/stop controlled intersections


## Beltrami County Crash Tree

Beltrami County Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Beltrami County Crash Tree

Beltrami County Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Beltrami County Crash Tree

Beltrami County Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Beltrami County Crash Tree

Beltrami County Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Beltrami County Crash Tree

Beltrami County Crash Tree - County Rural System


## Beltrami County Crash Tree



## Beltrami County Crash Tree - Key Takeaways

- A primary focus on the County's rural roadways.
- A secondary focus on the County's urban roadways.
- A primary focus on lane departure crashes along rural road segments (including curves).
- A secondary focus on Right Angle collisions at rural Thru/STOP controlled intersections.
- The focus on Lane Departure and Right Angle collisions is the first step in developing and prioritizing a short list of potential safety countermeasures.


## Statewide Focus Areas



## Beltrami County Focus Areas



## County Roadway Safety Plan Updates

Rural Segments

## Centerline Rumble Strip

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 40\% head-on/sideswipe crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$3,600 per mile

Severe Crashes: 2


## Buffers Between Opposing Lanes

Crash Reduction Factor

- 50\% for all crashes \& 100\% for head-on crashes (based on TH 5 in Lake Elmo)

Typical Installation Costs

- \$150,000 to \$500,000 per mile


Severe Crashes: 2

## Shoulder/ Edgeline Rumble Strips

## Crash Reduction Factor

- $20 \%$ run off road crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$5,850 per mile

Severe Crashes: 9


## Safety Edge

Crash Reduction Factor

- 5\% to10\% for all crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$10,000 to \$20,000 per mile

Severe Crashes: 9


## Enhanced Edgeline ( $\mathbf{6 "}^{\prime \prime}$ \& 8")

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 10\% to $45 \%$ all rural serious crashes (6")


## Typical Installation Costs

- \$2,000 per mile

Severe Crashes: 11


## Shoulder Paving ( $2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ )

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 20\% to 30\% run-off-the-road crashes (with shoulder rumble) (2' only)


## Typical Installation Costs

- \$54,000 per mile + \$5,850 per mile (for Edge Rumble)


Severe Crashes: 9

## Clear Zone Maintenance/ Enhancements

## Crash Reduction Factor

- Fatal, serious \& minor Injury crashes: increase of $28 \%$ to decrease of $18 \%$

Typical Installation Costs

- \$50,000 to \$500,000 per mile


Severe Crashes: 5

## Ditch/ Embankment Improvements

Crash Reduction Factor

- 32\% to 41\% (adding new guardrail to embankment- run off road crashes)

Typical Installation Costs

- \$500,000 to \$1M per mile


Severe Crashes: 9

## Shoulder Maintenance

## Crash Reduction Factor

- Not Available

Typical Installation Costs

- \$1,500 per mile

Severe Crashes: 9


## Guardrail Installation/Upgrades

Crash Reduction Factor

- Not Available

Typical Installation Costs

- \$25,000 each

Severe Crashes: Not Available


## Rural Curves

## Chevrons

Crash Reduction Factor

- 20\% to 30\%

Typical Installation Costs

- \$3,960 per curve

Severe Crashes: 8


## Delineators

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 18\% to 34\% non-intersection, head-on, run-off-road, sideswipe, nighttime crash types

Typical Installation Costs

- \$500 per curve


Severe Crashes: 8

## Dynamic Curve Signing

Crash Reduction Factor

- Not Available

Typical Installation Costs

- \$50,000 per curve

Severe Crashes: 8


## Lighting

## Crash Reduction Factor

- See Rural Intersections

Typical Installation Costs

- See Rural Intersections

Severe Crashes: 8

## Clear Zone Maintenance/ Enhancements

Crash Reduction Factor

- Fatal, serious, \& minor injury crashes: increase of $28 \%$ to decrease of 18\%

Typical Installation Costs

- \$10,000 to \$250,000 per curve


Severe Crashes: 2

## Reconstruct (TT to a Single T Intersection)

Crash Reduction Factor

- Not Available

Typical Installation Costs

- \$150,000 to \$300,000 per curve

Severe Crashes: 8



## Upgrade Signs and Pavement Markings

## Crash Reduction Factor

- $40 \%$ upgrade of all signs and pavement marking
- 15\% for STOP AHEAD pavement marking

Typical Installation Costs

- \$2,640 per approach

Upgraded Signs and Markings


Typical Markings:

- STOP bars
- STOP AHEAD pavement markings
- In-lane turn arrows
- Rail grade crossing markings


## Streetlights (and Approaches)

Crash Reduction Factor

- 25\% to 40\% of nighttime crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$6,000 per light

Severe Crashes: 6


## All-Way Stop/ Yield

## Crash Reduction Factor

- Not Available

Typical Installation Costs

- \$1,000 per intersection

Severe Crashes: 6


## Remove Skew/ Realign Intersections

Crash Reduction Factor

- 0\% to 33\%
- Severe Crashes: 6



## Clear Sight Triangles

Crash Reduction Factor

- 20\%
- Severe Crashes: 6

Typical Installation Costs

- \$3,000 per intersection


Figure 18: Clear Sight Triangles

## Restricted Crossing U-Turn (RCUT) Intersection

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 17\% all crashes
- $100 \%$ angle crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$750,000 per intersection

Severe Crashes: 6


## Rural Intersection Conflict Warning System (RICWS)

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 50\% all crashes
- $75 \%$ severe right angle crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$50,000 per intersection

Severe Crashes: 6


## Offset T-Intersection

## Crash Reduction Factor

- All crash types \& severities 53\%

Typical Installation Costs

- \$150,000 to \$300,000 per intersection


Severe Crashes: 6

## Roundabout

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 20\% to 50\% all crashes
- $60 \%$ to $90 \%$ right-angle crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$1,000,000 per intersection


Severe Crashes: 6

## Turn Lanes (Offset, Channelized)

## Crash Reduction Factor

- Create positive offset left turn lanes - 35\% (all + severe crashes)
- Channelize right turn lanes 43\% to 60\% (all crash severities)

Typical Installation Costs


- \$75,000 to \$250,000

Severe Crashes: 6

## Improve Slopes

Crash Reduction Factor

- Not Available

Severe Crashes: 6


## Access Management

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 5\% to 31\%
- Severe Crashes: 1


Typical Installation Costs

- \$360,000 per mile


Safety Plan

## Bike Lane/ Boulevard

## Crash Reduction Factor

- Approximately 60\% (Some studies have noted increases)



## Typical Installation Costs

- Repurposing existing road ~\$5,000 per mile
- New Construction of Separated Boulevard ~ \$500,000 per mile

Severe Crashes: 0


## Urbanization (Make it Feel Urban)

## Crash Reduction Factor

- Not Available

Typical Installation Costs

- \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 per mile

Severe Crashes: 1


## Dynamic Speed Feedback Sign

Crash Reduction Factor

- 5\%-7\% all crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$30,000 per location

Severe Crashes: 1


## Urban Intersections

## Signalized RCUT

Crash Reduction Factor

- Not Available

Typical Installation Costs

- \$1 to \$5 million

Severe Crashes: 0


## Confirmation Lights

## Crash Reduction Factor

- $25 \%$ to $84 \%$ reduction in violations

Typical Installation Costs

- \$1,200 per two approaches

Severe Crashes: 0


## Pedestrian Countdown Timers

Crash Reduction Factor

- $25 \%$ vehicle/pedestrian crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$12,000 per intersection

Severe Crashes: 0


## Leading Pedestrian Intervals

## Crash Reduction Factor

- Up to 60\% pedestrian/ vehicle crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$600 per intersection

Severe Crashes: 0


## Curb Extensions

## Crash Reduction Factor

- Increase in vehicles yielding to pedestrians

Typical Installation Costs

- \$36,000 per corner


Severe Crashes: 0

## Center Island Medians

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 46\% in vehicle/pedestrian crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$24,000 per approach


Severe Crashes: 0

## Roundabout (Including Mini Roundabout)

Crash Reduction Factor

- $20 \%$ to $50 \%$ all crashes
- $60 \%$ to $90 \%$ right-angle crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- \$4,200,000 per intersection


Severe Crashes: 0

## Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacon (RRFB)

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 75\% of drivers yield to pedestrians

Typical Installation Costs

- \$15,000

Severe Crashes: 0
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## High-Intensity Activated Crosswalk Beacon (HAWK)

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 69\% Vehicle/Pedestrian

Typical Installation Costs

- \$50,000 to \$120,000

Severe Crashes: 0


## Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA)

## Crash Reduction Factor

- $19.4 \%$ left turn crashes

Typical Installation Costs

- Not Available

Severe Crashes: 0


## Turn Lanes (Offset, Channelized)

## Crash Reduction Factor

- 27\%

Typical Installation Costs

- \$150,000 to \$500,000

Severe Crashes: 0


## Urbanization (Make it Feel Urban)

## Crash Reduction Factor

- Not Available


## Typical Installation Costs

- \$250,000 to \$500,000 per intersection

Severe Crashes: 0


Safety Plan

## Workshop Wrap-Up

Next Steps:

- Beltrami County Safety Workshop Summary
- County review/approval of recommended projects
- CRSP draft report
- Transportation Committee meeting presentation

Workshop Evaluation - We value your feedback!
Thank you for your participation and input!

## Questions?

Contact:

- Bruce Hasbargen- Beltrami County Engineer
bruce.hasbargen@co.beltrami.mn.us 218-333-8180
- Mark Vizecky - MnDOT State Aid

Mark.vizecky@state.mn.us 651-366-3839
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## Rural Segment Strategies

| Strategies | Crash Reduction Factor | Typical Installation Cost | Severe Crashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Install centerline rumble strips | 40\% head-on/sideswipe crashes | \$3,600 per mile | 2 |
| Reallocate total two-lane roadway width (lane and shoulder) to include a narrow "buffer median" | $50 \%$ all crashes 100\% head-on crashes | \$150,000 to \$500,000 per mile | 2 |
| Install shoulder/edgeline rumble strips (sinusoidal) | 20\% run-off-road crashes | \$5,850 per mile | 9 |
| Eliminate shoulder drop offs by installing a safety edge | 5\% to 10\% all crashes | \$10,000 to \$20,000 per mile | 9 |
| Provide enhanced pavement markings (e.g., 6") | $10 \%$ to $45 \%$ rural severe crashes | \$2,000 per mile | 11 |
| Widen and/or pave shoulders | $20 \%$ to $30 \%$ run-off-road crashes | \$54,000 per mile | 9 |
| Maintain or enhance the clear zone | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \% \text { increase to } \\ & 18 \% \text { decrease } \end{aligned}$ | \$50,000 to \$500,000 per mile | 5 |
| Remove/relocate objects in hazardous locations (trees, signs, etc.) | Not Available | Not Available | 5 |
| Remove non-compliant mailboxes | Not Available | Not Available | 5 |
| Ditch/embankment improvements | 32\% to 41\% | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \text { to } \$ 1,000,000 \text { per } \\ \text { mile } \end{gathered}$ | 9 |
| Improve road and shoulder maintenace | Not Available | \$1,500 per mile | 9 |
| Install or upgrade guardrail | Not Available | \$25,000 each | Not Available |

Note: Severe (KA) crashes on the Beltrami County roadway system between 2011-2015

## Rural Horizontal Curve Strategies

| Strategies | Crash Reduction Factor | Typical Installation Cost | Severe Crashes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Install chevrons | $20 \%$ to $30 \%$ | $\$ 3,960$ per curve | 8 |
| Install delineators | $18 \%$ to $34 \%$ | $\$ 500$ per curve | 8 |
| Implement dynamic curve signing | Not Available | $\$ 50,000$ per curve | 8 |
| Extend or install lighting | See Rural Intersections |  | 8 |
| Maintain or enhance the clear zone | $28 \%$ increase to <br> $18 \%$ decrease | $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 250,000$ per curve | 2 |
| Reconstruct TT to single T Intersection <br> (remove visual trap) | Not Available | $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 300,000$ per <br> curve | 8 |

## Rural Intersection Strategies

| Strategies | Crash Reduction Factor | Typical Installation Cost | Severe Crashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade signs and pavement markings | 40\% - Upgrade all signs and pavement markigns | \$2,640 per approach | 6 |
| STOP bars | Not Available | Not Available | 6 |
| STOP AHEAD pavement markings | 15\% | Not Available | 6 |
| In-lane turn arrows | Not Available | Not Available | 6 |
| Rail grade crossing markings | Not Available | Not Available | 0 |
| Install lighting | $25 \%$ to $40 \%$ nighttime crashes | \$6,000 per light | 6 |
| Convert to All-Way STOP | Not Available | \$1,000 per intersection | 6 |
| Remove skew/realign intersection | 0\% to 33\% | \$150,000 to \$300,000 per intersection | 6 |
| Clear sight triangles | 20\% | \$3,000 per intersection | 6 |
| Restricted crossing u-turn intersection | 17\% all crashes $100 \%$ angle crashes | \$750,000 per intersection | 6 |
| Rural lintersection conflict warning system | $50 \%$ all crashes $75 \%$ severe angle crashes | \$50,000 per intersection | 6 |
| Offset T intersection | 53\% | \$150,000 to \$300,000 per intersection | 6 |
| Roundabout | $20 \%$ to $50 \%$ all crashes $60 \%$ to $90 \%$ angle crashes | \$1,000,000 per intersection | 6 |
| Turn lanes (offset, channelized) | $35 \%$ - Positive offset 43\% to 60\% - Channelized | \$75,000 to \$250,000 | 6 |
| Improve slopes | Not Available | \$3,000 per entrance | 6 |

[^1]
## Urban Segment Strategies

| Strategies | Crash Reduction Factor | Typical Installation Cost | Severe Crashes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improve access management | $5 \%$ to $31 \%$ | $\$ 360,000$ per mile |  |
| Install bike lane or bike boulevard | $0 \%$ to $60 \%$ | $\$ 5,000$ per mile - Repurpose <br> $\$ 500,000$ per mile - New | 0 |
| Urbanization | Not Available | $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 1,000,000$ per <br> mile | 1 |
| Implement dynamic speed feedback sign | $5 \%$ to $7 \%$ | $\$ 30,000$ per location | 1 |

Note: Severe (KA) crashes on the Beltrami County roadway system between 2011-2015

## Urban Intersection Strategies

| Strategies | Crash Reduction Factor | Typical Installation Cost | Severe Crashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convert to signalized Reduced Conflict Intersection (RCI) | Not Available | \$1,000,000 to \$5,000,000 per intersection | 0 |
| Install red-light confirmation lights | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \text { to } 84 \% \\ & \text { reduction in violations } \end{aligned}$ | \$1,200 per two approaches | 0 |
| Pedestrian countdown timers | $25 \%$ vehicle-pedestrian crashes | \$12,000 per intersection | 0 |
| Leading pedestrian intervals | 60\% vehicle-pedestrian crashes | \$600 per intersection | 0 |
| Curb extensions | Increase in vehicles yielding to pedestrians | \$36,000 per corner | 0 |
| Median refuge islands | 46\% vehicle-pedestrian crashes | \$24,000 per approach | 0 |
| Convert to roundabout | $20 \%$ to $50 \%$ all crashes $60 \%$ to $90 \%$ angle crashes | \$4,200,000 per intersection | 0 |
| Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacon (RRFB) | $75 \%$ of vehicles yielding to pedestrians | \$15,000 per location | 0 |
| High Intensity Activated Crosswalk (HaWK) | 69\% vehicle-pedestrian crashes | \$50,000 to \$120,000 per location | 0 |
| Flashing yellow arrow | 19\% left turn crashes | Not Available | 0 |
| Turn lanes (offset, channelized) | 27\% | $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 500,000$ per turn lane | 0 |

Note: Severe (KA) crashes on the Beltrami County roadway system between 2011-2015
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## List of Strategies

## Rural Segments

- Centerline Rumble Strip
- Sinusoidal Rumble "Mumble" Strips included
- Shoulder/Edgeline Rumble Strips
- Sinusoidal Rumble "Mumble" Strips included
- Buffers Between Opposing Lanes
- Safety Edge
- Enhanced Edgeline ( $6^{\prime \prime} \& 8^{\prime \prime}$ )
- Shoulder Paving ( $2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ )
- Clear Zone Maintenance/Enhancements
- Ditch/embankment Improvements


## Rural Curves

- Chevrons
- Delineators
- Dynamic Curve Signing
- Lighting
- Clear Zone Maintenance/Enhancements
- Reconstruct [TT to a Single T intersection]


## Rural Intersections

- Upgrade Signs and Pavement Markings
- Streetlights (and approaches)
- All-Way Stop/Yield
- Restricted Crossing U-Turn (RCUT) Intersection
- Rural Intersection Conflict Warning System (RICWS)
- Offset T-Intersection
- Roundabout
- Turn Lanes (Offset, Channelized)
- Remove Skew / Realign Intersections
- Continuous Green T


## Urban Segments

- Access Management
- Bike Lane/Boulevard
- Urbanization (make it feel urban)
- Dynamic Speed Feedback Sign


## Urban Intersections

- Signalized RCUT
- Confirmation Lights
- Pedestrian Countdown Timers
- Leading Pedestrian Intervals
- Curb Extensions
- Center Island Medians
- Roundabout (including Mini Roundabout)
- Urbanization (make it feel urban)
- Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacon (RRFB)
- High-Intensity Activated crossWalk Beacon (HAWK)
- Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA)
- Turn Lanes (Offset, Channelized)

Rural Segments

| Strategy | Crash Reduction Factor* | Typical Installation Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centerline Rumble Strip | 40\% head-on/sideswipe crashes | \$3,600 per mile |
| Shoulder/Edgeline Rumble Strip | 20\% run off road crashes | \$5,850 per mile |
| Buffers Between Opposing Lanes | 50\% for all crashes \& 100\% for head-on crashes [based on TH 5 in Lake Elmo, MN] | $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 500,000$ per mile |
| Safety Edge | $5 \%$ to $10 \%{ }^{\text {s }}$ | \$10,000 to \$20,000 per mile |
| Enhanced Edgeline (6" \& 8") | $10 \%$ to $45 \%$ all rural serious crashes (6") | \$2,000 per mile |
| Shoulder Paving ( $2^{\prime}$, 4', 6') | $20 \%$ to $30 \%$ run-off-the-road crashes (with shoulder rumble) (2' only) | \$54,000 per mile + $\$ 5,850$ per mile (for Edge Rumble) |
| Clear Zone Maintenance/Enhancements | Fatal, Serious \& Minor Injury Crashes: Increase of 28\% to Decrease of $18 \%$ | $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 500,000$ per mile |
| Ditch/Embankment Improvements | 32\% to 41\% (Adding new guardrail to embankments - Run off road crashes) | \$500,000 to \$1M per mile |
| Notes: <br> * - Crash reduction factors based on review of CMF Clearinghouse and other published research <br> \& - For all crashes |  |  |



## Centerline Rumble Strips

Source: Mitigation Strategies for Design Exceptions (FHWA, FHWA-SA-07-011)


## Shoulder Rumble Strips

Source: Mitigation Strategies for Design Exceptions (FHWA, FHWA-SA-07-011)


## Edgeline Rumble Strips

Source: Proven Countermeasures, Longitudinal Rumble Strips and Stripes on 2-Lane Roads (FHWA)


Safety Edge
Source: FHWA Public Roads (Sept/Oct 2014; Vol. 78 No. 2)


## Enhanced Edgeline

Source: Low-Cost Treatments for Horizontal Curve Safety (FHWA, FHWA-SA-07-002)


Buffers Between Opposing Lanes
TH 14 in District 7
Source: MnDOT Presentation @ 2014 TZD Conference


## Enhanced Edgeline

Source: Low-Cost Treatments for Horizontal Curve Safety (FHWA, FHWA-SA-07-002)


## Shoulder Paving

Source:https://mntransportationresearch.files.wordpress.com/2014 /06/dsc_8665nv.jpg?w=672\&h=372\&crop=1


Clear Zone Maintenance
Source:https://nativeengineering.files.wordpress.com/2016/12/3.jpg?w =300\&h=204


Ditch/Embankment Improvements
Source: http://www.roadex.org/wpcontent/uploads/elearning/drainage/5/521.jpg

Rural Curves

| Strategy | Crash Reduction Factor* | Typical Installation Costs |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Chevrons | $20 \%$ to $30 \%$ | $\$ 3,960$ per curve |
| Delineators | $18 \%$ to $34 \%{ }^{\dagger}{ }^{+}$ | $\$ 500$ per curve |
| Dynamic Curve Signing | Not Available | $\$ 50,000$ per curve |
| Lighting | See Rural Intersections | See Rural Intersections |
| Clear Zone Maintenance/Enhancements | Fatal, Serious \& Minor Injury Crashes: <br> Increase of 28\% to Decrease of $18 \%$ | $\$ 10,000-\$ 250,000$ per <br> curve |
| Reconstruct $\rightarrow$ TT to Single T Intersection |  | $\$ 150,000-\$ 300,000$ per <br> curve |
| Notes: <br> - Crash reduction factors based on review of CMF Clearinghouse and other published research <br>  |  |  |



## Chevrons

Source: Low Cost Traffic Engineering Improvements: A Primer (FHWA, FHWA-OP-03-078)


## Dynamic Curve Signing

Source: FHWA, Sequential Dynamic Curve Warning System: Product
Safety
Performance Evaluation (2011)


Delineators
Source: Low-Cost Treatments for Horizontal Curve Safety (FHWA, FHWA-SA-07-002)


## Street Lights

Source: Mitigation Strategies for Design Exceptions (FHWA FHWA-SA-07-011)


Clear Zone Maintenance
Source:https://nativeengineering.files.wordpress.com/ 2016/12/3.jpg?w=300\&h=204


TT to T Intersection Reconstruction
Source: MnDOT 2015 Traffic Safety Fundamentals Handbook

## Rural Intersection

| Strategy | Crash Reduction Factor* | Typical Installation <br> Costs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs and Pavement Markings | $40 \%$ upgrade of all signs and <br> pavement markings/ <br> $15 \%$ for STOP AHEAD <br> pavement marking | \$2,640 per approach ${ }^{\dagger}$ |



Upgrade Signs and Pavement Markings
Source: Minnesota CRSP


All-Way Stop Controled intersection
Source: http://www.ite.org/uiig/images/type/clip_image010.jpg


## Street Lights

Source: Mitigation Strategies for Design Exceptions (FHWA, FHWA-SA-07-011)


Restricted Crossing U-Turn Intersections
Source: Field Evaluation of a Restricted Crossing U-turn Intersection (FHWA, FHWA-HRT-11-067)


## Rural Intersection Conflict Warning System

Source: MnDOT Traffic Engineering (http://www.dot.state.mn.us/trafficeng /signals /conflictwarning.html)


Offset T-Intersection
Source: Alternative Intersections/Interchanges: Informational Report (FHWA, FHWA-HRT-09-060)


Offset Right Turn Lane
Source: Review of Iowa's Rural Intersection Crashes: Application of Methodology for Identifying Intersections for IDS (MnDOT, MN/RC 2007-27)



## Continuous Green T Intersection

Source: St. Louis County, Minnesota

Urban Segments

| Strategy | Crash Reduction Factor** | Typical Installation Costs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Access Mgmt (Access Mgmt Plan) | $5 \%$ to $31 \%$ | $\$ 360,000$ per mile ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ |



Before


After

Access Management
Source: Mitigation Strategies for Design Exceptions (FHWA, FHWA-SA-07-011)


## Bicycle Boulevard

Source: Minnesota's Best Practices for Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety (MnDOT, Report 2013-22)


## Bike Lane

Source: Minnesota's Best Practices for Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety (MnDOT, Report 2013-22)


Rural Design - TH 2 Approaching Floodwood, MN

## Urbanization

Source: Google Street View


## Dynamic Speed Feedback

 SignSource: http://1x57.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/25-mph-regulatory-speed-limit-sign-with-radar-sign1-173x300.jpg

Urban Intersections

| Strategy | Crash Reduction Factor | Typical Installation Costs |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Signalized RCUT | Not Available | $\$ 1$ to $\$ 5$ million |
| Confirmation Lights | $25 \%$ to $84 \%$ reduction in <br> violations | $\$ 1,200$ per two approaches |
| Pedestrian Countdown Times | $25 \%$ vehicle/pedestrian <br> crashes | $\$ 12,000$ per intersection |
| Leading Pedestrian Intervals | Up to $60 \%$ pedestrian/ <br> vehicle crashes | $\$ 600$ per intersection |
| Curb Extensions | Increase in vehicles <br> yielding to pedestrians | $\$ 36,000$ per corner |
| Center Island Medians | $46 \%$ in vehicle/pedestrian <br> crashes | $\$ 24,000$ per approach |
| Roundabout (including Mini Roundabout) | $20 \%$ to 50\% all crashes/ <br> $60 \%$ to $90 \%$ right-angle <br> crashes | $\$ 4,200,000$ per intersection |
| Urbanization (make it feel urban) | Not Available | $\$ 250,000-\$ 500,000$ per <br> intersection |
| Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacon (RRFB) | $75 \%$ of drivers yield to <br> pedestrians | $\$ 15,000$ |
| High-Intensity Activated crossWalk Beacon (HAWK) | $69 \%$ Vehicle/Pedestrian | $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 120,000$ |
| Flashing Yellow Arrow (FYA) --> Note: Permitted to FYA | $19.4 \%$ left turn crashes | $27 \%$ |



## Signalized RCUT

Source: Kentucky Transportation Cabinet; Congestion Toolbox


## Confirmation Lights

Source: MnDOT 2015 Traffic Safety Fundamentals Handbook


Pedestrian Countdown Timer
Source: Oakland MTC: Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Toolbox


## Curb Extensions

Source: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/ pedbike/05085/images/fig205.jpg


## Roundabout

Source: Innovative Intersection Safety Improvement Strategies and Management Practices: A Domestic Scan (FHWA, FHWA-SA-06-016)


## Leading Pedestiran Interval

Source: https://bikeuptowndotorg.files.wordpress.com/2012
/04/2012-04-15-09-56-491.jpg


Center Island Medians
Source:http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/provencountermeasures/images/sa1 2_011.jpg


## Urbanization

Source: Google Earth Street View


## Urbanization

Source: Google Earth Street View


## HAWK

Source: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/10045/ images/hawk_027.jpg


## Channelized Right Turn Lane

Source:http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop12004/imag es/c4b.jpg


Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacon
Source: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/11mayjun /images/do1.jpg


## Flashing Yellow Arrow

Source: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/newsletter/safetycompass/2012 /winter/images/rrb.png

## County Road Safety Plan Updates

# Beltrami County Safety Workshop 

WORKSHOP DATE: Tuesday, August 8, 2017
meeting time: 8:30 Registration; 9:00 AM - 3:00 PM CDT
LOCATION: Beltrami County Administration Building, Commissioner's Board Room 701 Minnesota Ave NW, Bemidji, MN 56601

## Attendees

- Derek Leuer, MnDOT
- Mark Vizecky, MnDOT
- Howard Preston, CH2M
- Cheri Marti, CH2M
- Renae Kuehl, SRF
- Bruce Hasbargen, Beltrami County
- Brad Norland, Minnesota State Patrol
- Keith Winger, Beltrami County Commissioner
- Mike Wedin, MN State Patrol
- Lou Tasa, MnDOT
- Greg Liedl, Bemidji Area Schools
- Tim Lutz, Kelliher School
- Kay Mack, Beltrami County
- Jeff Erickson, MnDOT
- Tim Donaghue, MnDOT
- John Noehring, Beltrami County Hwy
- Matt McFarland, Headwaters Regional Development Commission
- Ed Geving, Beltrami County Hwy
- Christina Regas, City of Blackduck
- Philip Hodapp, Sheriff
- Holly Kostrzewski, MnDOT TZD
- Reed Olson, Beltrami County
- Christopher Muller, Beltrami County Emergency Management
- Michelle Rognerud, MnDOT


## Workshop Goals

Welcome, Introductions, and Workshop Goals

- Create a shared understanding of CRSP and Beltrami County's infrastructure roadway safety approach.
- Develop a more comprehensive understanding of effective infrastructure safety and maintenance strategies to reduce severe crashes.
- Develop prioritized lists of safety strategies for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) eligible projects and safety-related maintenance activities. Created


## County Roadway Safety Plan Update and Safety Strategies Overview

## Overview of CRSP and MN TZD

- Derek gave an overview of the topics above
- Crash totals do not include ATV crashes unless the crash included a vehicle.

Discussion: What is important to advance road safety in the county?

- Education that the engineering department (Bruce Hasbargen) has given to the board has been very helpful. Helps the board members understand the impact the strategies have and can discuss with residents.
- Workshops like today are helpful in educating those that deal with the public as to why various strategies are in the field. Examples - safety edge, rumbles, etc.
- The biggest problem dealing with now is DUI (narcotics) rather than DWI (alcohol). They have become very prevalent, outnumbering DWI arrests. DUI arrests have skyrocketed. This is an issue in the iron range as well. NHTSA funding restricts law enforcement from doing DUI/DWI patrol during the day. Hours that they are required to patrol is $10 \mathrm{pm}-2 \mathrm{am}$. These are considered overtime shirts - would be easier to fill daytime overtime shifts rather than overnight overtime shifts.
- Distracted/careless driving is a huge problem.
- Presence of law enforcement makes a difference in driver behavior.
- A lot of the TZD messaging is from MnDOT, statewide and from the metro area. Beltrami County needs to make the message local and raise the awareness of traffic safety locally.
- It would be appreciated if the county board could reach out to small cities and small townships, by coming to city council meetings and reporting on what the county is up to related to safety. There is a disconnect between the smaller agencies and the county.
- Pedestrian safety is a concern in a few locations. There are some locations where installing pedestrian trails/sidewalks would be beneficial. It would be great if the county could consider building trails/sidewalks
- Beltrami County does not currently have a county safe community coalition. In the past, there has been a group, but the county has struggled to keep a lead coordinator. The county is interested in getting this group going again.
- Pavement conditions are poor in some locations, there is concern that the pavement itself may be causing safety issues. Poor roadways have impacted EMS getting to crashes safely/timely.


## Overview of Statewide Performance Measures and Data-Driven Safety Analysis

- Derek gave an overview of the topics above
- Would be interesting to see the number of vehicle registrations vs. fatalities back in 1974 when fatalities were at their peak (approximately $1,050 /$ year), compared to today. The number of vehicle miles traveled may be a better reference to compare fatalities.


## Overview of Proactive Systemic Safety Approach

- Howard gave an overview of the proactive safety approach
- County and law enforcement get calls from the public asking "How many people have to die/get injured before you do something at this location?" Understanding the proactive systemic safety approach will help county staff to answer these questions.


## Beltrami County Implemented Safety Projects and County Implementation Approach

- Bruce gave an overview of safety projects that have been implemented since their plan was completed. Beltrami County has been very aggressive in implementing projects from the initial plans. Almost all the projects in the plan have been completed. Rumble strips, chevrons, roundabout, etc.
- If you consider cost, the county can build three miles of one lane of a roadway ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{M}$ ) or implement all the projects in the County Road Safety Plan. The cost for one severe/fatal crash can cost millions of dollars.
- Beltrami is now installing rumble strips and the safety wedge with all new construction or reconstruction projects as a standard practice. They don't rely solely on safety funding to implement these strategies.


## Beltrami County Crash Data Overview and Focus Areas

- Howard reviewed the statewide and countywide rural and urban crash trees
- Howard reviewed wright county focus areas
- Meeting participants shared that the data presented during this segment is very educational and helped to learn where the data comes from and how it is analyzed and prioritized. It helps people understand how decisions were made and why improvements were made at various locations.
- Meeting participants commended MnDOT for looking at and making safety decisions based on data that clearly shows where the need is, that allowed local agencies to get the funding needed to make local roads safer.


## Safety \& Maintenance Strategies Presentation \& Discussion

- Howard reviewed the following strategies to consider
- Beltrami County is currently not installing rumble stripEs (paint in the rumble)
- Beltrami County does not get complaints from the public about rumble strip noise, but they do get complaints from the bike community. Beltrami county installs the bike-friendly rumbles that have a gap in the rumbles for bikers to transition from each side of the rumbles on a flat surface.
- The sinusoidal vs. regular (Minnesota) rumbles didn't have an impact on motorcycles, based on tests that were performed at MnROAD.
- Installation of the safety edge has helped reduce maintenance for shoulder edge.
- ROW maintenance is key and is done year round. Staff currently do their own informal prioritization of when to maintain various items in the ROW. The CRSP will help to inform maintenance decisions as well.
- Clear zone maintenance also helps with improving the line of sight, so drivers can see animals on the side of the road.
- Next year, 10 miles of the 400 miles of county road in Beltrami will be reconstructed.
- Reconstructing intersections with two intersections on the tangent - Beltrami has been working on doing this in a few locations.
- Beltrami County has installed stop bars and "STOP AHEAD" pavement markings at many intersections.
- At locations where the stop bar is painted father into the intersection, Beltrami maintenance staff have received complaints from truck drivers that taking a left-turn from the mainline onto the side street can be challenging with a car stopped at the stop bar.
- Beltrami upgraded to 36 " size STOP signs when improvements were being made.
- When clearing sight triangles, the biggest concern is cutting vegetation on private property.
- Restricted Crossing U-Turn (RCUT) intersections
- There were two locations suggested in the CRSP, but they have not been built yet. Beltrami has plans to discuss these locations with MnDOT district staff soon.
- When these intersection types have been proposed in the past, township staff have not been in favor of them. County staff would appreciate having township staff see the presentation that was given today on the topic. Possibly have Howard attend a meeting to present on the topic. Or possibly the business owners on corridors that have an RCUT in place come and present on their experience.
- Here is an educational video about trucks driving through RCUT intersections: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WebW5JZNrT8
- Education is a huge component of installing these types on intersections
- Rural Intersection Conflict Warning System (RICWS) - Beltrami County is putting in one of these systems this year.
- Roundabouts
- There is an intersection outside the High School that has conflicting traffic coming into the high school and commuter traffic.
- Videos that can be used to educate public/students on driving roundabouts:
- Roundabout Myths (10 Myth Version ) - https://youtu.be/4qvomI8LMb8
- Roundabout Myths (3 Myth Version) - https://youtu.be/HLDdWJzDFyl
- How about a roundabout? The Minnesota Experience https://youtu.be/xuvqCIEjPxQ
- Navigating a multi-lane roundabout: https://youtu.be/CEhNboz5GPk
- Could find a parking lot and setup cones to let people test driving it
- A lot of tools area available to help educate people on driving roundabouts
- For elderly - make placements that have a roundabout and use matchbox cars to practice driving the route.
- Hwy 200 and CSAH 71 - has a T intersection (in a neighboring county) that has a flashing advance warning sign prior to the stop sign that seems to be effective.


## Strategy Voting Exercise

- Meeting participants voted on the various strategies. Each participant was given 10 dots to use to place their votes on various strategies on a poster on the wall.


## Voting Results and Discussion

- Howard reviewed the voting results. Strategies that got the top votes (eight or more votes) were:
- Center rumble strips (8)
- Safety edge (11)
- Widen/Pave shoulders (8)
- Removing objects in the clear zone (9)
- Install chevrons (10)
- Street lighting (11)
- Remove intersection skew (8)
- Roundabouts (19)
- Prior to voting it would have been be good to know:
- Items that Bruce is already going to do/already doing
- Keep in mind what things cost when voting
- Possibly let people vote on the handouts so they have images to go along with the strategies.


## Next Steps and Workshop Evaluation

- Meeting summary will be provided to Bruce, to share with meeting participants.
- One meeting participant shared that he learned a lot and was very glad he decided to come to the workshop
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Rural Segment Prioritizaiton for Beltrami County

| List No. | Project Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Length [miles] | ADT [vpd] | Speed Limit | ADT Rural SingleVehicle | ADT Rural MultiVehicle | Access Density | Curve Density | Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | 12.004 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 6.0 | 645 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 8 |  | 15.003 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 7.1 | 2,165 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 80 |  | 8.003 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line | 5.0 | 1,925 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 2 | 4 | 12.003 | CSAH | 12 | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE | 4.1 | 1,750 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 6 | 5 | 14.001 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | 5.4 | 1,060 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 14 | 6 | 20.001 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE | Big Bass Rd NE | 2.6 | 2,745 | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 15 | 7 | 20.002 | CSAH | 20 | Big Bass Rd NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE | 4.5 | 970 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 17 | 8 | 21.003 | CSAH | 21 | Glidden Rd NE | Island View Dr NE | 6.1 | 1,540 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 21 | 9 | 22.003 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 7.0 | 555 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 23 | 10 | 22.005 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE | 4.4 | 570 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 31 | 11 | 24.002 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 5.5 | 500 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 34 | 12 | 27.001 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 2.0 | 690 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 43 | 13 | 30.004 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | 5.2 | 1,050 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 50 | 14 | 33.001 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 5.8 | 1,055 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 59 | 15 | 39.003 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 8.2 | 515 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 60 | 16 | 39.004 | CSAH | 39 | Beighley Rd NE | Co Rd 47 | 0.8 | 740 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 67 | 17 | 47.003 | CSAH | 47 | 0.32 miles N of Hwy 71 | Hwy 72 | 0.4 | 570 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 71 | 18 | 5.003 | CSAH | 5 | Hwy 2 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | 0.6 | 690 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 77 | 19 | 59.001 | CSAH | 59 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 | 0.8 | 1,450 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 78 | 20 | 7.001 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 7.1 | 1,350 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 79 | 21 | 8.002 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE | 6.6 | 2,130 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 81 | 22 | 9.001 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | 5.7 | 2,150 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 4 | 23 | 12.005 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 5.1 | 490 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 32 | 24 | 25.001 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | 3.3 | 320 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | *ᄎ $\star \star$ |
| 39 | 25 | 29.003 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE | 2.2 | 255 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 46 | 26 | 32.001 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 10.6 | 235 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | * | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 55 | 27 | 36.003 | CSAH | 36 | Clark Ave S | 0.97 miles E of Clark Ave S | 1.0 | 280 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 70 | 28 | 5.002 | CSAH | 5 | Russell Dr NW | Hwy 2 | 0.4 | 460 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 72 | 29 | 5.004 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 10.5 | 390 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 7 | 30 | 14.002 | CSAH | 14 | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | U.S. Rte 2 | 2.1 | 735 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 9 | 31 | 15.004 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 6.6 | 870 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 10 | 32 | 15.005 | CSAH | 15 | Red Clover St | S Boundary Rd | 2.3 | 760 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | *** |
| 12 | 33 | 19.002 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | 4.2 | 1,190 |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 13 | 34 | 2.001 | CSAH | 2 | 0.09 miles E of Monroe Ave SW | U.S. Rte 2 | 3.6 | 725 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | *** |
| 20 | 35 | 22.002 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 6.0 | 1,150 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | *** |
| 25 | 36 | 23.001 | CSAH | 23 | Hwy 71 | Newcomb Ln NE | 4.9 | 845 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 35 | 37 | 27.002 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | 4.1 | 595 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 40 | 38 | 30.001 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | 5.5 | 710 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 74 | 39 | 50.002 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | 2.7 | 3,800 | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 88 | 40 | 401.001 | CR | 401 | Beltrami Line Rd | Woodward Dr SW | 1.8 | 535 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | *** |
| 90 | 41 | 404.003 | CR | 404 | Paul Bunyan Rd SE | Van Burn Ave SE | 2.5 | 690 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | **ᄎ |
| 5 | 42 | 13.001 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 7.7 | 165 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 30 | 43 | 24.001 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW | 2.9 | 250 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 36 | 44 | 27.003 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 3.9 | 290 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 38 | 45 | 29.002 | CSAH | 29 | Hwy 71 | Swinburne Ct NW | 1.3 | 255 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 44 | 46 | 31.001 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Hwy 71 | 2.0 | 95 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | *** |
| 45 | 47 | 31.002 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Nebish Rd NE | 5.6 | 285 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star$ |

Rural Segment Prioritizaiton for Beltrami County

| List No. | Project Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Length [miles] | ADT [vpd] | Speed Limit | ADT Rural SingleVehicle | ADT Rural MultiVehicle | Access Density | Curve Density | Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | 48 | 36.001 | CSAH | 36 | hwy 1 | Shevlin Ave SW | 8.6 | 445 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | *** |
| 56 | 49 | 36.004 | CSAH | 36 | 0.97 miles E of Clark Ave S | 0 | 0.5 | 260 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | *** |
| 61 | 50 | 4.001 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | 3.3 | 270 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star$ |
| 62 | 51 | 43.001 | CSAH | 43 | Hwy 71 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St | 0.9 | 125 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 73 | 52 | 5.005 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | 6.1 | 255 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 76 | 53 | 57.002 | CSAH | 57 | 0.05 miles S of Main Ave W | Bemidji Rd NE | 0.7 | 395 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | *** |
| 82 | 54 | 9.002 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 6.9 | 370 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star$ |
| 87 | 55 | 305.002 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 3.0 | 255 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 89 | 56 | 403.001 | CR | 403 | 0.24 miles N of Belmmami Line Rd | 30th St SE | 1.3 | 115 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star$ |
| 91 | 57 | 407.001 | CR | 407 | 0.21 miles N of Beltrami Line Rd | Roosevelt Rd SE | 2.8 | 245 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star$ |
| 18 | 58 | 21.004 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr NE | Hwy 71 | 0.7 | 1,050 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 22 | 59 | 22.004 | CSAH | 22 | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | US-71 Old | 0.1 | 570 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 37 | 60 | 29.001 | CSAH | 29 | 3 rd Ave N | Hwy 71 | 0.1 | 505 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | * $\star$ |
| 41 | 61 | 30.002 | CSAH | 30 | Carl Ave | Hwy 71 | 0.2 | 2,175 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 54 | 62 | 36.002 | CSAH | 36 | Shevlin Ave SW | Clark Ave S | 0.4 | 500 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | * $\star$ |
| 64 | 63 | 46.001 | CSAH | 46 | Jackson Ave SW | Hwy 71 | 1.5 | 610 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 65 | 64 | 47.001 | CSAH | 47 | Hwy 71 | Hwy 71 | 0.8 | 1,010 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | * $\star$ |
| 66 | 65 | 47.002 | CSAH | 47 | Hwy 71 | 0.32 miles N of Hwy 71 | 0.3 | 570 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | * $\star$ |
| 83 | 66 | 90.001 | CSAH | 90 | U.S. Rte 2 | Stevens Ave | 0.2 | 725 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | * $\star$ |
| 93 | 67 | 515.001 | CR | 515 | U.S. Rte 2 | Hwy 89 | 1.4 | 610 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 1 | 68 | 1.001 | CSAH | 1 | 0.75 miles S of the Beltrami County Line | Beltrami County Line | 5.7 | 400 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star \star$ |
| 11 | 69 | 16.001 | CSAH | 16 | Centerline Rd NW | Wilton Hill Rd NW | 5.5 | 400 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 16 | 70 | 20.003 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 11.4 | 440 | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 24 | 71 | 22.006 | CSAH | 22 | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | 2.40 miles W of Co Rd 39 | 6.8 | 125 | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | * $\star$ |
| 26 | 72 | 23.002 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE | 7.0 | 395 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 27 | 73 | 23.003 | CSAH | 23 | Nebish Rd NE | Hwy 1 | 7.7 | 245 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | ** |
| 28 | 74 | 23.005 | CSAH | 23 | Cormant RdNE | Battle River Rd NE | 3.5 | 100 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | ** |
| 33 | 75 | 26.001 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 6.0 | 255 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 47 | 76 | 32.002 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE | 8.8 | 415 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 48 | 77 | 32.003 | CSAH | 32 | Irvine Ave NE | Everts Rd NE | 5.9 | 385 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 49 | 78 | 32.004 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE | Hwy 72 | 8.1 | 385 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 51 | 79 | 34.001 | CSAH | 34 | Pioneer Rd NE | Corral Rd NE | 6.0 | 195 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 52 | 80 | 35.001 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE | 1.9 | 270 | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 57 | 81 | 39.001 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE | 5.1 | 420 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | ** |
| 58 | 82 | 39.002 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 9.3 | 485 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 68 | 83 | 48.001 | CSAH | 48 | 0.51 miles W of Sportsmen Rd SW | Fern Lake Rd SW | 1.5 | 185 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 69 | 84 | 5.001 | CSAH | 5 | Beltrami County Line | Russell Dr NW | 6.9 | 275 | $\star$ |  |  | * |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 75 | 85 | 54.001 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line | 1.9 | 100 | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 92 | 86 | 407.002 | CR | 407 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 2.0 | 180 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 42 | 87 | 30.003 | CSAH | 30 | Hwy 71 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | 1.1 | 1,305 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 19 | 88 | 22.001 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 8.4 | 445 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 29 | 89 | 23.007 | CSAH | 23 | Bushy Lane Rd NE | Hwy 72 | 8.4 | 90 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 63 | 90 | 43.002 | CSAH | 43 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St | Hwy 71 | 1.0 | 125 |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |
| 84 | 91 | 92.001 | CSAH | 92 | Summit Ave | Brandl Dr NW | 0.3 | 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rural Segment Prioritizaiton for Beltrami County
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Project Page No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { Limit } \\ & \text { [mph] } \end{aligned}$ | Radius [Feet] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Total Cross <br> Section <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Adjacent Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Visual } \\ & \text { Trap } \end{aligned}$ | Lighting | Outside Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 1 | 15.021 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 59 | 2 | 15.015 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 151 | 3 | 24.014 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 14 | 4 | 12.007 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 64 | 5 | 15.020 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 148 | 6 | 24.011 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 247 | 7 | 39.012 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 258 | 8 | 4.002 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | ****** |
| 285 | 9 | 5.023 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ****** |
| 43 | 10 | 14.002 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | ****** |
| 268 | 11 | 5.004 | CSAH | 5 | Russell Dr NW | Hwy 2 |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | ****** |
| 70 | 12 | 19.004 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ***** |
| 190 | 13 | 30.011 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 311 | 14 | 7.005 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ** |
| 224 | 15 | 33.003 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 310 | 16 | 7.004 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ** |
| 77 | 17 | 20.001 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE | Big Bass Rd NE | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ** |
| 93 | 18 | 21.002 | CSAH | 21 | 29th St NE | Glidden Rd NE | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 215 | 19 | 32.019 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 309 | 20 | 7.003 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 110 | 21 | 22.016 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 301 | 22 | 57.001 | CSAH | 57 | Bemidji Rd NE | 0.07 miles E of Raspberry Ct NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 187 | 23 | 30.008 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 13 | 24 | 12.006 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 60 | 25 | 15.016 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 72 | 26 | 19.006 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 73 | 27 | 19.007 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ |
| 74 | 28 | 19.008 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ |
| 94 | 29 | 21.003 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr NE | Hwy 71 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 100 | 30 | 22.006 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | * | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ |
| 130 | 31 | 23.001 | CSAH | 23 | Hwy 71 | Newcomb Ln NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | * |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ |
| 134 | 32 | 23.005 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 179 | 33 | 29.008 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 191 | 34 | 30.012 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 192 | 35 | 30.013 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 193 | 36 | 30.014 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 232 | 37 | 35.002 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 249 | 38 | 39.014 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 269 | 39 | 5.005 | CSAH | 5 | Hwy 2 | Old Jefferson Dr NW |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ* |
| 271 | 40 | 5.007 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 283 | 41 | 5.021 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 286 | 42 | 5.024 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ* |
| 287 | 43 | 5.025 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 288 | 44 | 5.026 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 289 | 45 | 5.027 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ |
| 314 | 46 | 7.008 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 337 | 47 | 9.009 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 111 | 48 | 22.017 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ** |
| 199 | 49 | 32.003 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 225 | 50 | 33.004 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ***** |
| 267 | 51 | 5.003 | CSAH | 5 | Russell Dr NW | Hwy 2 |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 270 | 52 | 5.006 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | ***** |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Project Page No. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { Limit } \\ & \text { [mph] } \end{aligned}$ | Radius [Feet] | ADT [vpd] | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Total Cross Section Width [Feet] | Adjacent Intersection | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Visual } \\ \text { Trap } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Lighting | Outside <br> Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 351 | 53 | 402.002 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 352 | 54 | 402.003 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 9 | 55 | 11.008 | CSAH | 11 | 0.20 miles N of Florence Ct NW | U.S. Rte 2 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 315 | 56 | 7.009 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 75 | 57 | 19.009 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 313 | 58 | 7.007 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 328 | 59 | 8.011 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 319 | 60 | 8.002 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 317 | 61 | 7.011 | CSAH | 7 | Betrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 29 | 62 | 12.022 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 61 | 63 | 15.017 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 132 | 64 | 23.003 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 7 | 65 | 11.006 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | * |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 31 | 66 | 13.002 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 91 | 67 | 20.016 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 95 | 68 | 22.001 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 230 | 69 | 33.009 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 312 | 70 | 7.006 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 10 | 71 | 11.009 | CSAH | 11 | 0.20 miles N of Florence Ct NW | U.S. Rte 2 | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 104 | 72 | 22.010 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 326 | 73 | 8.009 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 54 | 74 | 15.010 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 63 | 75 | 15.019 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  |  | * | * |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 177 | 76 | 29.006 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 3 | 77 | 11.002 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | * |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 226 | 78 | 33.005 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  | * |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 53 | 79 | 15.006 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 108 | 80 | 22.014 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 120 | 81 | 22.026 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 183 | 82 | 30.004 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 188 | 83 | 30.009 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 189 | 84 | 30.010 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 257 | 85 | 4.001 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ $\star \star$ |
| 260 | 86 | 4.004 | CSAH | 4 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ $\star \star$ |
| 15 | 87 | 12.008 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ $\star \star$ |
| 16 | 88 | 12.009 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ $\star \star$ |
| 23 | 89 | 12.016 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ $\star$ * |
| 24 | 90 | 12.017 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ $\star$ * |
| 25 | 91 | 12.018 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ $\star \star$ |
| 26 | 92 | 12.019 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ $\star$ * |
| 27 | 93 | 12.020 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ $\star$ * |
| 28 | 94 | 12.021 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 30 | 95 | 13.001 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 36 | 96 | 13.007 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 37 | 97 | 13.008 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 62 | 98 | 15.018 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 76 | 99 | 2.001 | CSAH | 2 | 0.09 miles E of Monroe Ave SW | U.S. Rte 2 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 87 | 100 | 20.012 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 88 | 101 | 20.013 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 92 | 102 | 20.017 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 96 | 103 | 22.002 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 101 | 104 | 22.007 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |


| List <br> No. | Project Page No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Speed <br> Limit <br> [mph] | Radius [Feet] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Total Cross Section Width [Feet] | Adjacent Intersection | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Visual } \\ \text { Trap } \end{array}$ | Lighting | Outside <br> Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106 | 105 | 22.012 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 107 | 106 | 22.013 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 122 | 107 | 22.028 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 131 | 108 | 23.002 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 133 | 109 | 23.004 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 145 | 110 | 24.008 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | **** |
| 150 | 111 | 24.013 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 152 | 112 | 25.001 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 164 | 113 | 27.005 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 165 | 114 | 27.006 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 167 | 115 | 27.008 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE | Birchmont Beach Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 169 | 116 | 27.010 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 172 | 117 | 27.013 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 176 | 118 | 29.005 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 178 | 119 | 29.007 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 196 | 120 | 31.006 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Nebish Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 200 | 121 | 32.004 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 207 | 122 | 32.011 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 210 | 123 | 32.014 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 213 | 124 | 32.017 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 218 | 125 | 32.022 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 221 | 126 | 32.025 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE | Hwy 72 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 227 | 127 | 33.006 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 229 | 128 | 33.008 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | * |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 235 | 129 | 36.001 | CSAH | 36 | hwy 1 | Shevlin Ave SW |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 250 | 130 | 39.015 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 252 | 131 | 39.017 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 256 | 132 | 39.021 | CSAH | 39 | Beighley Rd NE | Co Rd 47 |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 259 | 133 | 4.003 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 263 | 134 | 43.003 | CSAH | 43 | Hwy 71 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St |  | $\star$ |  |  | * |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 278 | 135 | 5.014 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 284 | 136 | 5.022 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | * |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 302 | 137 | 58.001 | CSAH | 58 | Resv Hwy 18 | Pioneer Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 307 | 138 | 7.001 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 324 | 139 | 8.007 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 325 | 140 | 8.008 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 341 | 141 | 305.004 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 343 | 142 | 305.006 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 354 | 143 | 515.001 | CR | 515 | U.S. Rte 2 | Hwy 89 |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 5 | 144 | 11.004 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 57 | 145 | 15.013 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 78 | 146 | 20.002 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE | Big Bass Rd NE | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 115 | 147 | 22.021 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 127 | 148 | 22.044 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 129 | 149 | 22.046 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎᄎ |
| 223 | 150 | 33.002 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 329 | 151 | 9.001 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 342 | 152 | 305.005 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 344 | 153 | 305.007 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | * | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 345 | 154 | 305.008 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 346 | 155 | 305.009 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 348 | 156 | 305.012 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Project Page No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { Limit } \\ & \text { [mph] } \end{aligned}$ | Radius [Feet] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Total Cross <br> Section <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Adjacent Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Visual } \\ & \text { Trap } \end{aligned}$ | Lighting | Outside <br> Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 157 | 11.003 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ***ᄎ |
| 6 | 158 | 11.005 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ***ᄎ |
| 49 | 159 | 15.002 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ***ᄎ |
| 50 | 160 | 15.003 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ***ᄎ |
| 294 | 161 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | Hwy 71 | Bemidji Ave N |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ***ᄎ |
| 332 | 162 | 9.004 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 52 | 163 | 15.005 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 295 | 164 | 52.002 | CSAH | 52 | Hwy 71 | Bemidji Ave N |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 331 | 165 | 9.003 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 66 | 166 | 16.001 | CSAH | 16 | Centerline Rd NW | Wilton Hill Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 246 | 167 | 39.011 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 56 | 168 | 15.012 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 71 | 169 | 19.005 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 116 | 170 | 22.022 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 117 | 171 | 22.023 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 214 | 172 | 32.018 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 276 | 173 | 5.012 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 308 | 174 | 7.002 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 58 | 175 | 15.014 | CSAH | 15 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 102 | 176 | 22.008 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 103 | 177 | 22.009 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 119 | 178 | 22.025 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 124 | 179 | 22.040 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 347 | 180 | 305.011 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 17 | 181 | 12.010 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 18 | 182 | 12.011 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 19 | 183 | 12.012 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 20 | 184 | 12.013 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 21 | 185 | 12.014 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 22 | 186 | 12.015 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 32 | 187 | 13.003 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 33 | 188 | 13.004 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 34 | 189 | 13.005 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 40 | 190 | 13.011 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 48 | 191 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 67 | 192 | 16.002 | CSAH | 16 | Centerline Rd NW | Wilton Hill Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 83 | 193 | 20.008 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 85 | 194 | 20.010 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 86 | 195 | 20.011 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 90 | 196 | 20.015 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 97 | 197 | 22.003 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 105 | 198 | 22.011 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 112 | 199 | 22.018 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 113 | 200 | 22.019 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 118 | 201 | 22.024 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 123 | 202 | 22.029 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 126 | 203 | 22.043 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 128 | 204 | 22.045 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 138 | 205 | 24.001 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs RdNW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 146 | 206 | 24.009 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 147 | 207 | 24.010 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 149 | 208 | 24.012 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Project Page No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { Limit } \\ & {[\mathrm{mph}]} \end{aligned}$ | Radius <br> [Feet] | ADT [vpd] | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Total Cross Section Width [Feet] | Adjacent Intersection | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Visual } \\ \text { Trap } \end{array}$ | Lighting | Outside <br> Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 153 | 209 | 25.002 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 154 | 210 | 25.003 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 156 | 211 | 26.001 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 159 | 212 | 26.004 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 160 | 213 | 27.001 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 166 | 214 | 27.007 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE | Birchmont Beach Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 171 | 215 | 27.012 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 173 | 216 | 27.014 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 174 | 217 | 29.003 | CSAH | 29 | Hwy 71 | Swinburne Ct NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | *ᄎᄎ |
| 175 | 218 | 29.004 | CSAH | 29 | Hwy 71 | Swinburne Ct NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 180 | 219 | 30.001 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 181 | 220 | 30.002 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 182 | 221 | 30.003 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 184 | 222 | 30.005 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 185 | 223 | 30.006 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 186 | 224 | 30.007 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 194 | 225 | 31.004 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Nebish Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 195 | 226 | 31.005 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Nebish Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 197 | 227 | 32.001 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 198 | 228 | 32.002 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 202 | 229 | 32.006 | CSAH | 32 | Aure Rd NW | Lumerjack Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 203 | 230 | 32.007 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 204 | 231 | 32.008 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 205 | 232 | 32.009 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 206 | 233 | 32.010 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 208 | 234 | 32.012 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | **ᄎ |
| 212 | 235 | 32.016 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 216 | 236 | 32.020 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 219 | 237 | 32.023 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE | Hwy 72 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 220 | 238 | 32.024 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE | Hwy 72 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 228 | 239 | 33.007 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 231 | 240 | 35.001 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 233 | 241 | 35.003 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 234 | 242 | 35.004 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 237 | 243 | 39.002 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 238 | 244 | 39.003 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 239 | 245 | 39.004 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 240 | 246 | 39.005 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 241 | 247 | 39.006 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 242 | 248 | 39.007 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 243 | 249 | 39.008 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 245 | 250 | 39.010 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 248 | 251 | 39.013 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 251 | 252 | 39.016 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 253 | 253 | 39.018 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 254 | 254 | 39.019 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 255 | 255 | 39.020 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 262 | 256 | 43.002 | CSAH | 43 | Hwy 71 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | * |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 265 | 257 | 5.001 | CSAH | 5 | Beltrami County Line | Russell Dr NW |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 275 | 258 | 5.011 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 291 | 259 | 50.002 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 316 | 260 | 7.010 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ *ᄎ |


| List <br> No. | Project Page No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { Limit } \\ & \text { [mph] } \end{aligned}$ | Radius [Feet] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Total Cross Section Width [Feet] | Adjacent Intersection | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Visual } \\ \text { Trap } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Lighting | Outside <br> Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 322 | 261 | 8.005 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 336 | 262 | 9.008 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 350 | 263 | 402.001 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 8 | 264 | 11.007 | CSAH | 11 | 0.20 miles N of Florence Ct NW | U.S. Rte 2 | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 45 | 265 | 14.004 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 51 | 266 | 15.004 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 69 | 267 | 19.002 | CSAH | 19 | Power Dam Rd NE | Elliot Rd NE | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 121 | 268 | 22.027 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 125 | 269 | 22.042 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 222 | 270 | 33.001 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | ᄎᄎᄎ |
| 290 | 271 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 292 | 272 | 50.003 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 293 | 273 | 50.004 | CSAH | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 339 | 274 | 305.002 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 55 | 275 | 15.011 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 330 | 276 | 9.002 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | $\star$ |  | * |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 338 | 277 | 305.001 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 340 | 278 | 305.003 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎᄎ |
| 84 | 279 | 20.009 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 318 | 280 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 335 | 281 | 9.007 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 327 | 282 | 8.010 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 334 | 283 | 9.006 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 2 | 284 | 11.001 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 12 | 285 | 12.005 | CSAH | 12 | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 143 | 286 | 24.006 | CSAH | 24 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 157 | 287 | 26.002 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 273 | 288 | 5.009 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | * $\star$ |
| 68 | 289 | 19.001 | CSAH | 19 | Power Dam Rd NE | Elliot Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | *ᄎ |
| 79 | 290 | 20.004 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 80 | 291 | 20.005 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 81 | 292 | 20.006 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 82 | 293 | 20.007 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 11 | 294 | 12.004 | CSAH | 12 | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 35 | 295 | 13.006 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 38 | 296 | 13.009 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 41 | 297 | 13.012 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 42 | 298 | 13.013 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 46 | 299 | 14.005 | CSAH | 14 | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | U.S. Rte 2 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 47 | 300 | 14.006 | CSAH | 14 | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | U.S. Rte 2 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 89 | 301 | 20.014 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 98 | 302 | 22.004 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 99 | 303 | 22.005 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 109 | 304 | 22.015 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 135 | 305 | 23.006 | CSAH | 23 | Nebish Rd NE | Hwy 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 136 | 306 | 23.015 | CSAH | 23 | Shotley Rd NE | Bushy Lane Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 137 | 307 | 23.016 | CSAH | 23 | Shotley Rd NE | Bushy Lane Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | * $\star$ |
| 139 | 308 | 24.002 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 140 | 309 | 24.003 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 141 | 310 | 24.004 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 142 | 311 | 24.005 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs RdNW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 144 | 312 | 24.007 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |

Rural Curve Prioritization for Beltrami County

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Project Page No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { Limit } \\ & \text { [mph] } \end{aligned}$ | Radius [Feet] | ADT [vpd] | Lane <br> Width <br> [Feet] | Shoulder Type | Total Cross Section Width [Feet] | Adjacent Intersection | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Visual } \\ \text { Trap } \end{array}$ | Lighting | Outside <br> Edge Risk | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155 | 313 | 25.004 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 158 | 314 | 26.003 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 161 | 315 | 27.002 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | * $\star$ |
| 162 | 316 | 27.003 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 163 | 317 | 27.004 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | * $\star$ |
| 168 | 318 | 27.009 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE | Birchmont Beach Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 170 | 319 | 27.011 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 201 | 320 | 32.005 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 209 | 321 | 32.013 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 211 | 322 | 32.015 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 217 | 323 | 32.021 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 236 | 324 | 39.001 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles N or the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 244 | 325 | 39.009 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 261 | 326 | 43.001 | CSAH | 43 | Hwy 71 | 0.57 miles SW of Main St |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 264 | 327 | 48.001 | CSAH | 48 | 0.51 miles W of Sportsmen Rd SW | Fern Lake Rd SW |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 266 | 328 | 5.002 | CSAH | 5 | Beltrami County Line | Russell Dr NW |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 272 | 329 | 5.008 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 274 | 330 | 5.010 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure RdNW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 277 | 331 | 5.013 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure RdNW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 279 | 332 | 5.017 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure RdNW |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 280 | 333 | 5.018 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 281 | 334 | 5.019 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure RdNW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 282 | 335 | 5.020 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 296 | 336 | 54.001 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 297 | 337 | 54.002 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 298 | 338 | 54.003 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 299 | 339 | 54.004 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 300 | 340 | 54.005 | CSAH | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest Rt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 303 | 341 | 58.002 | CSAH | 58 | Resv Hwy 18 | Pioneer Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 304 | 342 | 59.001 | CSAH | 59 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | *ᄎ |
| 305 | 343 | 59.002 | CSAH | 59 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 306 | 344 | 59.003 | CSAH | 59 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 320 | 345 | 8.003 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 321 | 346 | 8.004 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 323 | 347 | 8.006 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 333 | 348 | 9.005 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | ** |
| 349 | 349 | 307.004 | CR | 307 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 0.51 miles S of Main St E |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 353 | 350 | 509.001 | CR | 509 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 1 | 351 | 1.001 | CSAH | 1 | 0.75 miles S of the Beltrami County Line | Beltrami County Line |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 44 | 352 | 14.003 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 114 | 353 | 22.020 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | * |

# Rural Curve Prioritization for Beltrami County 

CRSP2 ID Example: 1.001: 1= Route Number, $001=$ First Curve

| List <br> No. | Project Page No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Ro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 354 | 13.010 | CSAH |  |
| Stars |  | Count | Percent |  |
| ᄎᄎ******** |  | 0 | 0\% |  |
| *ᄎᄎᄎᄎᄎᄎᄎᄎ |  | 0 | 0\% |  |
| $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |  | 0 | 0\% |  |
| *ᄎᄎ**** |  | 0 | 0\% |  |
| $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |  | 11 | 3\% |  |
| $\star \star \star \star \star$ |  | 44 | 12\% |  |
| $\star \star \star \star$ |  | 108 | 31\% |  |
| $\star \star \star$ |  | 115 | 32\% |  |
| * $\star$ |  | 75 | 21\% |  |
| $\star$ |  | 1 | 0\% |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0\% |  |
| Total |  | 354 | 100\% |  |

## Rural Intersection Prioritization for Beltrami County

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { List } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Page } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Context <br> Zone | Entering ADT <br> OR <br> Cross <br> Product | Leg <br> Configuration | Alignment Skew [degrees] | Adjacent RR Crossing | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Development | Previous STOP (>5 Miles) | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major Approach Turn Lane Configuration | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 142 | 1 | 5.007 | CSAH | 5 | Hwy 2 E | * | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 34 | 2 | 2.007 | CSAH | 2 | USTH 2 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 152 | 3 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | USTH 71 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | * |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 3 | 4 | 11.030 | CSAH | 11 | USTH 2 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 167 | 5 | 9.001 | CSAH | 9 | USTH 2 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 45 | 6 | 21.037 | CSAH | 21 | USTH 71 | * | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 193 | 7 | 501.002 | CR | 501 | USTH 2 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 137 | 8 | 47.009 | CSAH | 47 | USTH 71 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 87 | 9 | 30.014 | CSAH | 30 | USTH 71 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 44 | 10 | 21.035 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr NE | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 154 | 11 | 59.003 | CSAH | 59 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 195 | 12 | 507.001 | CR | 507 | USTH 2 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 49 | 13 | 22.044 | CSAH | 22 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 151 | 14 | 50.008 | CSAH | 50 | 23rd St SE | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 194 | 15 | 503.005 | CR | 503 | USTH 2 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 18 | 16 | 14.019 | CSAH | 14 | USTH 2 | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 72 | 17 | 24.014 | CSAH | 24 | MNTH 89 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 32 | 18 | 2.003 | CSAH | 2 | Washington Ave SW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | * | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 21 | 19 | 15.018 | CSAH | 15 | 4642 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | * |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 88 | 20 | 30.018 | CSAH | 30 | Main St S | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 38 | 21 | 20.040 | CSAH | 20 | Scenic Hwy NE | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 135 | 22 | 46.005 | CSAH | 46 | Washington Ave SW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 1 | 23 | 11.001 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave SW | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 161 | 24 | 7.019 | CSAH | 7 | Jefferson Ave SW | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 79 | 25 | 29.003 | CSAH | 29 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 76 | 26 | 26.003 | CSAH | 26 | Puposky Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 7 | 27 | 12.009 | CSAH | 12 | Lake Ave NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 26 | 28 | 15.056 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 4 | 29 | 12.001 | CSAH | 12 | 1st St E | $\star$ | * | $\star$ |  |  |  | * |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 197 | 30 | 515.001 | CR | 515 | USTH 2 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 93 | 31 | 31.005 | CSAH | 31 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 128 | 32 | 43.004 | CSAH | 43 | USTH 71 | * | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 23 | 33 | 15.043 | CSAH | 15 | Island View Dr NW | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 41 | 34 | 21.017 | CSAH | 21 | Glidden Rd NE | $\star$ | * |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 54 | 35 | 23.005 | CSAH | 23 | CR-203 |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 89 | 36 | 30.019 | CSAH | 30 | 1st St NE | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 111 | 37 | 36.010 | CSAH | 36 | Clark Ave | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 126 | 38 | 43.001 | CSAH | 43 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 143 | 39 | 5.008 | CSAH | 5 | 2nd St NW | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 181 | 40 | 301.006 | CR | 301 | Great Divide Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| 97 | 41 | 32.015 | CSAH | 32 | MNTH 89 |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | * |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 19 | 42 | 14.021 | CSAH | 14 | USTH 2 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 185 | 43 | 305.004 | CR | 305 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 157 | 44 | 7.011 | CSAH | 7 | CSAH 14 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 20 | 45 | 15.017 | CSAH | 15 | 3316 |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 156 | 46 | 6.003 | CSAH | 6 | Norton Ave | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 155 | 47 | 6.001 | CSAH | 6 | Adams Ave NW | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 29 | 48 | 15.063 | CSAH | 15 | LumberJack Rd |  |  |  | * |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 6 | 49 | 12.005 | CSAH | 12 | Mill St NE | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 102 | 50 | 32.030 | CSAH | 32 | MNTH 72 |  | * | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 5 | 51 | 12.004 | CSAH | 12 | 5th St NE | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 2 | 52 | 11.005 | CSAH | 11 | Monroe Ave SW | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |

Rural Intersection Prioritization for Beltrami County

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Project Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Context <br> Zone | Entering ADT <br> OR <br> Cross <br> Product | Leg Configuration | Alignment Skew [degrees] | Adjacent RR Crossing | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Development | Previous STOP (>5 Miles) | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major Approach Turn Lane Configuration | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | 53 | 22.014 | CSAH | 22 | MNTH 89 |  | * | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 50 | 54 | 22.045 | CSAH | 22 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | *** |
| 136 | 55 | 47.001 | CSAH | 47 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star$ |
| 14 | 56 | 13.001 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | *** |
| 15 | 57 | 13.002 | CSAH | 13 | Polaris Rd NW |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | *** |
| 24 | 58 | 15.044 | CSAH | 15 | Silver Lake Rd NW | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 25 | 59 | 15.055 | CSAH | 15 | Lindgren Lake Rd NW | * | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 43 | 60 | 21.028 | CSAH | 21 | Wildwood Rd NE | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | *** |
| 53 | 61 | 22.063 | CSAH | 22 | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 64 | 62 | 23.035 | CSAH | 23 | Battle River Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | *** |
| 74 | 63 | 26.001 | CSAH | 26 | MNTH 89 |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 77 | 64 | 27.004 | CSAH | 27 | Lamon Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 118 | 65 | 39.029 | CSAH | 39 | Hines Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 139 | 66 | 47.015 | CSAH | 47 | MNTH 72 |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 145 | 67 | 5.014 | CSAH | 5 | Grange Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 148 | 68 | 5.028 | CSAH | 5 | Teddy RdNW |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 150 | 69 | 50.006 | CSAH | 50 | Grant Ave SE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 160 | 70 | 7.017 | CSAH | 7 | 1058 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 168 | 71 | 9.018 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 178 | 72 | 111.001 | CR | 111 | MNTH 72 |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 179 | 73 | 112.001 | CR | 112 | MNTH 72 |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 199 | 74 | 600.002 | CR | 600 | MNTH 89 |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 35 | 75 | 20.001 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 171 | 76 | 90.001 | CSAH | 90 | 1st St | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 158 | 77 | 7.013 | CSAH | 7 | Adams Ave NW |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 166 | 78 | 8.039 | CSAH | 8 | Mission Rd SE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 159 | 79 | 7.016 | CSAH | 7 | 1059 | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 67 | 80 | 23.049 | CSAH | 23 | MNTH 72 |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 163 | 81 | 8.021 | CSAH | 8 | Sunnyside Rd SE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 198 | 82 | 515.006 | CR | 515 | MNTH 89 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | *ᄎ |
| 22 | 83 | 15.041 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 28 | 84 | 15.060 | CSAH | 15 | Nebish Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | *ᄎ |
| 78 | 85 | 29.001 | CSAH | 29 | 3rd Ave N | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 105 | 86 | 35.001 | CSAH | 35 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 16 | 87 | 13.008 | CSAH | 13 | Lumberjack Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 37 | 88 | 20.014 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 48 | 89 | 22.030 | CSAH | 22 | Wildwood Rd NE | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 55 | 90 | 23.010 | CSAH | 23 | Everts Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 56 | 91 | 23.018 | CSAH | 23 | Nebish Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | ** |
| 101 | 92 | 32.028 | CSAH | 32 | Corlan Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | ** |
| 110 | 93 | 36.009 | CSAH | 36 | MNTH 72 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | ** |
| 141 | 94 | 5.005 | CSAH | 5 | Trengove Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 144 | 95 | 5.012 | CSAH | 5 | Thoren Dr NW |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 147 | 96 | 5.024 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | * |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 189 | 97 | 404.003 | CR | 404 | Industrial Park Dr SE | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 12 | 98 | 12.036 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd SE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 13 | 99 | 12.050 | CSAH | 12 | Scenic Hwy NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 39 | 100 | 21.014 | CSAH | 21 | Selma Dr NE | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 40 | 101 | 303.003 | CSAH | 21 | Selma Dr NE | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 57 | 102 | 23.023 | CSAH | 23 | MNTH 1 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 65 | 103 | 23.038 | CSAH | 23 | Shotley Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star \star$ |
| 66 | 104 | 23.048 | CSAH | 23 | Waldo Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |

Rural Intersection Prioritization for Beltrami County

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { List } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Project Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Context <br> Zone | Entering ADT OR Cross Product | Leg <br> Configuration | Alignment Skew [degrees] | Adjacent RR Crossing | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Development | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Previous } \\ & \text { STOP (>5 Miles) } \end{aligned}$ | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major Approach Turn Lane Configuration | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 | 105 | 24.001 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | * |
| 73 | 106 | 25.006 | CSAH | 25 | Wolf Lake Dr SE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 80 | 107 | 29.012 | CSAH | 29 | Sharp Rock Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | *ᄎ |
| 92 | 108 | 31.004 | CSAH | 31 | Hines Rd NE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | *ᄎ |
| 95 | 109 | 31.013 | CSAH | 31 | Nebish Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 96 | 110 | 32.006 | CSAH | 32 | Boreal Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | ** |
| 98 | 111 | 32.020 | CSAH | 32 | Polaris Rd NW |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | * $\star$ |
| 99 | 112 | 32.023 | CSAH | 32 | CR-203 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | ** |
| 107 | 113 | 36.003 | CSAH | 36 | Battle River Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 112 | 114 | 36.011 | CSAH | 36 | Gould Ave | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 114 | 115 | 37.003 | CSAH | 37 | Stenson Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | ** |
| 116 | 116 | 37.009 | CSAH | 37 | MNTH 1 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 120 | 117 | 39.041 | CSAH | 39 | Main St S |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | ** |
| 123 | 118 | 41.001 | CSAH | 41 | USTH 71 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 125 | 119 | 41.007 | CSAH | 41 | MNTH 72 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 127 | 120 | 43.003 | CSAH | 43 | Main St W | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 133 | 121 | 44.012 | CSAH | 44 | MNTH 89 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 149 | 122 | 5.031 | CSAH | 5 | LumberJack Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 153 | 123 | 56.002 | CSAH | 56 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 165 | 124 | 8.026 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 172 | 125 | 93.003 | CSAH | 93 | Clark Ave | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 173 | 126 | 94.004 | CSAH | 94 | Clark Ave | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 177 | 127 | 110.001 | CR | 110 | MNTH 72 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 182 | 128 | 302.001 | CR | 302 | USTH 71 |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | ** |
| 190 | 129 | 404.010 | CR | 404 | Sunnyside Rd SE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 188 | 130 | 403.003 | CR | 403 | 30th St SE |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 134 | 131 | 46.002 | CSAH | 46 | N Plantagenet Rd SW |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 30 | 132 | 19.018 | CSAH | 19 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 33 | 133 | 2.004 | CSAH | 2 | Polk Ave SW |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 117 | 134 | 38.003 | CSAH | 38 | Sunflower Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | $\star$ |
| 176 | 135 | 105.001 | CR | 105 | MNTH 72 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 91 | 136 | 31.001 | CSAH | 31 | USTH 71 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 106 | 137 | 36.001 | CSAH | 36 | MNTH 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | $\star$ |
| 27 | 138 | 15.058 | CSAH | 15 | Artic Rd NW |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 36 | 139 | 20.007 | CSAH | 20 | Hazelwood Rd NE | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 108 | 140 | 36.005 | CSAH | 36 | Willow Creek Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 119 | 141 | 39.037 | CSAH | 39 | Lookout Tower Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 121 | 142 | 4.001 | CSAH | 4 | Sunnyside Rd SE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 146 | 143 | 5.023 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | $\star$ |
| 8 | 144 | 12.017 | CSAH | 12 | Sunnyside Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 11 | 145 | 12.028 | CSAH | 12 | Big Lake Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 17 | 146 | 14.011 | CSAH | 14 | Trengove Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 31 | 147 | 2.001 | CSAH | 2 | N Plantagenet Rd SW |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 42 | 148 | 21.024 | CSAH | 21 | CSAH 57 |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 46 | 149 | 22.007 | CSAH | 22 | Buzzle RdNW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 52 | 150 | 22.056 | CSAH | 22 | Three Culverts Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | * |
| 58 | 151 | 23.024 | CSAH | 23 | MNTH 1 |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 59 | 152 | 23.025 | CSAH | 23 | MNTH 1 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 62 | 153 | 23.030 | CSAH | 23 | Cormant Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 69 | 154 | 24.006 | CSAH | 24 | Boreal RdNW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 71 | 155 | 24.008 | CSAH | 24 | Red Maple Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 100 | 156 | 32.025 | CSAH | 32 | Obrien Creek Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |

Rural Intersection Prioritization for Beltrami County

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Project Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Context <br> Zone | Entering ADT <br> OR <br> Cross <br> Product | Leg Configuration | Alignment Skew [degrees] | Adjacent RR Crossing | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Development | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Previous } \\ & \text { STOP (>5 Miles) } \end{aligned}$ | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major Approach Turn Lane Configuration | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103 | 157 | 34.001 | CSAH | 34 | Shiloh Dr NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 104 | 158 | 34.003 | CSAH | 34 | Corral Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 109 | 159 | 36.008 | CSAH | 36 | Lakin Ave | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 113 | 160 | 36.014 | CSAH | 36 | Flowing Well Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 115 | 161 | 37.008 | CSAH | 37 | Buckeye Rd NE |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 122 | 162 | 4.007 | CSAH | 4 | Wolf Lake Dr SE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 124 | 163 | 41.002 | CSAH | 41 | Three Mile Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 129 | 164 | 44.001 | CSAH | 44 | Dylan Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 130 | 165 | 44.002 | CSAH | 44 | Flintlock Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 132 | 166 | 44.010 | CSAH | 44 | Dicks Parkway Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 138 | 167 | 47.014 | CSAH | 47 | One Mile Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 140 | 168 | 5.003 | CSAH | 5 | Fredenburg Rd SW |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 162 | 169 | 8.020 | CSAH | 8 | Van Buren Ave SE |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 170 | 170 | 9.027 | CSAH | 9 | Great Divide Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 175 | 171 | 103.001 | CR | 103 | MNTH 1 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 184 | 172 | 304.004 | CR | 304 | USTH 71 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 191 | 173 | 406.005 | CR | 406 | Sunnyside Rd NE |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 196 | 174 | 509.002 | CR | 509 | Preservation Rd NW |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 200 | 175 | 602.004 | CR | 602 | MNTH 89 |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 204 | 176 | 702.002 | CR | 702 | Flintlock Rd NW |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 205 | 177 | 702.006 | CR | 702 | Carmel Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 207 | 178 | 705.001 | CR | 705 | MNTH 89 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 209 | 179 | 707.003 | CR | 707 | MNTH 89 |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 210 | 180 | 709.001 | CR | 709 | Thorhult Rd NW |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 131 | 181 | 44.008 | CSAH | 44 | Ose Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 164 | 182 | 8.025 | CSAH | 8 | Wolf Lake Dr SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 183 | 183 | 304.001 | CR | 304 | MNTH 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | 184 | 3.001 | CSAH | 3 | Pinewood Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 211 | 185 | 710.010 | CR | 710 | MNTH 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 186 | 12.025 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 187 | 12.026 | CSAH | 12 | Swenson Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 188 | 22.055 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 189 | 23.026 | CSAH | 23 | Quiring Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | 190 | 23.029 | CSAH | 23 | Pioneer Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | 191 | 23.031 | CSAH | 23 | Battle Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | 192 | 24.007 | CSAH | 24 | Buzzle Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | 193 | 26.002 | CSAH | 26 | Silver Maple Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | 194 | 3.002 | CSAH | 3 | CR 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | 195 | 3.003 | CSAH | 3 | CR 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | 196 | 3.007 | CSAH | 3 | CR 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 197 | 30.001 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | 198 | 30.009 | CSAH | 30 | Pass Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 199 | 30.025 | CSAH | 30 | Summit Hall Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 200 | 31.011 | CSAH | 31 | Birchwood Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 169 | 201 | 9.024 | CSAH | 9 | Spencer Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 174 | 202 | 100.001 | CR | 100 | MNTH 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | 203 | 201.001 | CR | 201 | Artic Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 186 | 204 | 306.002 | CR | 306 | MNTH 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 187 | 205 | 311.001 | CR | 311 | Lookout Tower Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 192 | 206 | 501.001 | CR | 501 | 350th St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201 | 207 | 700.001 | CR | 700 | MNTH 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202 | 208 | 700.008 | CR | 700 | Carmel Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { List } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Page } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No． | Intersection Description | Context Zone |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leg } \\ \text { Configuration } \end{gathered}$ | Alignment Skew［degrees］ | Adjacent RR Crossing | Adjacent Curve | Adjacent Development | $\begin{gathered} \text { Previous } \\ \text { STOP (>5 Miles) } \end{gathered}$ | Major Approach Speed Limit | Major Approach Turn Lane Configuration | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203 | 209 | 700.010 | CR | 700 | Jelle Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 206 | 210 | 703.001 | CR | 703 | MNTH 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 208 | 211 | 707.001 | CR | 707 | Thorhult Rd NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Count of Stars－ | 51 | 65 | 106 | 49 | 5 | 69 | 15 | 75 | 8 | 14 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Stars－ | 24\％ | 31\％ | 50\％ | 23\％ | 2\％ | 33\％ | 7\％ | 36\％ | 4\％ | 7\％ |  |
|  | Stars |  | Count | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ＊＊ᄎᄎᄎ | ＊ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 枕 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 枕 | $\star \star$ | 2 | 1\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 枕 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 0 | 0\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 枕 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 8 | 4\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 界 |  | 11 | 5\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ＊＊ |  | 19 | 9\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\star \star$ |  |  | 34 | 16\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\star \star$ |  |  | 54 | 26\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ＊ |  |  | 52 | 25\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 31 | 15\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 211 | 100\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Urban Segment Prioritizaiton for Beltrami County
CRSP2 ID Example: 1.001: $1=$ Route Number, $001=$ First Segment

| List No. | Project Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Length [Miles] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & \text { [vpd] } \end{aligned}$ | Context Zone | Speed Limit | Lane <br> Width | Edgeline <br> Striping | Parking | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADT- } \\ \mathbf{U} \end{gathered}$ | Access Density | Cross <br> Section <br> and <br> Design | Edge Risk | Shoulder Width | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 1 | 21.001 | CSAH | 21 | Paul Bunyan Dr NW | 24th St NW | 0.07 | 8,240 |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 5 | 2 | 12.001 | CSAH | 12 | 1st St E | Power Dam Rd NE | 1.58 | 5060 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 7 | 3 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | 30h St NW | Anne St NW | 0.50 | 7200 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 11 | 4 | 19.001 | CSAH | 19 | Power Dam Rd NE | Elliot Rd NE | 1.06 | 2050 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 15 | 5 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | Hwy 71 | Bemidji Ave N | 1.49 | 5375 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 18 | 6 | 7.002 | CSAH | 7 | Adams Ave NW | Jefferson Ave NW | 0.96 | 4750 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 19 | 7 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Paul Bunyan Dr SE | Lake Ave SE | 0.83 | 4750 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 22 | 8 | 402.001 | CR | 402 | 1 miles W of Jackson Ave . | Jackson Ave SW | 0.31 | 260 |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 24 | 9 | 404.002 | CR | 404 | ; miles E of Washingtong A | Paul Bunyan Rd SE | 0.63 | 1350 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 25 | 10 | 406.001 | CR | 406 | Lake Ave NE | 0.30 miles E of Lake Ave NE | 0.30 | 810 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star \star$ |
| 2 | 11 | 11.002 | CSAH | 11 | 15th St SW | Division St W | 1.01 | 3400 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 3 | 12 | 11.003 | CSAH | 11 | Divisino St W | 0.20 miles N of Florence Ct NW | 2.01 | 3300 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 4 | 13 | 11.004 | CSAH | 11 | 20 miles N of Florence Ct N | U.S. Rte 2 | 0.54 | 3300 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 6 | 14 | 12.002 | CSAH | 12 | Lake Ave NE | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | 1.67 | 1750 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 8 | 15 | 15.002 | CSAH | 15 | Anne St NW | Grange Rd NW | 5.51 | 4235 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 9 | 16 | 17.001 | CSAH | 17 | 0.09 miles N of 29th St NE | Bemidji Ave N | 0.89 | 630 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 10 | 17 | 17.002 | CSAH | 17 | Annebelle St NE | Bemidji Ave N | 1.35 | 605 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 13 | 18 | 21.002 | CSAH | 21 | 29th St NE | Glidden Rd NE | 3.13 | 5515 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 14 | 19 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | Grant Ave S | Miles Ave SE | 0.13 | 3615 | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 17 | 20 | 6.002 | CSAH | 6 | Middle School Ave NW | Norton Ave NW | 0.87 | 3550 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 20 | 21 | 303.001 | CR | 303 | Shorecrest Rd NE | Bemidji Ave N | 0.36 | 740 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 21 | 22 | 305.001 | CR | 305 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 | 0.70 | 390 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 23 | 23 | 404.001 | CR | 404 | Washington Ave S | 0.38 miles E of Washington Ave S | 0.38 | 1570 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 26 | 24 | 406.002 | CR | 406 | J. 30 miles E of Lake Ave NE | Tyler Ave NE | 2.92 | 165 |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 1 | 25 | 11.001 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | 3.05 | 2555 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 26 | 6.001 | CSAH | 6 | Adams Ave NW | Middle School Ave NW | 0.73 | 3350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Urban Segment Prioritizaiton for Beltrami County



Urban Intersection Prioritization for Beltrami County - VEHICLE RELATED

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Project Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Context <br> Zone | Traffic Control Device | Entering ADT OR Cross Product | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leg } \\ \text { Configuration } \end{gathered}$ | Major Division Type | Alignment Skew [Degrees] | Adjacent Development | Major/Minor Approach Speed Limit | Major Approach Left Turn Lane Phasing | Major Approach Turn Lane Configuration | Total Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 1 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | 678 | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 11 | 2 | 21.001 | CSAH | 21 | Paul Bunyan Dr NW | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | $\star$ | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| 8 | 3 | 15.005 | CSAH | 15 | Anne St NW |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  |  | $\star$ | $\star$ |  | **** |
| 1 | 4 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Paul Bunyan Dr SE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 13 | 5 | 21.007 | CSAH | 21 | Anne St NW | $\star$ |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| 3 | 6 | 8.007 | CSAH | 8 | Grant Ave SE |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 10 | 7 | 17.006 | CSAH | 17 | Bemidji Ave N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 7 | 8 | 15.004 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 4 | 9 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 9 | 10 | 17.005 | CSAH | 17 | Shorecrest Rd NE |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 12 | 11 | 21.004 | CSAH | 21 | 29th St NW |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |
| 6 | 12 | 15.003 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\star$ |  |  | $\star$ |
| 5 | 13 | 15.002 | CSAH | 15 | Irvine Ave NW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  | $\star$ |
| 2 | 14 | 8.003 | CSAH | 8 | Scott Ave SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Count of Star | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  |


| Stars | Count | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 2 | $14 \%$ |
| $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 1 | $7 \%$ |
| $\star \star \star$ | 2 | $14 \%$ |
| $\star \star$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $\star$ | 8 | $57 \%$ |
|  | 1 | $7 \%$ |
|  | Total | 14 |
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Urban Intersection Prioritization for Beltrami County - PEDESTRIAN/BIKE RELATED
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# Appendix E-Regional TZD Coordinator Contact 
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## Contact MN TZD¹

For more information about TZD, or for program-related questions:

Linda Dolan
Program Coordinator
Center for Transportation Studies, U of MN
Phone: 612-625-4533
E-mail: Idolan@umn.edu
Kristine Hernandez
Statewide TZD Coordinator
Phone: 507-286-7601
E-mail: kristine.hernandez@state.mn.us
TZD Program Co-chairs
Brian Sorenson, P.E.
State Traffic Engineer, Office of Traffic
Engineering
Minnesota Department of Transportation
Phone: 651-234-7004
E-mail: brian.sorenson@state.mn.us
Mike Hanson
Director, Office of Traffic Safety
Minnesota Department of Public Safety
Phone: 651-201-7061
E-mail: michael.hanson@state.mn.us
Mark Kinde
Manager, Injury \& Violence Prevention
Section
Minnesota Department of Health
Phone: 651-201-5447
E-mail: mark.kinde@state.mn.us
TZD Regional Coordinators
East Central MN
Tom Nixon
Phone: 218-828-5830
E-mail: thomas.nixon@state.mn.us

## Northeast MN

Holly Kostrzewski
Phone: 218-725-2828
E-mail: holly.kostrzewski@state.mn.us
Northwest MN
Sue Johnson
Phone: 218-766-5943
E-mail: susan.marie.johnson@state.mn.us
Metro MN
Scot Edgeworth
Phone: 651-775-9496
E-mail: scot.edgeworth@state.mn.us
Tara Helm
Phone: 651-201-7067
E-mail: tara.helm@state.mn.us
Southeast MN
Jessica Schleck
Phone: 507-286-7602
E-mail: Jessica.Schleck@state.mn.us
South Central MN
Annette Larson
Phone: 507-720-2101
E-mail: annette.I.larson@state.mn.us
Southwest MN
Melissa Hjelle
Phone: 320-905-2319
E-mail: Melissa.hjelle@state.mn.us
West Central MN
Katy Kressin
Phone: 218-849-0048
E-mail: katy.kressin@state.mn.us

[^2]For media inquiries
Dave Boxum
Minnesota Department of Public Safety
Phone: 651-201-7569
E-mail: dave.boxum@state.mn.us
J.P. Gillach

Minnesota Department of Transportation
Communications Office
Phone: 651-366-4268
E-mail: james.gillach@state.mn.us
For website inquiries
Linda Dolan
Program Coordinator
Center for Transportation Studies, U of MN
Phone: 612-625-4533
E-mail: Idolan@umn.edu

## Appendix F - List of <br> Recommended Projects

This page intentionally left blank

Rural Segment Project List for Beltrami County

| List | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Prage } \\ & \text { Po. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{CRSP}} \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Route } \\ & \text { System } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Route } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \text { [Miles] } \end{aligned}$ | Total Stars | Buffer Between Opposing Lanes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clear Zone } \\ \text { Maintenance } \end{gathered}$ | 6" Wet Reflective in Groove | Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge | Centerine Rumble Strip | Edgeline Rumble Strip | Shoulder Rumble Strip | Enhanced Edgeline | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | 12.004 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | 6.02 | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 8 | 2 | 15.003 | CSAA | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | 7.12 | $\star \star \star \star \star$ * | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$1,067,833 |
| 80 | 3 | ${ }^{8.003}$ | ${ }_{\text {CSSAH }}$ | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County line | 4.97 | $\stackrel{\text { a }}{\star \star \star \star \star}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$24,851 |
| 2 | 5 | ${ }^{12.003}$ | ${ }_{\text {CSAH }}^{\text {CSAH }}$ | 12 14 |  |  | 4.09 5.38 | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project- - Criteria Not Met |
| 14 | 6 | 20.001 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE | Big Bass Rd NE | 2.64 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$132,079 |
| 15 | 7 | 20.002 | CSAH | 20 | Big Bass Rd NE | Parkers Lake Rd NE | 4.51 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project- Criteria Not Met |
| 17 | 8 | 21.003 | CSAH | 21 | Glidden Rd NE | Island View Dr NE | 6.08 | **** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$66,003 |
| 21 | 9 | 22.003 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | 7.05 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$14,096 |
| 23 | 10 | 22.005 | Csah | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long lake Dr NE | 4.45 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 31 | 11 | 24.002 | CSAH | 24 | Centerine Rd NW | Hwy 89 | 5.52 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$11,034 |
| 34 | 12 | 27.001 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 2.00 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 43 | 13 | 30.004 | CSSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | 5.24 | **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 50 | 14 | 33.001 | Csah | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 5.80 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 1 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | \$33,914 |
| 59 | 15 | 39.003 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | 8.24 | **** | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$16,481 |
| 60 | 16 | 39.004 | CSAH | 39 | Beighley Xd NE | Co Rd 47 | 0.77 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 67 | 17 | 47.003 | CSAH | 47 | 0.32 miles Nof Hwy 71 | Hwy 72 | 0.41 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 71 | 18 | 5.003 | CSAH | 5 | Hwy 2 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | 0.64 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 77 | 19 | 59.001 | CSAH | 59 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 | 0.82 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 78 | 20 | 7.001 | CSAH | 7 | Bettrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | 7.10 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | \$41,522 |
| 79 | 21 | 8.002 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE | 6.62 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 81 | 22 | ${ }^{9.001}$ | CSSAH | 9 | U.S. R Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | 5.65 | $\stackrel{*}{* * *}$ | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | \$847,931 |
| 4 | 23 | 12.005 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic HWY NE | 5.09 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 32 | 24 | 25.001 | CSAH | 25 | EGrace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | 3.31 | $\star \star \star *$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project- Criteria Not Met |
| 39 | 25 | 29.003 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE | 2.22 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 46 | 26 | 32.001 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 | 10.57 | $\star$ **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 55 | 27 | 36.003 | Csah | 36 | Clark Ave S | 0.97 miles Eof Clark Ave S | 0.97 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 70 | 28 | 5.002 | CSAH | 5 | Russell Dr NW | Hwy 2 | 0.38 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 72 | 29 | 5.004 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | 10.48 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 7 | 30 | 14.002 | Csah | 14 | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | U.S. Rte 2 | 2.08 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 9 | 31 | 15.004 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | 6.61 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | , |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 10 | 32 | 15.005 | CSSAH | 15 | Reed Clover St | $s$ Boundary Rd | 2.29 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 12 | 33 | 19.002 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles Nof Antle Dr NE | 4.18 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$45,387 |
| 13 | 34 | 2.001 | CSAH | 2 | 0.09 miles Eof Monroe Ave SW | U.S. Rte 2 | 3.57 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 20 | 35 | 22.002 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 6.00 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | \$35,111 |
| 25 | 36 | 23.001 | CSAH | 23 | Hwy 71 | Newcomb Ln NE | 4.89 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 35 | 37 | 27.002 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | 4.07 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 40 | 38 | 30.001 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carlave | 5.55 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 74 | 39 | 50.002 | Csah | 50 | Miles Ave SE | U.S. Rte 2 | 2.59 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$25,442 |
| 88 | 40 | 401.001 | CR | 401 | Beltrami Line Rd | Woodward Dr SW | ${ }^{1.81}$ | $\star \star \star$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$3,622 |
| 90 | 41 | 404.003 | CR | 404 | Paul Bunvan Rd SE | Van Burn Ave SE | 2.49 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 5 | 42 | 13.001 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW | 7.74 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 30 | 43 | 24.001 | CSAH | 24 | Beltrami County Line | Debs Rd NW | 2.91 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 36 | 44 | 27.003 | Csah | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 3.93 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 38 | 45 | 29.002 | CSAH | 29 | Hwy 71 | swinburne Ct NW | 1.26 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 44 | 46 | 31.001 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 | Hwy 71 | 2.01 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 45 | 47 | 31.002 | Csah | 31 | Hwy 71 | Nebish Rd NE | 5.62 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 53 | 48 | 36.001 | CSAH | 36 | hwy 1 | Sheviin Ave SW | 8.56 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previossly Completed Project |
| 56 | 49 | 36.004 | CSAH | 36 | 0.97 miles E of Clark Aves | 0 | 0.49 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 61 | 50 | 4.001 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles Eof Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | 3.31 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 62 | 51 | ${ }^{43.001}$ | ${ }_{\text {cSSAH }}$ | ${ }_{4}^{43}$ | Hww 71 Aur d | 0.57 miles SW of Main St | 0.90 | $\star \star \star$ |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 73 | 52 | ${ }_{5}^{5.005}$ | CSSAH | 5 | $\frac{\text { Aure Rd NW }}{0.05 \text { miles of Main Ave W }}$ | Lumberijack R d NW | 6.09 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project- Criteria Not Met |
| 82 | 54 | 9.002 | CSAH | 5 | 0.05 meange Rd NW | Great Divive Rd NW | ${ }_{6} 6.93$ | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project-Citeria Not Met |
| 87 | 55 | 305.002 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 | Island View Dr NE | 2.97 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 89 | 56 | 403.001 | CR | 403 | 0.24 miles N of Belmtrami Line Rd | 30th St SE | 1.26 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 91 | 57 | 407.001 | CR | 407 | 0.21 miles Nof Betrami Line Rd | Roosevelt Rd SE | 2.81 | *** | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 18 | 58 | 21.004 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr Ne | Hwy 71 | 0.74 | ** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 22 | 59 | 22.004 | CSAH | 22 | 0.10 miles N of Us-71 Old | Us-71 Old | 0.10 | ** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 37 | 60 | 29.001 | CSAH | 29 | 3rd Ave N | Hwy 71 | 0.15 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 41 | 61 | 30.002 | CSAA | 30 | Carlave | Hwy 71 | 0.17 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 54 | 62 | 36.002 | CSAH | 36 | Sheviin Ave SW | Clark Ave S | 0.44 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 64 | 63 | 46.001 | CSAH | 46 | Jackson Ave SW | Hwy 71 | 1.50 | $\star \star$ | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 65 | 64 | 47.001 | CSAH | 47 | Hwy 71 | Hwy 71 | 0.76 | $\star \star$ | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| ${ }_{83}^{66}$ | ${ }_{6}^{65}$ | 47.002 90.001 | ${ }_{\text {CSAH }}^{\text {CSAH }}$ | 47 90 | Hwy 71 U.S. Ret 2 |  | 0.32 0.16 | $\stackrel{\text { * }}{\star}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met No Project-Citeria NotMet |
| 93 | 67 | 515.001 | ${ }_{\text {cR }}$ | 515 | U.S. Rte 2 | Steves H de | 1.44 <br> 1.4 | $\star \star$ | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Popeect-Critera - Criteria Not Met |
| 1 | 68 | 1.001 | CSAH | , | 0.75 miles of the Bettram County Line | Betrram County Line | 5.75 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 11 | 69 | 16.001 | CSAH | 16 | Centerine Rd NW | Wilton Hill Rd NW | 5.50 | ** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 16 | 70 | 20.003 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE | 11.43 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Paget } \\ & \text { Po. } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {CRSP } 2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Route } \\ \text { System } \end{gathered}$ | Route <br> No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \text { [Miles] } \end{aligned}$ | Total Stars | Buffer Between Opposing Lanes | Clear Zone Maintenance | 6" Wet Reflective in Groove | Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge | Centerine Rumble Strip | Edgeline Rumble Strip | Shoulder Rumble Strip | Enhanced Edgeline | cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 71 | 22.006 | CSAH | 22 | 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE | 2.40 miles W of Co Rd 39 | 6.84 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project- Previously Completed Project |
| 26 | 72 | 23.002 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE | 6.95 | ** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 27 | 73 | 23.003 | CSAH | 23 | Nebish Rd NE | Hwy 1 | 7.75 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 28 | 74 | 23.005 | Csah | 23 | Cormant Rd NE | Battle River Rd NE | 3.48 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 33 | 75 | 26.001 | CSAH | 26 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NW | 5.99 | ** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 47 | 76 | 32.002 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Invine Ave NE | 8.76 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 48 | 77 | 32.003 | CSAH | 32 | Irvine Ave NE | Everts Rd NE | 5.86 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 49 | 78 | 32.004 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE | Hwy 72 | 8.07 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 51 | 79 | 34.001 | CsaH | 34 | Pioneer Rd NE | Corral Rd NE | 6.02 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project- Previously Completed Project |
| 52 | 80 | 35.001 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE | 1.93 | ** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 57 | 81 | 39.001 | CSAH | 39 | 0.09 miles Nor the Beltrami County Line | Power Dam Rd NE | 5.08 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 58 | 82 | 39.002 | CSAH | 39 | Power Dam Rd NE | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | 9.28 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 68 | 83 | 48.001 | CSAH | 48 | 0.51 miles W of Sportsmen Rd SW | Fern Lake Rd SW | 1.51 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | No Project-C Criteria Not Met |
| 69 | 84 | 5.001 | CSAH | 5 | Beltrami County Line | Russell Dr NW | 6.90 | ** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 75 | 85 | 54.001 | CSAA | 54 | 0.18 miles SW of Forest tt 2171 Rd | Beltrami County Line | 1.85 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Previously Completed Project |
| 92 | 86 | 407.002 | CR | 407 | Roosevelt Ra SE | Power Dam Rd NE | 2.03 | ** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 42 | 87 | 30.003 | CSAH | 30 | Hwy 71 | 0.53 miles Eof 4th St | 1.10 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Prject - Criteria Not Met |
| 19 | 88 | 22.001 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | 8.41 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 29 | 89 | 23.007 | CSAH | 23 | Bushy Lane Rd NE | Hwy 72 | 8.41 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 63 | 90 | 43.002 | Csah | 43 | 0.57 miles SW of Main 5 t | Hwy 71 | 1.00 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 84 85 85 | 91 | 92.001 | Csah | 92 | Summit Ave | Brandl D NW | 0.31 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 85 <br> 86 | ${ }_{93}^{92}$ | ${ }^{93.001} 9$ | CSAH | ${ }_{94}^{93}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Main } S t W}$ | Clark Ave N | 0.22 0 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
|  |  |  |  |  | kellier kasw | ClarkaveN | 374.47 | Total Projects - | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{1}$ |  | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{7}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{1}$ | 4 |  |

Rural Curve Project List for Beltrami County

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Project } \\ \text { Page } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Route } \\ \text { System } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Route } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Total Stars | CZ Maintenance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surface } \\ \text { Treatment } \end{gathered}$ | Single T | Lighting | Curve Warning Signs | Chevrons/ Arrow Board | Delineators | Project Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mn1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | n5 | Column7 | Column 8 | Column9 | Column10 | Column11 | Column12 | Column13 | Column14 | Column15 | Column16 | Column17 |
| 65 | 1 | 15.021 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 59 | 2 | 15.015 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$100,000 |
| 151 | 3 | 24.014 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 14 | 4 | 12.007 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 64 | 5 | 15.020 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 148 | 6 | 24.011 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$100,000 |
| 247 | 7 | 39.012 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | ****** | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 258 | 8 | 4.002 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 285 | 9 | 5.023 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | ****** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 187 | 23 | 30.008 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 70 | 12 | 19.004 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 190 | 13 | 30.011 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 311 | 14 | 7.005 | CSAH | 7 | Betrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | County Nominated | County Not to Pursue | \$9,960 |
| 224 | 15 | 33.003 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$3,960 |
| 310 | 16 | 7.004 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 215 | 19 | 32.019 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 | Irvine Ave NE | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 309 | 20 | 7.003 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 43 | 10 | 14.002 | CSAH | 14 | Becida Rd SW | 0.33 miles N of Juneberry Rd NW | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 268 | 11 | 5.004 | CSAH | 5 | Russell Dr NW | Hwy 2 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 13 | 24 | 12.006 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 60 | 25 | 15.016 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |  | Project Not Feasible | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project Pursued |
| 72 | 26 | 19.006 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ | Project Not Feasible | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project Pursued |
| 73 | 27 | 19.007 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 74 | 28 | 19.008 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE | ***** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$100,000 |
| 94 | 29 | 21.003 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr NE | Hwy 71 | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 100 | 30 | 22.006 | CSAH | 22 | Bettrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 | ****** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 130 | 31 | 23.001 | CSAH | 23 | Hwy 71 | Newcomb Ln NE | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 134 | 32 | 23.005 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 179 | 33 | 29.008 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 191 | 34 | 30.012 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 192 | 35 | 30.013 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 193 | 36 | 30.014 | CSAH | 30 | 0.53 miles E of 4th St E | Berg Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 232 | 37 | 35.002 | CSAH | 35 | Hwy 71 | Blackduck Lake Rd NE | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 249 | 38 | 39.014 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE | Beighley Rd NE | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 269 | 39 | 5.005 | CSAH | 5 | Hwy 2 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 271 | 40 | 5.007 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 283 | 41 | 5.021 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | ***** | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 286 | 42 | 5.024 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | ***** | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 287 | 43 | 5.025 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 288 | 44 | 5.026 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 289 | 45 | 5.027 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW | Lumberjack Rd NW | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 314 | 46 | 7.008 | CSAH | 7 | Bettrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 337 | 47 | 9.009 | CSAH | 9 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 108 | 80 | 22.014 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 120 | 81 | 22.026 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 183 | 82 | 30.004 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 188 | 83 | 30.009 | CSAH | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 189 | 84 | 30.010 | CSAA | 30 | Hines Rd NE | Carl Ave | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 257 | 85 | 4.001 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE | Forest Rd | **** | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 260 | 86 | 4.004 | CSAH | 4 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE | **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 315 | 56 | 7.009 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |

CSSP ID Example: 1.001: 1= Route Number, 001 = First Curve

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Page } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | 57 | 19.009 | CSAH | 19 | Elliot Rd NE | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE |
| 313 | 58 | 7.007 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |
| 328 | 59 | 8.011 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |
| 319 | 60 | 8.002 | CSAH | 8 | Lake Ave SE | Swenson Rd SE |
| 29 | 62 | 12.022 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 61 | 63 | 15.017 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |
| 132 | 64 | 23.003 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb Ln NE | Nebish Rd NE |
| 31 | 66 | 13.002 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |
| 91 | 67 | 20.016 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 95 | 68 | 22.001 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |
| 230 | 69 | 33.009 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |
| 312 | 70 | 7.006 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW | Adams Ave NW |
| 104 | 72 | 22.010 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old |
| 326 | 73 | 8.009 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE | Beltrami County Line |
| 110 | 21 | 22.016 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old |
| 301 | 22 | 57.001 | CSAH | 57 | Bemidji Rd NE | 0.07 miles E of Raspberry Ct NE |
| 63 | 75 | 15.019 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |
| 177 | 76 | 29.006 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW | Everts Rd NE |
| 111 | 48 | 22.017 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old |
| 199 | 49 | 32.003 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line | Hwy 89 |
| 225 | 50 | 33.004 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |
| 267 | 51 | 5.003 | CSAH | 5 | Russell Dr NW | Hwy 2 |
| 270 | 52 | 5.006 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW | Aure Rd NW |
| 351 | 53 | 402.002 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW |
| 352 | 54 | 402.003 | CR | 402 | 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW | Jackson Ave SW |
| 15 | 87 | 12.008 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |
| 16 | 88 | 12.009 | CSAH | 12 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Mission Rd NE |
| 23 | 89 | 12.016 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 24 | 90 | 12.017 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 25 | 91 | 12.018 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 26 | 92 | 12.019 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 27 | 93 | 12.020 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 28 | 94 | 12.021 | CSAH | 12 | Mission Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 30 | 95 | 13.001 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |
| 36 | 96 | 13.007 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |
| 37 | 97 | 13.008 | CSAH | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | 10 Mile Dr NW |
| 62 | 98 | 15.018 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | Markus Rd NE |
| 76 | 99 | 2.001 | CSAH | 2 | 0.09 miles E of Monroe Ave SW | U.S. Rte 2 |
| 87 | 100 | 20.012 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 88 | 101 | 20.013 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 92 | 102 | 20.017 | CSAH | 20 | Parkers Lake Rd NE | Scenic Hwy NE |
| 96 | 103 | 22.002 | CSAH | 22 | Bettrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |
| 101 | 104 | 22.007 | CSAH | 22 | Beltrami Co Rd 3 | Hwy 89 |
| 106 | 105 | 22.012 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old |
| 107 | 106 | 22.013 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW | 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old |
| 122 | 107 | 22.028 | CSAH | 22 | Hwy 71 | Long Lake Dr NE |
| 131 | 108 | 23.002 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb LI NE | Nebish Rd NE |
| 133 | 109 | 23.004 | CSAH | 23 | Newcomb LI NE | Nebish Rd NE |
| 145 | 110 | 24.008 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |
| 150 | 111 | 24.013 | CSAH | 24 | Centerline Rd NW | Hwy 89 |
| 152 | 112 | 25.001 | CSAH | 25 | E Grace Lake Rd Se | Roosevelt Rd SE |
| 164 | 113 | 27.005 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE | Power Dam Rd NE |


| Total Stars | cz Maintenance | Surface Treatment | Single T | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ***** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star \star$ | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star \star$ | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star \star$ | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| *ᄎᄎᄎ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Lighting | Curve Warning Signs | Cheurons/ Arrow Board | Delineators |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| - | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | County Completed | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed |  | 0 |  |
| 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | N |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Project Cost
No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project

No Project - Criteria Not Met
No Project - Previously Completed Project
No Project - Previously Completed Proiect No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project-previously Completed Proet No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project Previouly Camper Project No Project Previously Compled Project No Project-Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met
Project - Previously Completed Project $\$ 100,000$ No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project
103,960

No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project

$$
\$ 100,000
$$

$$
\$ 3,960
$$

No Project - Criteria Not Met
No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project
No Project - Previously Comleted Project No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project-Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project
No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met
No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met
No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project-Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Preveviously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Criteria Not Met

CRSP2 ID Example: 1.001: 1= Route Number, 001 = First Curve

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Page } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Route System | $\begin{gathered} \text { Route } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Segment Start Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 165 | 114 | 27.006 | CSAH | 27 | Roosevelt Rd SE |
| 167 | 115 | 27.008 | CSAH | 27 | Power Dam Rd NE |
| 169 | 116 | 27.010 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE |
| 172 | 117 | 27.013 | CSAH | 27 | Birchmont Beach Rd NE |
| 176 | 118 | 29.005 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW |
| 178 | 119 | 29.007 | CSAH | 29 | Swinburne Ct NW |
| 196 | 120 | 31.006 | CSAH | 31 | Hwy 71 |
| 200 | 121 | 32.004 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line |
| 207 | 122 | 32.011 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line |
| 210 | 123 | 32.014 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line |
| 213 | 124 | 32.017 | CSAH | 32 | Beltrami County Line |
| 218 | 125 | 32.022 | CSAH | 32 | Hwy 89 |
| 221 | 126 | 32.025 | CSAH | 32 | Everts Rd NE |
| 227 | 127 | 33.006 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE |
| 229 | 128 | 33.008 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE |
| 235 | 129 | 36.001 | CSAH | 36 | hwy 1 |
| 250 | 130 | 39.015 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |
| 252 | 131 | 39.017 | CSAH | 39 | Turtle River Lake Rd NE |
| 256 | 132 | 39.021 | CSAH | 39 | Beighley Rd NE |
| 259 | 133 | 4.003 | CSAH | 4 | 0.25 miles E of Sunnyside Rd SE |
| 263 | 134 | 43.003 | CSAH | 43 | Hwy 71 |
| 278 | 135 | 5.014 | CSAH | 5 | Old Jefferson Dr NW |
| 284 | 136 | 5.022 | CSAH | 5 | Aure Rd NW |
| 302 | 137 | 58.001 | CSAH | 58 | Resv Hwy 18 |
| 307 | 138 | 7.001 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW |
| 324 | 139 | 8.007 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE |
| 325 | 140 | 8.008 | CSAH | 8 | Swenson Rd SE |
| 341 | 141 | 305.004 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 |
| 343 | 142 | 305.006 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 |
| 354 | 143 | 515.001 | CR | 515 | U.S. Rte 2 |
| 317 | 61 | 7.011 | CSAH | 7 | Beltrami Line Rd SW |
| 7 | 65 | 11.006 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S |
| 77 | 17 | 20.001 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE |
| 93 | 18 | 21.002 | CSAH | 21 | 29th St NE |
| 54 | 74 | 15.010 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW |
| 3 | 77 | 11.002 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S |
| 226 | 78 | 33.005 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE |
| 9 | 55 | 11.008 | CSAH | 11 | 0.20 miles N of florence Ct NW |
| 5 | 144 | 11.004 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S |
| 57 | 145 | 15.013 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW |
| 78 | 146 | 20.002 | CSAH | 20 | Bemidji Rd NE |
| 115 | 147 | 22.021 | CSAH | 22 | Irvine Ave NW |
| 127 | 148 | 22.044 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE |
| 129 | 149 | 22.046 | CSAH | 22 | Long Lake Dr NE |
| 223 | 150 | 33.002 | CSAH | 33 | Roosevelt Rd SE |
| 329 | 151 | 9.001 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 |
| 342 | 152 | 305.005 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 |
| 344 | 153 | 305.007 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 |
| 345 | 154 | 305.008 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 |
| 346 | 155 | 305.009 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 |
| 348 | 156 | 305.012 | CR | 305 | Hwy 71 |


| Segment End Description |
| :---: |
| Power Dam Rd NE |
| Birchmont Beach Rd NE |
| Turtle River Lake Rd NE |
| Turtle River Lake Rd NE |
| Everts Rd NE |
| Everts Rd NE |
| Nebish Rd NE |
| Hwy 89 |
| Hwy 89 |
| Hwy 89 |
| Hwy 89 |
| Irvine Ave NE |
| Hwy 72 |
| Power Dam Rd NE |
| Power Dam Rd NE |
| Shevlin Ave SW |
| Beighley Rd NE |
| Beighley Rd NE |
| Co Rd 47 |
| Forest Rd |
| 0.57 miles SW of Main St |
| Aure Rd NW |
| Lumberjack Rd NW |
| Pioneer Rd NE |
| Adams Ave NW |
| Beltrami County Line |
| Beltrami County Line |
| Island View Dr NE |
| Island View Dr NE |
| Hwy 89 |
| Adams Ave NW |
| 15th St SW |
| Big Bass Rd NE |
| Glidden Rd NE |
| Great Divide Rd NW |
| 15th St SW |
| Power Dam Rd NE |
| U.S. Rte 2 |
| 15th St SW |
| Great Divide Rd NW |
| Big Bass Rd NE |
| 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old |
| 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE |
| 3.19 miles E of Long Lake Dr NE |
| Power Dam Rd NE |
| Grange Rd NW |
| Island View Dr NE |
| Island View Dr NE |
| Island View Dr NE |
| Island View Dr NE |
| Island View Dr NE |
| U.S. Rte 2 |


| intenance | Surface Treatment | Single T | Lighting | Curve Warning Signs | Cheurons/ Arrow Board | Delineators |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 |

Project Cost
No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met

No Project - Previously Completed Project | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| :--- |
| No Project - Previously Completed Project | No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project

No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met
oject - Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project Previously Completed Project No Projet - Previously Completed Project No Project - Preveviously Completed Project No Project - Preveviously Completed Project No Proiet-Citera Nod Project - Previously Completed Project No Project-Criteria Not Met No Projet Previousy Compled Poject No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project No Project - Previously Completed Project ject - Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project joct-Previously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project do Priously Completed Project No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Criteria Not Met No Project - Previously Completed Project

## Rural Curve Project List for Beltrami County

| List <br> No. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Project } \\ \text { Page } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Route } \\ & \text { System } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Route } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Total Stars | CZ Maintenance | Surface Treatment | Single T | Lighting | Curve Warning Signs | Chevrons/ Arrow Board | Delineators | Project Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 157 | 11.003 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 6 | 158 | 11.005 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 49 | 159 | 15.002 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 50 | 160 | 15.003 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 294 | 161 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | Hwy 71 | Bemidji Ave N | **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 332 | 162 | 9.004 | CSAH | 9 | U.S. Rte 2 | Grange Rd NW | **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 53 | 79 | 15.006 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
| 52 | 163 | 15.005 | CSAH | 15 | Grange Rd NW | Great Divide Rd NW | **** | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previously Completed Project |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | al Projects | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 5 | 0 | \$625,800 |


| Rural Intersection Project List for Beltrami County - VEHICLE RELATED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| List | Project Page | CRSP 210 | Route | Route | Intersection | Total Stars | Roundabout | RCI | Single "T" | All Approach RICWS | Left/Right Turn Lane | LED Stop | Street Lights | Upgrade Signs | All-Way | cost |
| $\frac{142}{}$ | 1 | 5.007 | CSsat | ${ }^{\text {No. }}$ | ${ }_{\text {duy }}$ 2 E | ******** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project- Previous Comoleted Project |
| 34 | 2 | 2.007 | ${ }_{\text {CSAAH }}$ | 2 | USTH2 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | County Nominated | 0 |  | County Completed |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$750,000 |
| 152 | 3 | 52.001 | CSAA | 52 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$750,000 |
| 3 | 4 | 11.030 | CSAH | 11 | USTH2 | $\star \star * * * *$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project- Previous Completed Project |
| 167 | 5 | 9.001 | CSAH | , | USTH2 | $\star \star \star \star * *$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | \$1,500 |
| 45 | 6 | 21.037 | CSAH | 21 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star \star *$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$17,500 |
| 193 | 7 | 501.002 | CR | 501 | USTH2 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 137 | 8 | 47.009 | CSAH | 47 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star \star *$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Project Cost High for Condition | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Projects Pursued |
| 87 | 9 | 30.014 | CSAH | 30 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | No Projects Pursued |
| 44 | 10 | 21.035 | CSAH | 21 | Island View Dr NE | $\star \star \star \star \star *$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | \$269,000 |
| 154 | 11 | 59.003 | CSAH | 59 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | County Nominated | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | \$750,000 |
| 195 | 12 | 507.001 | CR | 507 | USTH2 | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | County Completed | 0 | \$10,000 |
| 49 | 13 | 22.044 | CSAH | 22 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$150,000 |
| 151 | 14 | 50.008 | CSAH | 50 | 23 crd St SE | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | \$250,000 |
| 194 | 15 | 503.005 | CR | 503 | USTH 2 | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed |  | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 18 | 16 | 14.019 | CSAH | 14 | USTH 2 | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 72 | 17 | 24.014 | CSAH | 24 | MNTH 89 | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | County Completed | County Completed | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 32 | 18 | 2.003 | CSAH | 2 | Washington Ave SW | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 21 | 19 | 15.018 | CSAH | 15 | 4642 | ***** | County Nominated | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | \$1,000,000 |
| 88 | 20 | 30.018 | CSAH | 30 | Main Sts | $\star \star \star \star \star$ | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$1,500 |
| 38 | 21 | 20.040 | CSAH | 20 | Scenic Hwy NE | ***** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 135 | 22 | 46.005 | CSAH | 46 | Washington Ave SW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 1 | 23 | 11.001 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave SW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 161 | 24 | 7.019 | CSAH | 7 | Jefferson Ave SW | **** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | County Completed | No Project- Previous Completed Project |
| 79 | 25 | 29.003 | CSAH | 29 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | \$150,000 |
| 76 | 26 | 26.003 | CSAH | 26 | Puposky Rd NW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 7 | 27 | 12.009 | CSAH | 12 | Lake Ave NE | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | \$1,500 |
| 26 | 28 | 15.056 | CSAH | 15 | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | County Completed | County Completed | 0 | \$257,500 |
| 4 | 29 | 12.001 | CSAH | 12 | 1stst E | $\star \star \star \star$ |  | 0 | 0 |  | County Not to Pursue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Projects Pursued |
| 197 | 30 | 515.001 | CR | 515 | USTH2 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 93 | 31 | 31.005 | CSAH | 31 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 128 | 32 | 43.004 | CSSAH | 43 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project- Criteria Not Met |
| 23 | 33 | 15.043 | CSAH | 15 | Island View D P NW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | County Completed | County Completed | 0 | \$257,500 |
| 41 | 34 | 21.017 | CSAH | 21 | Glidden Rd NE | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | - | County Completed | 0 | \$257,500 |
| 54 | 35 | 23.005 | CSAH | 23 | CR-203 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | , | 0 | No Project- Criteria Not Met |
| 89 | 36 | 30.019 | CSAH | 30 | 1stst NE | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$1,500 |
| 111 | 37 | 36.010 | CSSAH | 36 | Clark Ave | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Projects Pursued |
| 126 | 38 | ${ }^{43.001}$ | CSAH | 43 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 143 | 39 | 5.008 | CSAH | 5 | 2 nd St NW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project- Previous Completed Project |
| 181 | 40 | 301.006 | CR | 301 | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | \$11,500 |
| 97 | 41 | 32.015 | CSAH | 32 | MNTH 89 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | \$150,000 |
| 19 | 42 | 14.021 | CSAH | 14 | USTH2 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 185 | 43 | 305.004 | CR | 305 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project- - Previous Completed Project |
| 157 | 44 | 7.011 | CSAH | 7 | CSAH 14 | *** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | County Completed | 0 | No Project- Previous Completed Project |
| 20 | 45 | 15.017 | CSAH | 15 | 3316 | $\star \star \star$ | County Nominated | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$1,000,000 |
| 156 | 46 | 6.003 | CSAH | 6 | Norton Ave | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | No Projects Pursued |
| 155 | 47 | 6.001 | CSAA | 6 | Adams Ave NW | $\star \star \star$ | County Nominated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | County Completed | 1 | \$1,25,000 |
| 29 | 48 | 15.063 | CSAH | 15 | Lumberack Rd | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | County Completed | 1 | 0 | \$259,000 |
| 6 | 49 | 12.005 | CSAH | 12 | Mill St NE | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \$1,500 |
| 102 | 50 | 32.030 | CSAH | 32 | MNTH 72 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | \$11,500 |
| 5 | 51 | 12.004 | CSSAH | 12 | 5 th St NE | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | \$250,000 |
| 2 | 52 | 11.005 | CSAH | 11 | Monroe Ave SW | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | \$269,000 |
| 47 | 53 | 22.014 | CSAH | 22 | MNTH 89 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | \$260,000 |
| 50 | 54 | 22.045 | CSSAH | 22 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | County Not to Pursue |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Projects Pursued |
| 136 | 55 | 47.001 | CSAH | 47 | USTH 71 | $\star \star \star$ |  |  | County Completed | 0 | County Completed |  | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project-Previous Completed Project |
| 14 | 56 | 13.001 | CSAA | 13 | Great Divide Rd NW | $\star \star \star$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | County Completed | 0 | \$250,000 |
| 15 | 57 | 13.02 | CSAA | 13 | Polaris Rd NW | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 24 | 58 | 15.044 | CSAA | 15 | Silver Lake Rd NW | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$250,000 |
| 25 43 | 59 60 | ${ }^{15.055}$ | ${ }_{\text {CSSAH }}$ | ${ }_{2}^{15}$ |  | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Projects Pursued |
| 43 53 | 60 61 | ${ }_{2}^{21.028}$ | CSSAH | 21 | Widwood Rd NE | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{0}{\text { County Completed }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project-Criteria Not Met |
| 64 | 62 | 23.035 | CSAA | 23 | Battle River Rd NE | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 74 | 63 | 26.001 | CSAH | 26 | MNTH 89 | *** | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | County Not to Pursue | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | No Projects Pursued |
| 77 | 64 | 27.004 | CSAH | 27 | Lamon Rd NE | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | \$11,500 |
| 118 | 65 | 39.029 | CSAH | 39 | Hines Rd NE | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |  | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 139 | 66 | 47.015 | CSAH | 47 | MNTH 72 | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | No Project - Previous Completed Project |
| 145 | 67 | 5.014 | CSAH | 5 | Grange Rd NW | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | \$261,500 |
| 148 150 | 68 69 | 5.028 50.006 | CSSAH | 5 | Teddy Rd NW Grant Ave SE | $\star \star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met <br> $\$ 250,000$ |



Urban Segment Project List for Beltrami County

| List <br> No. | Project <br> Page <br> No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRSP } 2 \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Route System | Route No. | Segment Start Description | Segment End Description | Length [miles] | Total Stars | Divided Roadway | Access Management | Road Diet Convert to 3-Lane | Road Diet Convert to 5-Lane | Dynamic Speed Sign | Sidewalk | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 1 | 21.001 | CSAH | 21 | Paul Bunyan Dr NW | 24th St NW | 0.07 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$3,373 |
| 5 | 2 | 12.001 | CSAH | 12 | 1st St E | Power Dam Rd NE | 1.58 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | Project Not Feasible | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$126,077 |
| 7 | 3 | 15.001 | CSAH | 15 | 30h St NW | Anne St NW | 0.50 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | \$64,559 |
| 11 | 4 | 19.001 | CSAH | 19 | Power Dam Rd NE | Elliot Rd NE | 1.06 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 15 | 5 | 52.001 | CSAH | 52 | Hwy 71 | Bemidji Ave N | 1.49 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$191,308 |
| 18 | 6 | 7.002 | CSAH | 7 | Adams Ave NW | Jefferson Ave NW | 0.96 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | County Completed | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$76,458 |
| 19 | 7 | 8.001 | CSAH | 8 | Paul Bunyan Dr SE | Lake Ave SE | 0.83 | * * | 0 | 0 | Project Not Feasible | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project Pursued |
| 22 | 8 | 402.001 | CR | 402 | miles W of Jackson Ave | Jackson Ave SW | 0.31 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 24 | 9 | 404.002 | CR | 404 | niles E of Washingtong | Paul Bunyan Rd SE | 0.63 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 25 | 10 | 406.001 | CR | 406 | Lake Ave NE 0 | 0.30 miles E of Lake Ave NE | 0.30 | $\star \star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 2 | 11 | 11.002 | CSAH | 11 | 15th St SW | Division St W | 1.01 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 3 | 12 | 11.003 | CSAH | 11 | Divisino St W 20 | 20 miles N of Florence Ct N | 2.01 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 4 | 13 | 11.004 | CSAH | 11 | ) miles N of Florence Ct | U.S. Rte 2 | 0.54 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 6 | 14 | 12.002 | CSAH | 12 | Lake Ave NE 1. | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE | 1.67 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 8 | 15 | 15.002 | CSAH | 15 | Anne St NW | Grange Rd NW | 5.51 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 9 | 16 | 17.001 | CSAH | 17 | . 09 miles N of 29th St N | Bemidji Ave N | 0.89 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 10 | 17 | 17.002 | CSAH | 17 | Annebelle St NE | Bemidji Ave N | 1.35 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 13 | 18 | 21.002 | CSAH | 21 | 29th St NE | Glidden Rd NE | 3.13 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 14 | 19 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | Grant Ave S | Miles Ave SE | 0.13 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 17 | 20 | 6.002 | CSAH | 6 | Middle School Ave NW | Norton Ave NW | 0.87 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 20 | 21 | 303.001 | CR | 303 | Shorecrest Rd NE | Bemidji Ave N | 0.36 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 21 | 22 | 305.001 | CR | 305 | Bemidji Rd NE | Hwy 71 | 0.70 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 23 | 23 | 404.001 | CR | 404 | Washington Ave S | 8 miles E of Washington Av | 0.38 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 26 | 24 | 406.002 | CR | 406 | 30 miles E of Lake Ave I | Tyler Ave NE | 2.92 | $\star$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 1 | 25 | 11.001 | CSAH | 11 | Washington Ave S | 15th St SW | 3.05 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
| 16 | 26 | 6.001 | CSAH | 6 | Adams Ave NW | Middle School Ave NW | 0.73 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No Project - Criteria Not Met |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32.97 | Total Projects -- | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{\underline{3}}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{4}$ | \$461,774 |

## This page intentionally left blank

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { List } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Project Page No. | CRSP 2 ID | Route System | Route No. | Intersection Description | Star Ranking | Roundabout | Confirmation Lights | Signalized RCI | RCI | Upgrade Signal Hardware | Intersection Lighting | All-Way Stop Conversion | Upgrade Signs \& Markings | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 1 | 50.001 | CSAH | 50 | 678 | ******** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$1,500 |
| 11 | 2 | 21.001 | CSAH | 21 | Paul Bunyan Dr NW | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star *$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$1,500 |
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## Urban Intersection Projects for Beltrami County - PEDESTRIAN/BIKE RELATED
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## Appendix G - Recommended Project Maps
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Beltrami County - Curve Projects


# Appendix H HSIP Submission Forms 

This page intentionally left blank

Rural Segment Project on CSAH 7 from Beltrami Line Rd SW to Adams Ave NW

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Beltrami Line Rd SW |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Adams Ave NW |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Agricultural |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 7 |
| Facility Type: | 2-Lane |
| Segment Length (mile): | 7.10 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 1,350 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 13 |
| Shoulder Type: | Paved |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 5.0 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 26 | 4 | 11 | 2 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 11.4 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq x x \leq 99$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 1,350 | $500 \leq x x \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 1,350 | $x x \geq 1,500$ |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 1.55 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ | $\star$ |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 12.54 | $7 \leq x x \leq 18$ | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2 S or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6" Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 7.10 | $\$ 41,522$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost:
\$41,522

| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Notes -

Date:
4/3/2020

Urban Segment Project on 7 from Adams Ave NW to Jefferson Ave NW

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: <br> Segment End: | Adams Ave NW <br> Jefferson Ave NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| Area Type: | Suburban |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 7 |
| Facility Type: | 2 -Lane |
| Segment Length (mile): | 0.96 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 4,750 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Shoulder Type: | Curb \& Gutter |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 12.0 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

## Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 | $35 \leq x x<45$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 4,750 | $x x \geq 7,500$ |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 35.57 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 20$ | $\star$ |
| Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial, Mixed Use | * |
| Edgeline Striping: | Present | None |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | - |  |
| Parking: | Both Sides | - |  |
|  | 2-Lane | Multi-lane (both Divided |  |
| Cross Section and Design: | Undivided | and Undivided) |  |
| Edge Risk: | 1 | - |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 6.0 | - |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | * $\star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Divided Roadway: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Access Management: | Proactive | $\$ 360,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Diet Convert to 3-Lane: | Proactive | $\$ 48,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Diet Convert to 5-Lane: | Proactive | $\$ 54,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dynamic Speed Sign: | Proactive | $\$ 30,000$ | per segment | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sidewalk: | Proactive | $\$ 80,000$ | per mile | 00 | $\$ 76,458$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 76,458$ |
| Systemic Project |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | SRSP 2 | Segment ID: |

## Roadway Information



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 16 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 99$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 1,925 | $500 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 1,925 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1,500$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 1.61 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 15.49 | $7 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 18$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2S or 3 | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Total Stars |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6 Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 4.97 | $\$ 24,851$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost: \$24,851
Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { 信 }\end{aligned}$

Notes -

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | U.S. Rte 2 |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment End: | Grange Rd NW |  |  |
| Area Type: | Rural |  |  |
| County: | Beltrami |  |  |
| Context Zone: | Agricultural |  |  |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |  |  |
| Segment Route No: | 9 |  |  |
| Facility Type: | 2-Lane |  |  |
| Segment Length (mile): | 5.65 |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 2,150 |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |  |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Paved |  |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 5.5 |  |  |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq x x \leq 99$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 2,150 | $500 \leq x x \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 2,150 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1,500$ | $\star$ |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 0.71 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 15.21 | $7 \leq x x \leq 18$ | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2 S or 3 |  |
| Priority Locatio |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star$ |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 5.65 | $\$ 847,931$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6 Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost: \$847,931
Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark$

Notes -

Date:
4/3/2020

## Urban Segment Project on 12 from 1st St E to Power Dam Rd NE

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | 1st St E |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Segment End: | Power Dam Rd NE |  |
| Area Type: | Suburban |  |
| County: | Beltrami |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential |  |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |  |
| Segment Route No: | 12 |  |
| Facility Type: | 2 -Lane |  |
| Segment Length (mile): | 1.58 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 5,060 |  |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 19 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |

## Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 40 | $35 \leq x x<45$ | $\star$ |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 5,060 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 7,500$ |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 40.61 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 20$ | $\star$ |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Mixed Use |  |
| Edgeline Striping: | Present | None |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | - |  |
| Parking: | Both Sides | 2-Lane | Multi-lane (both Divided |
| Cross Section and Design: | Undivided | and Undivided) |  |
| Edge Risk: | 1 | - |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 6.0 | Total Stars | $\star \star$ |
|  |  |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered


Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| CRSP 2 | Segment ID: | 12.001 |
|  | Date: | $4 / 3 / 2020$ |

## Rural Segment Project on CSAH 12 from 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE to Parkers Lake Rd NE

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | 1.67 miles E of Lake Ave NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Parkers Lake Rd NE |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 12 |
| Facility Type: | 2-Lane |
| Segment Length (mile): | 4.09 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 1,750 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 13 |
| Shoulder Type: | Composite |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 3.0 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 99$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 1,750 | $500 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 1,750 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1,500$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 0.49 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1$ |  |  |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 13.44 | $7 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 18$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2S or 3 | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Total Stars |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | \$150,000 | per mile | 0.00 | \$0 |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | \$50,000 | per mile | 0.00 | \$0 |
| 6" Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | \$5,000 | per mile | 4.09 | \$20,464 |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | \$11,250 | per mile | 0.00 | \$0 |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | \$5,850 | per mile | 4.09 | \$23,943 |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | \$5,850 | per mile | 0.00 | \$0 |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | \$3,600 | per mile | 0.00 | \$0 |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | \$2,000 | per mile | 0.00 | \$0 |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: |  | \$44,407 |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

Project Page \#:

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | 30h St NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Anne St NW |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |
| Facility Type: | 2 -Lane |
| Segment Length (mile): | 0.50 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 7,200 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 13 |
| Shoulder Type: | Composite |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 12.5 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 | $35 \leq x x<45$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 7,200 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 7,500$ |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 33.71 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 20$ | $\star$ |
| Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial, Mixed Use | $\star$ |
| Edgeline Striping: | Present | None |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12.5 | - |  |
| Parking: | None | Multi-lane (both Divided |  |
| Cross Section and Design: | 2-Lane | Undivided | and Undivided) |
| Edge Risk: | 1 | - |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 9.0 | - |  |
|  |  | Total Stars |  |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered


Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 3 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Segment ID: | 15.001 |
| De | $4 / 3 / 2020$ |

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Grange Rd NW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment End: | Great Divide Rd NW |  |
| Area Type: | Rural |  |
| County: | Beltrami |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential |  |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |  |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |  |
| Facility Type: | 2-Lane |  |
| Segment Length (mile): | 7.12 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 2,165 |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 13 | $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{H} \mathrm{y}^{2}+=$ |
| Shoulder Type: | Composite |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 10.0 |  |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 17 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 99$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 2,165 | $500 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 2,165 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1,500$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 1.83 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 14.47 | $7 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 18$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2S or 3 | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Total Stars |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 7.12 | $\$ 1,067,833$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6 Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost: $\$ \mathbf{1 , 0 6 7 , 8 3 3}$
Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark$

Notes -

Rural Segment Project on CSAH 19 from Elliot Rd NE to 0.09 miles $\mathbf{N}$ of Antler Dr NE

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Elliot Rd NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 19 |
| Facility Type: | 2-Lane |
| Segment Length (mile): | 4.18 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 1,190 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 13 |
| Shoulder Type: | Paved |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 22.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 50 | $55 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 99$ |  |  |  |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 1,190 | $500 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 1,190 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1,500$ |  |  |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 1.67 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 10.52 | $7 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 18$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2S or 3 | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Total Stars |  |  |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6 Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 4.18 | $\$ 20,916$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 4.18 | $\$ 24,471$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost:
\$45,387

| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Notes -

Project Page \#:
Segment ID:
19.002

Date:
4/3/2020

## Rural Segment Project on CSAH 20 from Bemidji Rd NE to Big Bass Rd NE

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Bemidji Rd NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Big Bass Rd NE |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 20 |
| Facility Type: | 2 -Lane |
| Segment Length (mile): | 2.64 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 2,745 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 13 |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 7.6 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors


## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 2.64 | $\$ 132,079$ |
| 6" Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost:
\$132,079
Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark$

Notes -

Project Page \#:

## Urban Segment Project on 21 from Paul Bunyan Dr NW to 24th St NW

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Paul Bunyan Dr NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | 24th St NW |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 21 |
| Facility Type: | 2-Lane |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 5.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |

## Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 30 | $35 \leq x x<45$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 8,240 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 7,500$ | $\star$ |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 128.09 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 20$ | $\star$ |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Mixed Use |  |
| Edgeline Striping: | Present | None |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | - |  |
| Parking: | Both Sides | 2-Lane | Multi-lane (both Divided |
| Edge Risk: | Undivided | and Undivided) |  |
| Cross Section and Design: | 1 | - |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 12.0 |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Divided Roadway: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Access Management: | Proactive | $\$ 360,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Road Diet Convert to 3-Lane: | Proactive | $\$ 48,000$ | per mile | 0.07 | $\$ 3,373$ |
| Road Diet Convert to 5-Lane: | Proactive | $\$ 54,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Dynamic Speed Sign: | Proactive | $\$ 30,000$ | per segment | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Sidewalk: | Proactive | $\$ 80,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\underline{\$ 3,373}$ |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Segment ID: | 21.001 |
| CRSP 2 | Date: | $4 / 3 / 2020$ |

Rural Segment Project on CSAH 21 from Glidden Rd NE to Island View Dr NE

## Roadway Information



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 16 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5.8 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 99$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 1,540 | $500 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 1,540 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1,500$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 0.00 | $\mathrm{xx} \geq 1$ |  |  |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 15.62 | $7 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 18$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2S or 3 | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Total Stars |  |  |  | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6 Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 6.08 | $\$ 30,416$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 6.08 | $\$ 35,587$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Estimated Project Cost:
\$66,003

| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Notes -

Project Page \#
Segment ID:
21.003

Date:
4/3/2020

## Rural Segment Project on CSAH 22 from Hwy 89 to Irvine Ave NW

## Roadway Information



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq x x \leq 99$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 1,150 | $500 \leq x x \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 1,150 | $x x \geq 1,500$ |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 0.00 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 15.83 | $7 \leq x x \leq 18$ | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2 S or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |  |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6 Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 6.00 | $\$ 35,111$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost:
\$35,111

| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Notes -

Project Page \#:
Segment ID:
22.002

Date: 4/3/2020

Rural Segment Project on CSAH 22 from Irvine Ave NW to 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old

## Roadway Information



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq x x \leq 99$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 555 | $500 \leq x x \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 555 | $x x \geq 1,500$ |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 2.70 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ | $\star$ |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 17.73 | $7 \leq x x \leq 18$ | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 2C | 2 S or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type |  | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Total Cost

Notes -

Project Page \#: 9
Segment ID: 22.003
Date: $\quad 4 / 3 / 2020$

## Rural Segment Project on CSAH 24 from Centerline Rd NW to Hwy 89

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Centerline Rd NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Hwy 89 |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Natural |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 24 |
| Facility Type: | 2-Lane |
| Segment Length (mile): | 5.52 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 500 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 3.5 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq x x \leq 99$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 500 | $500 \leq x x \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 500 | $x x \geq 1,500$ |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 1.45 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ | $\star$ |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 8.16 | $7 \leq x x \leq 18$ | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2 S or 3 |  |
| Priority Locatio |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star$ |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6 Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 5.52 | $\$ 11,034$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost: $\$ \mathbf{1 1 , 0 3 4}$
Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark$

Notes -

Project Page \#:

Date: 4/3/2020

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Roosevelt Rd SE |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Power Dam Rd NE |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Natural |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 33 |
| Facility Type: | 2-Lane |
| Segment Length (mile): | 5.80 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 1,055 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 4.0 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq x x \leq 99$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 1,055 | $500 \leq x x \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 1,055 | $x x \geq 1,500$ |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 1.55 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ | $\star$ |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 10.00 | $7 \leq x x \leq 18$ | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2 S or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6 Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 5.80 | $\$ 33,914$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost: $\mathbf{\$ 3 3 , 9 1 4}$
Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark$

Notes -

Project Page \#:

Date:
4/3/2020

Rural Segment Project on CSAH 39 from Turtle River Lake Rd NE to Beighley Rd NE

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: Segment End: | Turtle River Lake Rd NE Beighley Rd NE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area Type: | Rural |  |
| County: | Beltrami |  |
| Context Zone: | Natural |  |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |  |
| Segment Route No: | 39 | - |
| Facility Type: | 2-Lane |  |
| Segment Length (mile): | 8.24 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 515 |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 | - 5 |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 3.0 |  |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq x x \leq 99$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 515 | $500 \leq x x \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 515 | $x x \geq 1,500$ |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 1.09 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ | $\star$ |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 9.22 | $7 \leq x x \leq 18$ | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 2C | 2 S or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6" Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 8.24 | $\$ 16,481$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost: $\quad \$ 16,481$
Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark$

Notes -

Project Page \#:

4/3/2020

## Rural Segment Project on CSAH 50 from Miles Ave SE to U.S. Rte 2

## Roadway Information



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq x x \leq 99$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 3,800 | $500 \leq x x \leq 2,500$ |  |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 3,800 | $x x \geq 1,500$ | $\star$ |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 1.49 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ | $\star$ |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 3.34 | $7 \leq x x \leq 18$ |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2S or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6" Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 2.69 | $\$ 15,750$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 2.69 | $\$ 9,692$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost: $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 4 4 2}$
Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark$

Notes -

Project Page \#:

Date:
4/3/2020

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Hwy 71 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Bemidji Ave N |
| Area Type: | Suburban |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 52 |
| Facility Type: | 2 -Lane |
| Segment Length (mile): | 1.49 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 5,375 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Shoulder Type: | Paved |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 12.0 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 30 | $35 \leq x x<45$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 5,375 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 7,500$ |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 30.11 | $x x \geq 20$ | $\star$ |
| Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial, Mixed Use | $\star$ |
| Edgeline Striping: | Present | None |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | - |  |
| Parking: | Both Sides | - |  |
|  | 2-Lane | Multi-lane (both Divided |  |
|  | Undivided | and Undivided) |  |
| Edge Risk: | 1 | - |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 10.0 | - |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | * $\star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Divided Roadway: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Access Management: | Proactive | $\$ 360,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Road Diet Convert to 3-Lane: | Proactive | $\$ 48,000$ | per mile | 1.49 | $\$ 71,740$ |
| Road Diet Convert to 5-Lane: | Proactive | $\$ 54,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Dynamic Speed Sign: | Proactive | $\$ 30,000$ | per segment | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Sidewalk: | Proactive | $\$ 80,000$ | per mile | 1.49 | $\$ 119,567$ |
|  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 191, \mathbf{3 0 8}$ |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Segment ID: | 52.001 |
| CRSP 2 | Date: | $4 / 3 / 2020$ |

# Rural Segment Project on CR 401 from Beltrami Line Rd to Woodward Dr SW 

## Roadway Information



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per mile per yr): | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $55 \leq x x \leq 99$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RS (Rural Single-veh) (vpd): | 535 | $500 \leq x x \leq 2,500$ | $\star$ |
| ADT-RM (Rural Multi-veh) (vpd): | 535 | $x x \geq 1,500$ |  |
| Curve Density (cur per mile): | 0.00 | $x \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ |  |
| Access Density (access per mile): | 13.80 | $7 \leq x x \leq 18$ | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2 S or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location |  |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Between Opposing Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 50,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| 6 Wet Reflective in Groove: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Paving, Safety Edge: | Proactive | $\$ 11,250$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Centerline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Edgeline Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 5,850$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Shoulder Rumble Strip: | Proactive | $\$ 3,600$ | per mile | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| Enhanced Edgeline: | Proactive | $\$ 2,000$ | per mile | 1.81 | $\$ 3,622$ |

Total Estimated Project Cost: $\quad \$ \mathbf{3 , 6 2 2}$

| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Notes -

Project Page \#:

4/3/2020

## Curve along CSAH 5 between Old Jefferson Dr NW and Aure Rd NW

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Old Jefferson Dr NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Aure Rd NW |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 5 |
| Curve Length (ft): | 506 |
| Curve Radius (ft): | 8,815 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 690 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 2.0 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 55 | $45 \leq x x \leq 55$ |  |  |
| Radius (ft): | 8815 | $500 \leq x x \leq 1400$ |  |  |
| ADT (vpd): | 690 | $600 \leq x x \leq 1300$ | $\star$ |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 | 11 | * |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel | None, Curb, Composite |  |  |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 26 | $28 \leq x x \leq 34$ | $\star$ |  |
| Adjacent Intersection: | None | Intersection, Railroad |  |  |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 2S | 2 S or 3 | $\star$ |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |  |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| List of Strategies Considered |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit Quantity | Total Cost |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | \$100,000 | Per curve 1 | \$100,000 |
| Surface Treatment: | Proactive | \$30 | Persqyd 0 | \$0 |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | \$225,000 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Curve Lighting: | Proactive | \$6,000 | Per light/curve 0 | \$0 |
| Curve Warning: | Proactive | \$1000-\$5000 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Chevrons/Arrow Board: | Proactive | \$3,960 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Delineators: | Proactive | \$500 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | \$100,000 |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Notes - |  |  |  |  |
| * Applies to Urban Greater Minn | sota Only. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Project Page \#: | 52 |
|  |  |  | Curve ID: | 5.006 |
| CRSP 2 |  |  | Date: | 4/3/2020 |

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Beltrami Line Rd SW |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Adams Ave NW |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 7 |
| Curve Length (ft): | 1,376 |
| Curve Radius (ft): | 834 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 970 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 13 |
| Shoulder Type: | Composite |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.1 | 56.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 55 | $45 \leq x x \leq 55$ |  |
| Radius (ft): | 834 | $500 \leq x x \leq 1400$ | $\star$ |
| ADT (vpd): | 970 | $600 \leq x x \leq 1300$ | $\star$ |
| Lane Width (ft): | 13 | 11 |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Composite | None, Curb, Composite | $\star$ |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 40 | $28 \leq x x \leq 34$ |  |
| Adjacent Intersection: | Intersection | Intersection, Railroad | $\star$ |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2 S or 3 |  |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 100,000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Surface Treatment: | Proactive | $\$ 30$ | Per sq yd | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 225,000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curve Lighting: | Proactive | $\$ 6,000$ | Per light/curve | 1 | $\$ 6,000$ |
| Curve Warning: | Proactive | $\$ 1000-\$ 5000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Chevrons/Arrow Board: | Proactive | $\$ 3,960$ | Per curve | 1 | $\$ 3,960$ |
| Delineators: | Proactive | $\$ 500$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 9,960$ |  |

Notes - County Nominated
--Chevrons/Arrow Board

* Applies to Urban Greater Minnesota Only.

|  | Project Page \#: | 14 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CRSP 2 | Curve ID: | 7.005 |
|  | Date: | $4 / 3 / 2020$ |

## Curve along CSAH 15 between Great Divide Rd NW and long

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Great Divide Rd NW |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Segment End: | long |  |
| Area Type: | Rural |  |
| County: | Beltrami |  |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |  |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |  |
| Curve Length (ft): | 800 |  |
| Curve Radius (ft): | 755 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 860 |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 4.0 |  |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |

## Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 55 | $45 \leq x x \leq 55$ |  |  |
| Radius (ft): | 755 | $500 \leq x x \leq 1400$ | $\star$ |  |
| ADT (vpd): | 860 | $600 \leq x x \leq 1300$ | $\star$ |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 | 11 | $\star$ |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel | None, Curb, Composite |  |  |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 30 | $28 \leq x x \leq 34$ | $\star$ |  |
| Adjacent Intersection: | Intersection | Intersection, Railroad | $\star$ |  |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 2C | 2 S or 3 |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |  |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| List of Strategies Considered |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit Quantity | Total Cost |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | \$100,000 | Per curve 1 | \$100,000 |
| Surface Treatment: | Proactive | \$30 | Per sq yd 0 | \$0 |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | \$225,000 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Curve Lighting: | Proactive | \$6,000 | Per light/curve 0 | \$0 |
| Curve Warning: | Proactive | \$1000-\$5000 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Chevrons/Arrow Board: | Proactive | \$3,960 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Delineators: | Proactive | \$500 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | \$100,000 |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Notes - |  |  |  |  |
| * Applies to Urban Greater Minnesota Only. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Project Page \#: | 2 |
|  |  |  | Curve ID: | 15.015 |
| CRSP 2 |  |  | Date: | 4/3/2020 |

## Curve along CSAH 19 between Elliot Rd NE and 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Elliot Rd NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | 0.09 miles N of Antler Dr NE |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 19 |
| Curve Length ( ft : | 484 |
| Curve Radius (ft): | 862 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 1,200 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 13 |
| Shoulder Type: | Curb \& Gutter |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 2.0 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 55 | $45 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 55$ |  |
| Radius (ft): | 862 | $500 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 1400$ | $\star$ |
| ADT (vpd): | 1200 | $600 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 1300$ | $\star$ |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12.5 | 11 |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Curb $\&$ Gutter | None, Curb, Composite | $\star$ |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 29 | $28 \leq \mathrm{xx} \leq 34$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Intersection: | None | Intersection, Railroad |  |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | $2 C$ | 2 or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 100,000$ | Per curve | 1 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Surface Treatment: | Proactive | $\$ 30$ | Per sq yd | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 225,000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curve Lighting: | Proactive | $\$ 6,000$ | Per light/curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curve Warning: | Proactive | $\$ 1000-\$ 5000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Chevrons/Arrow Board: | Proactive | $\$ 3,960$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Delineators: | Proactive | $\$ 500$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Notes -

* Applies to Urban Greater Minnesota Only.

| Project Page \#: | 28 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Curve ID: | 19.008 |
| Date: | $4 / 3 / 2020$ |

CRSP 2 Date: 4/3/2020

## Curve along CSAH 22 between Irvine Ave NW and 0.10 miles N of US-71 Old

## Roadway Information

Segment Start:
Segment End Area Type County
Segment Route System: CSAH
Segment Route No: 22
Curve Length (ft): 742
Curve Radius (ft): 657
Traffic Volume (vpd): 370
Lane Width (ft): 11
Shoulder Type: Composite
Shoulder Width (ft): 8.0


## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 55 | $45 \leq x x \leq 55$ | $\star$ |
| Radius (ft): | 657 | $500 \leq x x \leq 1400$ | $\star$ |
| ADT (vpd): | 370 | $600 \leq x x \leq 1300$ |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 | 11 | $\star$ |
| Shoulder Type: | Composite | None, Curb, Composite |  |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 38 | $28 \leq x x \leq 34$ |  |
| Adjacent Intersection: | Intersection | Intersection, Railroad | $\star$ |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 2C | 2 S or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 100,000$ | Per curve | 1 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Surface Treatment: | Proactive | $\$ 30$ | Per sq yd | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 225,000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curve Lighting: | Proactive | $\$ 6,000$ | Per light/curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curve Warning: | Proactive | $\$ 1000-\$ 5000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Chevrons/Arrow Board: | Proactive | $\$ 3,960$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Delineators: | Proactive | $\$ 500$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Notes -

* Applies to Urban Greater Minnesota Only

|  | Project Page \#: | 21 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Curve ID: | 22.016 |
| CRSP 2 | Date: | $4 / 3 / 2020$ |

## Curve along CSAH 24 between Centerline Rd NW and Hwy 89

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Centerline Rd NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Hwy 89 |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 24 |
| Curve Length (ft): | 806 |
| Curve Radius (ft): | 1,142 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 500 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 55 | $45 \leq x x \leq 55$ |  |
| Radius (ft): | 1142 | $500 \leq x x \leq 1400$ | $\star$ |
| ADT (vpd): | 500 | $600 \leq x x \leq 1300$ |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 | 11 | $\star$ |
| Shoulder Type: | Composite | None, Curb, Composite | $\star$ |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 31 | $28 \leq x x \leq 34$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Intersection: | None | Intersection, Railroad |  |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 3 | 2 or 3 | $\star$ |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered



## Notes -

* Applies to Urban Greater Minnesota Only.

| Project Page \#: | 6 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Curve ID: | 24.011 |
| Date. | $4 / 3 / 2020$ |

## Curve along CSAH 32 between Beltrami County Line and Hwy 89

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Beltrami County Line |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Segment End: | Hwy 89 |  |
| Area Type: | Rural |  |
| County: | Beltrami |  |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |  |
| Segment Route No: | 32 |  |
| Curve Length (ft): | 702 |  |
| Curve Radius (ft): | 1,337 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 145 |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel |  |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 1.5 |  |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 55 | $45 \leq x x \leq 55$ |  |
| Radius (ft): | 1337 | $500 \leq x x \leq 1400$ | $\star$ |
| ADT (vpd): | 145 | $600 \leq x x \leq 1300$ |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | 11 |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel | None, Curb, Composite |  |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 27 | $28 \leq x x \leq 34$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Intersection: | Intersection | Intersection, Railroad | $\star$ |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 3 | 2 S or 3 | $\star$ |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 100,000$ | Per curve | 1 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Surface Treatment: | Proactive | $\$ 30$ | Per sq yd | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 225,000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curve Lighting: | Proactive | $\$ 6,000$ | Per light/curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curve Warning: | Proactive | $\$ 1000-\$ 5000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Chevrons/Arrow Board: | Proactive | $\$ 3,960$ | Per curve | 1 | $\$ 3,960$ |
| Delineators: | Proactive | $\$ 500$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{1 0 3 , 9 6 0}$ |  |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

* Applies to Urban Greater Minnesota Only.

| Project Page \#: | 49 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Curve ID: | 32.003 |
| Date: | $4 / 3 / 2020$ |

## Roadway Information

| Segment Start: | Roosevelt Rd SE |
| ---: | :--- |
| Segment End: | Power Dam Rd NE |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 33 |
| Curve Length (ft): | 970 |
| Curve Radius (ft): | 575 |
| Traffic Volume (vpd): | 1,600 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel |
| Shoulder Width (ft): | 4.0 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 55 | $45 \leq x x \leq 55$ |  |  |
| Radius (ft): | 575 | $500 \leq x x \leq 1400$ | $\star$ |  |
| ADT (vpd): | 1600 | $600 \leq x x \leq 1300$ |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 | 11 | $\star$ |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel | None, Curb, Composite |  |  |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 30 | $28 \leq x x \leq 34$ | $\star$ |  |
| Adjacent Intersection: | Intersection | Intersection, Railroad | $\star$ |  |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 1 | 2 S or 3 |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |  |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| List of Strategies Considered |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit Quantity | Total Cost |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | \$100,000 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Surface Treatment: | Proactive | \$30 | Per sq yd 0 | \$0 |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | \$225,000 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Curve Lighting: | Proactive | \$6,000 | Per light/curve 0 | \$0 |
| Curve Warning: | Proactive | \$1000-\$5000 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Chevrons/Arrow Board: | Proactive | \$3,960 | Per curve 1 | \$3,960 |
| Delineators: | Proactive | \$500 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | \$3,960 |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Notes - |  |  |  |  |
| * Applies to Urban Greater Minn | esota Only. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Project Page \#: | 15 |
|  |  |  | Curve ID: | 33.003 |
| CRSP 2 |  |  | Date: | 4/3/2020 |

## Roadway Information

Segment Start: $\quad 0.31$ miles W of Jackson Ave SW
Segment End: Jackson Ave SW
Area Type: Suburban

County: Beltrami
Segment Route System: CR
Segment Route No: 402
Curve Length (ft): 577
Curve Radius (ft): $\quad 375$
Traffic Volume (vpd): 260
Lane Width (ft): 11
Shoulder Type: Gravel
Shoulder Width (ft): 4.0


## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 30 | $45 \leq x x \leq 55$ |  |
| Radius (ft): | 375 | $500 \leq x x \leq 1400$ | $\star$ |
| ADT (vpd): | 260 | $600 \leq x x \leq 1300$ |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 | 11 | $\star$ |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel | None, Curb, Composite | $\star$ |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 30 | $28 \leq x x \leq 34$ |  |
| Adjacent Intersection: | Intersection | Intersection, Railroad | $\star$ |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 2C | 2 S or 3 |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | $\$ 100,000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Surface Treatment: | Proactive | $\$ 30$ | Per sq yd | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 225,000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curve Lighting: | Proactive | $\$ 6,000$ | Per light/curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curve Warning: | Proactive | $\$ 1000-\$ 5000$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Chevrons/Arrow Board: | Proactive | $\$ 3,960$ | Per curve | 1 | $\$ 3,960$ |
| Delineators: | Proactive | $\$ 500$ | Per curve | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 3,960$ |  |

Notes -

* Applies to Urban Greater Minnesota Only.

|  | Project Page \#: | Curve ID: |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| CRSP 2 | Date: | 402.002 |
|  |  |  |

## Roadway Information

Segment Start: 0.31 miles W of Jackson Ave SW
Segment End: Jackson Ave SW
Area Type: Suburban

County: Beltrami
Segment Route System: CR
Segment Route No: 402
Curve Length (ft): 423
Curve Radius (ft): 478
Traffic Volume (vpd): 260
Lane Width (ft): $\quad 11$
Shoulder Type: Gravel
Shoulder Width (ft): 3.5


## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Lane <br> Departure | Severe Lane <br> Departure |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per curve per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph)*: | 30 | $45 \leq x x \leq 55$ |  |  |
| Radius (ft): | 478 | $500 \leq x x \leq 1400$ | * |  |
| ADT (vpd): | 260 | $600 \leq x x \leq 1300$ |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 | 11 | $\star$ |  |
| Shoulder Type: | Gravel | None, Curb, Composite | $\star$ |  |
| Total Cross Section Width (ft): | 29 | $28 \leq x x \leq 34$ |  |  |
| Adjacent Intersection: | Intersection | Intersection, Railroad | $\star$ |  |
| Visual Trap: | None | Present |  |  |
| Lighting: | None | None | $\star$ |  |
| Outside Edge Risk: | 2 C | 2 Sor 3 |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | *ᄎᄎᄎᄎ |  |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| List of Strategies Considered |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit Quantity | Total Cost |
| Clear Zone Maintenance: | Proactive | \$100,000 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
| Surface Treatment: | Proactive | \$30 | Persqyd 0 | \$0 |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | \$225,000 | Percurve 0 | \$0 |
| Curve Lighting: | Proactive | \$6,000 | Per light/curve 0 | \$0 |
| Curve Warning: | Proactive | \$1000-\$5000 | Percurve 0 | \$0 |
| Chevrons/Arrow Board: | Proactive | \$3,960 | Per curve 1 | \$3,960 |
| Delineators: | Proactive | \$500 | Per curve 0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | \$3,960 |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Notes - |  |  |  |  |
| * Applies to Urban Greater Minn | esota Only. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Project Page \#: | 54 |
|  |  |  | Curve ID: | 402.003 |
| CRSP 2 |  |  | Date: | 4/3/2020 |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 2 at USTH 2

Roadway Information

| Description: | USTH 2 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 2 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 9,500 |
| Minor ADT: | 450 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 9,950 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 65 | $\geq 60$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |  |  |
| Context Zone. | Residential | Mixed Use, Residential |  |  |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 9,950 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |  |  |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |  |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |  |  |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |  |  |
| Adjacent Curve. | Horizontal | Vertical, Both | $\star$ |  |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |  |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | Present | Present | $\star$ |  |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |  |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | LTTR | LTTR or TB | $\star$ |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |  |  |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| List of Strategies Considered |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | \$1,500 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | \$3,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | \$10,000 | Each | 0 | \$0 |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | \$250,000 | Each | 0 | \$0 |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | \$7,500 | Each | 0 | \$0 |
| RCl : | Proactive | \$750,000 | Per Intersection | 1 | \$750,000 |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | \$250,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | \$150,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | \$1,000,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated | Project Cost: | \$ 750,000 |
| Systemic Project |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Notes - County Nominated
--RCl
```

| Project Page \#: | 2 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 2.007 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |


| Description: | Grange Rd NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 5 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 475 |
| Minor ADT: | 320 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 795 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Commercial, Industrial |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 795 | $\geq 2,000$ |  |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | T | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | Total Stars |  |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ | Total Stars | $\star \star \star$ |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 1 , 5 0 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 67 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection ID: | 5.014 |
| CRSP 2 | Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 6 at Adams Ave NW

## Roadway Information

| Description: | Adams Ave NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 6 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 3,350 |
| Minor ADT: | 1,650 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 5,000 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
| Context Zone. |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 5,000 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
|  | None | Vertical, Both |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | Tot | $\star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 1,253,000$ |  |

Notes - County Nominated
--Roundabout

| Project Page \#: | 47 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 6.001 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 9 at USTH 2

Roadway Information

| Description: | USTH 2 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 9 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 14,950 |
| Minor ADT: | 2,150 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 17,100 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 17,100 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 20 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
|  |  | Vertical, Both |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | LTTR | LTTR or TB | $\star$ |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  |  |  |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{1 , 5 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 5 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 9.001 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |


| Description: | Grange Rd NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 9 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 1,775 |
| Minor ADT: | 635 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 2,410 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors


List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 71 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection ID: | 9.018 |
| CRSP 2 | Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |


| Description: | Monroe Ave SW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Small Town |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 11 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 2,225 |
| Minor ADT: | 240 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 2,465 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 2,465 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | To | $\star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 7,500$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 9 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 52 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| CRSP 2 | Intersection ID: | 11.005 |
|  | Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 12 at 5th St NE

Roadway Information

| Description: | 5th St NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Small Town |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 12 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 5,100 |
| Minor ADT: | 2,620 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 7,720 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 40 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 7,720 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
|  |  | Vertical, Both |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | T | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 51 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| CRSP 2 | Intersection ID: | 12.004 |
|  | Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 12 at Mill St NE

## Roadway Information

| Description: | Mill St NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Small Town |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 12 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 5,100 |
| Minor ADT: | 470 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 5,570 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors


List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{\$ 1 , 5 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 49 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection ID: | 12.005 |
| CRSP 2 | Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 12 at Lake Ave NE

## Roadway Information

| Description: | Lake Ave NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Small Town |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 12 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 3,750 |
| Minor ADT: | 875 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 4,625 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 45 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 4,625 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 20 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
| Adjacent Curve. | None | Vertical, Both |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | LR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{\$ 1 , 5 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 27 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 12.009 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 13 at Great Divide Rd NW

Roadway Information

| Description: | Great Divide Rd NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 13 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 385 |
| Minor ADT: | 83 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 468 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Commercial, Industrial |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 468 | $\geq 2,000$ |  |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 20 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | T | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | To | * $\star$ * |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 56 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 13.001 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Roadway Information

| Description: | Anne St NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Suburban |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | NV |
| Major ADT: | 6,600 |
| Minor ADT: | 3,900 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 10,500 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 13 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal | Signal | $\star$ |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 10,500 | $\geq 12,000$ |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Max Number Of Lanes Crossed: | 4 | $\geq 4$ | $\star$ |
| Presence of Sidewalk: | None | Some, None | $\star$ |
| Presence of Refuge Island: | None | - |  |
| Presence of Transit Stop: | None | - | $\star$ |
| Pedestrian Crossing Type: | Markings | Markings | $\star \star \star \star$ |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAWK: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Median Refuge Island: | Proactive | $\$ 12,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curb Extension: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Per Intersection | 4 | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Countdown Timers: | Proactive | $\$ 7,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 7,000$ |
| Leading Ped Interval: | Proactive | $\$ 25,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RRFB w/ Refuge Island: | Proactive | $\$ 20,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RRFB: | Proactive | $\$ 15,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Upgrade Signal Head Hardware: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Update Signal to Meet | Proactive | $\$ 100,000$ |  | Each | 0 |
| MUTCD Recommendation: |  |  | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Mini Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 2,500$ |  |  |  |

[^3]Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 3 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 15.005 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |


| Description: | 3316 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Natural |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 4,050 |
| Minor ADT: | 0 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 4,050 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 45 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Natural | Commercial, Industrial |  |
| Context Zone: | Natural | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 4,050 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 25 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | To | $\star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 1,000,000$ |  |
| Systemic Project |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes - County Nominated
--Roundabout

| Project Page \#: | 45 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 15.017 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |


| Description: | 4642 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 4,350 |
| Minor ADT: | 2,025 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 6,375 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 45 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 6,375 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 20 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | Present | Vertical, Both Present | $\star$ |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | To | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 1,000,000$ |  |

Notes - County Nominated
--Roundabout

| Description: | Island View Dr NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 2,600 |
| Minor ADT: | 550 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 3,150 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 3,150 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
|  |  | Vertical, Both |  |
| Adjacent Development: | Present | Present | $\star$ |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | To | $\star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 7,500$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 7 , 5 0 0}$ |  |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 15 at Silver Lake Rd NW

## Roadway Information

| Description: | Silver Lake Rd NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 2,000 |
| Minor ADT: | 148 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 2,148 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors


List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 58 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 15.044 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 15 at Great Divide Rd NW

## Roadway Information

| Description: | Great Divide Rd NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 1,430 |
| Minor ADT: | 270 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 1,700 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 1,700 | $\geq 2,000$ |  |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 15 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Vertical, Both <br> Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | T | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  |  |  |
|  | Total Stars |  | $\star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location |  |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 7,500$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 7 , 5 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 28 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 15.056 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 15 at LumberJack Rd

Roadway Information

| Description: | LumberJack Rd |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 15 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 850 |
| Minor ADT: | 208 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 1,058 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Commercial, Industrial |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 1,058 | $\geq 2,000$ |  |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 45 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | T | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | To | * $\star$ * |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 7,500$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{2 5 9 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

## Urban (Vehicle) Intersection on CSAH 21 at Paul Bunyan Dr NW

## Roadway Information

| Description: | Paul Bunyan Dr NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 21 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | NV |
| Major ADT: | 11,500 |
| Minor ADT: | 5,325 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial | $\star$ |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal | Signal | $\star$ |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 16,825 | $\geq 12,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Major Division Type: | Mixed | Curb, Depressed, Barrier, Mixed | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |
| Adjacent Development: | Present | Present | $\star$ |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 35 | $\geq 40$ | $\star$ |
| Minor Approach Speed Limit (mph: | 35 | $\geq 35$ |  |
| Major Approach Left Turn Lane Phasing: | Protected | Permitted, Permitted/Protected |  |
| 1 st Major Approach Turn Lane Configuration: | LLT | $\geq 2$ Left Turn, $\geq 2$ Thru Lane | $\star$ |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

## List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | \$3,000,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
| Confirmation Lights: | Proactive | \$1,500 | Per Intersection | 1 | \$1,500 |
| Signalized RCI: | Proactive | \$1,250,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
| RCI : | Proactive | \$1,000,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
| Upgrade Signal Hardware: | Proactive | \$50,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
| Intersection Lighting: | Proactive | \$15,000 | Each | 0 | \$0 |
| All-Way Stop Conversion: | Proactive | \$7,500 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | \$3,500 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: |  | \$1,500 |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -
Project Page \#: 2

## Roadway Information

| Description: | Paul Bunyan Dr NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 21 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | NV |
| Major ADT: | 11,500 |
| Minor ADT: | 5,325 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 16,825 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal | Signal | $\star$ |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 16,825 | $\geq 12,000$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | Present | Present | $\star$ |
| Max Number Of Lanes Crossed: | 4 | $\geq 4$ | $\star$ |
| Presence of Sidewalk: | All | Some, None |  |
| Presence of Refuge Island: | None | - |  |
| Presence of Transit Stop: | None | - |  |
| Pedestrian Crossing Type: | Markings | Markings | $\star$ |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAWK: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Median Refuge Island: | Proactive | $\$ 12,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curb Extension: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Countdown Timers: | Proactive | $\$ 7,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Leading Ped Interval: | Proactive | $\$ 25,000$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 25,000$ |
| RRFB w/ Refuge Island: | Proactive | $\$ 20,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RRFB: | Proactive | $\$ 15,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Upgrade Signal Head Hardware: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Update Signal to Meet | Proactive | $\$ 100,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| MUTCD Recommendation: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Mini Roundabout: | Proan |  | 0 | $\$ 0$ |  |

[^4]Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 2 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 21.001 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 21 at Glidden Rd NE

Roadway Information

| Description: | Glidden Rd NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 21 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 2,800 |
| Minor ADT: | 725 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 3,525 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 3,525 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 20 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | * |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Vertical, Both Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 7,500$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 7 , 5 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 34 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 21.017 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 21 at Island View Dr NE

Roadway Information

| Description: | Island View Dr NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 21 |
| Design Type: | Unknown |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 1,050 |
| Minor ADT: | 445 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 1,495 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 1,495 | $\geq 2,000$ |  |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 50 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve. | Horizontal | Vertical, Both | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | Present | Present | $\star$ |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 7,500$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 9 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 10 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 21.035 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 21 at USTH 71

## Roadway Information

| Description: | USTH 71 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 21 |
| Design Type: | Unknown |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 2,700 |
| Minor ADT: | 1,025 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 3,725 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial <br> Mixed Use, Residential | $\star$ |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 3,725 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 35 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, <br> Vertical, Both <br> Adjacent Development: | None |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present <br> Previous Stop: | $>5$ |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 7,500$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{1 7 , 5 0 0}$ |  |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection ID: | 21.037 |
| CRSP 2 | Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 22 at MNTH 89

Roadway Information

| Description: | MNTH 89 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 22 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 1,950 |
| Minor ADT: | 725 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 2,675 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Commercial, Industrial |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 2,675 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
|  | None | Vertical, Both |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | To | $\star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 22 at USTH 71

Roadway Information

| Description: | USTH 71 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 22 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 2,950 |
| Minor ADT: | 555 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 3,505 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors
$\begin{array}{rccc} & \text { Value } & \text { Threshold } & \text { Star Assignment } \\$\cline { 2 - 4 } Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): \& 60 \& $\left.\begin{array}{c}\geq 60 \\ \text { Context Zone: }\end{array} & \text { Agriculture }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Commercial, Industrial } \\ \text { Mixed Use, Residential }\end{array}\right]$

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ |  |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

| Description: | Lamon Rd NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 27 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 630 |
| Minor ADT: | 305 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 935 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Commercial, Industrial |  |
| Context Zone. | Agriculure | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 935 | $\geq 2,000$ |  |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 10 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
|  |  | Vertical, Both |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | T | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 5 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 64 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRSP 2 | Intersection ID: |
| 27.004 |  |  |
|  | Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 29 at USTH 71

## Roadway Information

| Description: | USTH 71 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 29 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 2,700 |
| Minor ADT: | 285 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 2,985 |



Crash Data
5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors
$\begin{array}{rccc} & \text { Value } & \text { Threshold } & \text { Star Assignment } \\$\cline { 2 - 4 } Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): \& 55 \& $\left.\begin{array}{c}\geq 60 \\ \text { Context Zone: }\end{array} & \text { Agriculture }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Commercial, Industrial } \\ \text { Mixed Use, Residential }\end{array}\right]$

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ |  |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 25 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 29.003 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 30 at Main St S

Roadway Information

| Description: | Main St S |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Small Town |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 30 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | All-Way Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 1,825 |
| Minor ADT: | 995 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 2,820 |



Crash Data
5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 30 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 2,820 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 45 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
| Adjacent Development: | Present | Present | * |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | T | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{1 , 5 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 20 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 30.018 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 30 at 1st St NE

Roadway Information

| Description: | 1st St NE |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Small Town |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 30 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 1,850 |
| Minor ADT: | 808 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 2,658 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 30 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 2,658 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
| Adjacent Development: | Present | Vertical, Both Present | $\star$ |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present | $\star$ |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | T | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  |  | $\star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 5 0 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]| Project Page \#: | 36 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 30.019 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 32 at MNTH 89

Roadway Information

| Description: | MNTH 89 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 32 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 1,550 |
| Minor ADT: | 343 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 1,893 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.6 | 29.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Cont | griculture | Commercial, Industrial |  |
| Cont | Agriculture | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 1,893 | $\geq 2,000$ |  |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 20 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
|  |  | Vertical, Both |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ |  |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 41 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 32.015 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 32 at MNTH 72

Roadway Information

| Description: | MNTH 72 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 32 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 1,600 |
| Minor ADT: | 893 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 2,493 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors


List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 5 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 50 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 32.030 |
|  | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

Roadway Information

| Description: | 678 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 50 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | NV |
| Major ADT: | 8,350 |
| Minor ADT: | 4,242 |

## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  |  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Context Zone: | Commercial | Commercial | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Traffic Control Device: | Signal | Signal | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Entering ADT(vpd): | 12,592 | $\geq 12,000$ | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Major Division Type: | Curb | Curb, Depressed, | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Major Division Type: | Curb | Barrier, Mixed | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |  |  |
|  | Adjacent Development: | Present | Present | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 35 | $\geq 40$ |  |  |  |
|  | Minor Approach Speed Limit (mph: | 30 | $\geq 35$ |  |  |  |
|  | Major Approach Left Turn Lane Phasing: | Permitted/ <br> Protected | Permitted, Permitted/Protected | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | 1 st Major Approach | LTT | $\geq 2$ Left Turn, | $\star$ |  |  |
|  | Turn Lane Configuration: | LT | $\geq 2$ Thru Lane | $\star$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star \star \star$ |  |  |
|  | Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | List of Strategies Considered |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
|  | Roundabout: | Proactive | \$3,000,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
|  | Confirmation Lights: | Proactive | \$1,500 | Per Intersection | 1 | \$1,500 |
|  | Signalized RCI: | Proactive | \$1,250,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
|  | RCl : | Proactive | \$1,000,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
|  | Upgrade Signal Hardware: | Proactive | \$50,000 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
|  | Intersection Lighting: | Proactive | \$15,000 | Each | 0 | \$0 |
|  | All-Way Stop Conversion: | Proactive | \$7,500 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
|  | Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | \$3,500 | Per Intersection | 0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  | Total Estimated | Project Cost: | \$1,500 |
|  | Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Notes - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | oject Page \#: | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ersection ID: | 50.001 |
| CRSP 2 |  |  |  |  | Date: | 4/9/2020 |

## Roadway Information

| Description: | 678 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 50 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | NV |
| Major ADT: | 8,350 |
| Minor ADT: | 4,242 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 12,592 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal | Signal | $\star$ |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 12,592 | $\geq 12,000$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | Present | Present | $\star$ |
| Max Number Of Lanes Crossed: | 5 | $\geq 4$ | $\star$ |
| Presence of Sidewalk: | Some | Some, None | $\star$ |
| Presence of Refuge Island: | None | - |  |
| Presence of Transit Stop: | None | - |  |
| Pedestrian Crossing Type: | Markings | Markings | $\star$ |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAWK: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Median Refuge Island: | Proactive | $\$ 12,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Curb Extension: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Countdown Timers: | Proactive | $\$ 7,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 7,000$ |
| Leading Ped Interval: | Proactive | $\$ 25,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RRFB w/ Refuge Island: | Proactive | $\$ 20,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RRFB: | Proactive | $\$ 15,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Upgrade Signal Head Hardware: | Proactive | $\$ 5,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Update Signal to Meet | Proactive | $\$ 100,000$ |  | Each | 1 |

[^6]Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 1 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 50.001 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |


| Description: | Grant Ave SE |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Small Town |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 50 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | T |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 3,825 |
| Minor ADT: | 320 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 4,145 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 35 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 4,145 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | T | X |  |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 0 | $\geq 10$ |  |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | To | $\star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 69 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 50.006 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 50 at 23rd St SE

## Roadway Information

| Description: | 23rd St SE |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Small Town |
| Context Zone: | Residential |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 50 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 3,800 |
| Minor ADT: | 1,200 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 5,000 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Residential | Commercial, Industrial | $\star$ |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 5,000 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 40 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | None | Horizontal, |  |
| Adjacent Curve. | None | Vertical, Both |  |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | Present | Present | $\star$ |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | TR | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Stars | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

|  | Project Page \#: | 14 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| CRSP 2 | Intersection ID: | 50.008 |
|  | Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CSAH 52 at USTH 71

Roadway Information

| Description: | USTH 71 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Small Town |
| Context Zone: | Commercial |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 52 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Signal |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 8,900 |
| Minor ADT: | 3,742 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 12,642 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 20 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 4.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.9 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.3 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors


## Rural Intersection on CSAH 59 at USTH 71

Roadway Information

| Description: | USTH 71 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CSAH |
| Segment Route No: | 59 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | Present |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 3,200 |
| Minor ADT: | 1,317 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 4,517 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 1.6 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 1.0 | 12.1 | 0.8 | 12.1 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Commercial, Industrial |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 4,517 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 20 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
| 1 st Major Approach | LTTR | LTTR or TB | $\star$ |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | Tot | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 750,000$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 750,000$ |  |
| Systemic Project |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Notes - County Nominated
--RCl
```

|  | Project Page \#: | 11 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection ID: | 59.003 |
| CRSP 2 | Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |


| Description: | Great Divide Rd NW |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture |
| Segment Route System: | CR |
| Segment Route No: | 301 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 35 |
| Minor ADT: | 1,184 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 1,219 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Commercial, Industrial |  |
| Context Zone: | Agriculture | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 1,219 | $\geq 2,000$ |  |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 25 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop: | >5 | >5 Miles | $\star$ |
| 1 st Major Approach | T | LTTR or TB |  |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | To | $\star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ \mathbf{1 1 , 5 0 0}$ |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 40 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 301.006 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |

## Rural Intersection on CR 507 at USTH 2

Roadway Information

| Description: | USTH 2 |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Beltrami |
| Area Type: | Rural |
| Context Zone: | Natural |
| Segment Route System: | CR |
| Segment Route No: | 507 |
| Design Type: | Traditional |
| Configuration: | X |
| Traffic Control Device: | Thru-Stop |
| Street Lights: | None |
| Flasher: | None |
| Major ADT: | 7,800 |
| Minor ADT: | 670 |
| Total Entering ADT: | 8,470 |



## Crash Data

5-year Crash History (2011-2015)

|  | Total | Severe | Total Right <br> Angle | Severe Right <br> Angle |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Frequency: | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Density (per int. per yr): | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Rate (per MVM): | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Systemic Safety Risk Factors

|  | Value | Threshold | Star Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach Speed Limit (mph): | 55 | $\geq 60$ |  |
| Context Zone: | Natural | Commercial, Industrial |  |
|  |  | Mixed Use, Residential |  |
| Entering ADT(vpd): | 8,470 | $\geq 2,000$ | $\star$ |
| Leg Configuration: | X | X | $\star$ |
| Alignment Skew (degrees): | 20 | $\geq 10$ | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Curve: | Horizontal | Horizontal, | $\star$ |
| Adjacent Development: | None | Present |  |
| Adjacent RR Crossing: | None | Present |  |
| Previous Stop:1 st Major Approach | <5 | >5 Miles |  |
|  | LTTR | LTTR or TB | $\star$ |
| Turn Lane Configuration: |  | Total St | $\star \star \star \star \star$ |
| Priority Location | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

List of Strategies Considered

|  | Type | Unit Cost | Unit | Quantity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade Signs \& Markings: | Proactive | $\$ 1,500$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All-Way STOP Conversion: | Proactive | $\$ 3,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Street Lights: | Proactive | $\$ 10,000$ | Each | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Left \& Right Turn Lanes: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| LED Stop: | Proactive | $\$ 7,500$ | Each | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| RCI: | Proactive | $\$ 750,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Single "T" Reconstruction: | Proactive | $\$ 250,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| All Approach RICWS: | Proactive | $\$ 150,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Roundabout: | Proactive | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Per Intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  | Total Estimated Project Cost: | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes -

| Project Page \#: | 12 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 507.001 |
| Date: | $4 / 2 / 2020$ |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Figure 5-1 indicates the percentage of crashes influenced by each factor alone represented by non-overlapping sections (driver behavior is yellow, roadway is green, and vehicle is blue) while those sections that do overlap with other crash factors indicate the complex occurrence where multiple factors contribute to a crash. The percentages in the parentheses indicate the total influence a crash factor has to all crashes, whether exclusive or contributing with other factors.

[^1]:    Note: Severe (KA) crashes on the Beltrami County roadway system between 2011-2015

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ List taken from http://www.minnesotatzd.org/whatistzd/mntzd/contact/

[^3]:    | Systemic Project | $\checkmark$ |
    | :--- | :--- |

[^4]:    Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark$

[^5]:    Notes -

[^6]:    Systemic Project $\quad \checkmark$

