

5/25/22- Jail Steering Committee – Meeting Minutes

Project: Beltrami County Jail

Date: 5/25/22

Meeting Location: County Commission Work Room

Start Time: 10:30 AM

MEETING ATTENDEES: * (Indicates attended remotely via conference call)

Present	Name	Company
Χ	Tim Sumner	Beltrami County
Χ	Richard Anderson	Beltrami County
*	Jorge Prince	City of Bemidji
Χ	Ernie Beitel	Beltrami County
Χ	Calandra Allen	Beltrami County
Χ	Tom Barry	Beltrami County
	Jarrett Walton	Beltrami County
Χ	Trisha Hansen	DOC
	Daryl Seki	Red Lake Nation
	Faron Jackson	Leech Lake Nation
	Gino Anselmo	MCF – Togo
*	Wendy Spry	DOC Tribal Liaison
Χ	Joe Vene	Citizen
Χ	John Henningsgaard	Citizen
	Donny Wilcox	Construction Engineers
Χ	Ben Matson	Construction Engineers
*	Alan Richardson	Justice Planners
*	Patrick Jablonski	Justice Planners

1. Advisory Committees

5/11/22 – Ben M reported that the Programming Committee had met again on 4/27 and have completed their "Wish List" spreadsheet. At their next meeting they will categorize the list into varying priorities. Ben M also noted that there will be Finance Committee meeting next week. Tom B indicated that the recent sales tax collections are less than what had been anticipated and that it would be beneficial to have Ehlers run some revised scenarios ahead of the meeting. Ben M to follow up with Bruce at Ehlers.

5/25/22 – Wendy Spry was introduced to the Committee as the new Tribal Liaison and will be joining the Steering Committee going forward. Sheriff Beitel will request her formal appointment with the Commission in an upcoming meeting.

Ben M reported that the Finance Committee had met on 5/18 and had reviewed updated finance scenarios based on the lower sales tax collection figures and higher interest rates. The Finance Committee tasked Ehlers with building a spreadsheet where the different inputs can be inserted to calculate out different

finance options. Ben M also noted that there will be another Programming Committee meeting next week on 6/1.

2. Project Schedule

- A. Ben M reviewed an update of the tasks occurring in the next 30-90 days.
- B. Upcoming Tasks
 - i. Conduct Feasibility Study (ongoing)
 - a. Data requests/Analysis (ongoing)
 - b. Facility Evaluation (ongoing)
 - c. Evaluate Incarceration Alternatives (ongoing)
 - d. Revisions/Final Inmate Population Projections (May)
 - e. Modeling Projection Scenarios
 - f. Future Infrastructure Modeling
 - ii. Sub Committee Meetings

3. Feasibility Study/Needs Assessment

5/11/22 – The committee reviewed the slide deck that Justice Planners (JP) had presented on 4/29. The bulk of the discussion was centered on the time periods requested in the RFP. JP is contracted to run multiple alternatives for 10, 25 and 40 year time periods and they will do what was requested of them, but have noted that 40 year data becomes very broad and less useful. After considering requesting different options from them the committee decided to have them run the models based on the time periods described in their contract. Additional minor items were requested to be modified within the slides and Ben M will follow up with Alan R about these items.

Following the meeting Ben M called Alan R and discussed the items noted above. In this discussion Alan R noted that the Steering Committee needs to determine if JP is going to run the models going forward using current booking numbers or using numbers where sentencing and other practices return to the levels of 2012 to 2015. A poll of the committee was completed via e-mail following this discussion, and no clear consensus was reached by the committee. The committee will meet again on 5/25 to discuss more in depth with JP and come to a consensus.

5/25/22 – Alan & Patrick from Justice Planners attended the meeting remotely and they presented three additional population projections beyond what was presented on 5/11. These all ran figures out to the 40-year period indicated in their contract. After discussion the consensus of the committee is to change the models to be run to years 10, 20 & 30 years instead of what was indicated initially. The five projections

that were forecasted varied in the Average Length of Stay (ALOS) and Booking projections staying steady or changing to numbers seen pre-pandemic. After much discussion and questions, the committee decided that the most accurate forecast would be what was called Forecast #3. In this forecast the ALOS remains at the current 18.8 days, bookings return to the pre-pandemic rate of 8.1 per day and current sentencing practices remain in place. Having agreed upon a population forecast Justice Planners can now begin running the models for the different scenarios in their contract.

4. Tribal Involvement in Process

5/11/22 – Sheriff Beitel has followed up again with White Earth and is hoping to get a letter in support of the project from them and/or Mahnomen County. The Committee again discussed trying to set up meetings to get in front of the Tribal Councils for both Red Lake and Leech Lake, following their elections.

5/25/22 – Sheriff Beitel has received a letter of support from Cass County (Attached). He has also been in contact with Red Lake, and they may be open to providing a similar letter of support for the project

5. Public Communications

3/30/22 – Many thoughts and concerns regarding communications were covered. Although nothing was brought to the group as a consensus the following comments were made for future consideration in developing our communications:

- Public needs to be informed about the Programming that is done in the Jail. Present the value of what they are doing ahead of the Feasibility Study, update what is happening with the Reset Coordinator and explain the partnership.
- Note that most Programming is volunteer and point out the value of this, noting that programming keeps the residents busy and helps reduce staff assaults.
- Finance Committee should be ready with information ahead of the Feasibility Study. Some property tax scenarios should be run by Jodi and Ehlers so that we know how much it could add to property tax bills.
- Be ready to explain property taxes vs. sale tax and the pros/cons of each. Note things like sales tax doesn't impact purchases like clothing and food.
- Lay out the problems/Lay out the solutions from Financial/Programming/Facility standpoint.

- Be ready to debate ourselves so that we are ready to answer the tough questions that will come up.
- Address the who, what, where, when why & how related to the project.
- Create a communications schedule with milestones
- Determine the audience (who) and how we are getting the message to the targeted audience.
- Get input/engagement from Brian Berg as part of the CE team.
- Do we need to get a communications specialist or is this a detrimental thing?
- Note that all information needs to be approved and come through the County Commissioners.

4/13/22 – Additional topics discussed:

- Ben M reported that he had discussed this topic with Brian Berg (who was unable to attend today) and that his first word of advice was to work with the Townships within the County to make sure that they are all aware of everything and are receiving good information about the project.
- Ben M had filtered the overall project schedule to show items related to communications and the milestones when those will be occurring and presented it to the Committee.
- John H questioned if the public can review the Jail Budget. It was confirmed that this is public
 information and that it can be added to the project website. However, it needs to be taken in
 context and key components need to be identified when presenting the budget and actual
 costs.
- It was noted that most young people don't get their information from newspapers or the radio.

 The approach to communicate with them needs to be addressed.
- There needs to be alignment between the sub-committees and the information we get from Justice Planners, leading to one single message. The discussion needs to be tabled until we have information back on the feasibility study to get sub-committee consensus.
- A simple communication plan needs to be drafted once we get the information from Justice Planners. At that time, we will determine how to convey the message from the information we are presented.

5/11/22 – Not Discussed

5/25/22 - Not Discussed

6. New Business/Open Discussions

5/25/22 - None Discussed

7. Items Closed prior to meeting

4/13/22 – Ben M reported that the Programming Committee had met again on 3/30 and are continuing to

develop their spreadsheet. Finance Committee is scheduled to meet again in May. No change to Design

Committee

3/30/22 – Tom B reached out to the Leech Lake Government Liaison who was very receptive to

meeting, but follow-up requests have not been responded to. He will try again. Sheriff Beitel has

reached out to the White Earth Public Safety Department, and they have been receptive to meeting.

He is going to follow up with an e-mail. Tim S was contacted via text during the meeting, and he

reported that Chairman Seki has been recovering from a surgery and has been unavailable. Joe V

noted that we might be able to reach out to the Bois Forte Band to get some of their input. He will

reach out to contact them.

4/13/22 – A meeting with Leech Lake has been scheduled at 1:00 on 4/15. Justice Planners will call

into the meeting too and gather more information. Sheriff Beitel has made additional efforts to reach

the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe. He also reported that Chairman Jackson will plan to have his assistant

attend meetings going forward. No updates to report on responses from Red Lake, White Earth or Bois

Forte.

Next Jail Steering Committee Meeting – Next Meeting is scheduled for 10:30 AM on 6/22/22. (County Work

Room)

Prepared and reviewed by Construction Engineers – Please forward any comments or corrections to

BenM@constructionengineers.com

Attachment: Cass Co Letter of Support